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## INTRODUCTION

Science and technology have an impact on almost every part of our daily lives. In spite of this there can be a degree of ambivalence about science in our wider society, and past research has shown that there is not always a widespread understanding of science, or scientific methods. This has led to calls for a more open dialogue between scientists, policy makers and the general public ${ }^{1}$.

Developments in science and technology also have a key role to play in delivering the Europe 2020 growth strategy, and in particular the innovation union initiative ${ }^{2}$. Previous studies have indicated many Europeans do not have any scientific education, and there is a knowledge gap with many interested in science and technology, but not feeling informed. The previous survey in 2010 also pointed to some degree of negativity about the potential benefits of science, and its impact in some areas of life.

This study follows on from that of 2010, in addressing European citizens' general attitudes towards science and technology, and in particular:

- European citizens' interest and level of information in the area
- Education in and attitudes towards science and technology
- Sources of information about science and technology
- The level of involvement Europeans should have in decisions about science and technology
- The role of ethics and ethical behaviour in research
- Young people and science
- Gender issues and science
- Open access to research results
- Look at changes in opinion since the last survey in 2010

The report covers the 28 Member States. However, because the fieldwork took place before the official date of Croatia's accession to the European Union on 1 July 2013, results are presented for the EU 27 and Croatia. The findings have been analysed firstly at EU level and secondly by country. Where possible results will be compared with the survey conducted in 2010. A variety of socio-demographic variables - such as respondents' gender, age, education and occupation - have been used to provide additional analysis. Key questions in the survey, such as the level of information about developments in science and technology have also been used as key variables in the analysis to gain a deeper insight into Europeans' views.

[^0]This survey was carried out by TNS Opinion \& Social network in the 27 Member States of the European Union and in Croatia between the $26^{\text {th }}$ of April and $14^{\text {th }}$ of May 2013. Some 27,563 respondents from different social and demographic groups were interviewed face-to-face at home in their mother tongue on behalf of the Directorate-General for Research \& Innovation. The methodology used is that of Eurobarometer surveys as carried out by the Directorate-General for Communication ("Research and Speechwriting" Unit) ${ }^{3}$.

A technical note on the manner in which interviews were conducted by the Institutes within the TNS Opinion \& Social network is appended as an annex to this report. Also included are the interview methods and confidence intervals ${ }^{4}$.

Note: In this report, countries are referred to by their official abbreviation. The abbreviations used in this report correspond to:

|  |  | ABBREVIATIONS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BE | Belgium | LV | Latvia |
| CZ | Czech Republic | LU | Luxembourg |
| BG | Bulgaria | HU | Hungary |
| DK | Denmark | MT | Malta |
| DE | Germany | NL | The Netherlands |
| EE | Estonia | AT | Austria |
| EL | Greece | PL | Poland |
| ES | Spain | PT | Portugal |
| FR | France | RO | Romania |
| IE | Ireland | SI | Slovenia |
| IT | Italy | SK | Slovakia |
| CY | Republic of Cyprus* | FI | Finland |
| LT | Lithuania | SE | Sweden |
|  |  | UK | The United Kingdom |
|  |  |  | EU27 |
| HR | Croatia |  | European Union - 27 Member States |
|  |  | EU15 | BE, IT, FR, DE, LU, NL, DK, UK, IE, PT, ES, EL, AT, SE, FI** |
|  |  | NMS12 | BG, CZ, EE, CY, LT, LV, MT, HU, PL, RO, SI, SK*** |
|  |  | EURO | BE, FR, IT, LU, DE, AT, ES, PT, IE, NL, FI, EL, EE, SI, CY, MT, |

* Cyprus as a whole is one of the 27 European Union Member States. However, the 'acquis communautaire' has been suspended in the part of the country which is not controlled by the government of the Republic of Cyprus. For practical reasons, only the interviews carried out in the part of the country controlled by the government of the Republic of Cyprus are included in the 'CY' category and in the EU27 average.
** EU15 refers to the 15 countries forming the European Union before the enlargements of 2004 and 2007
*** The NMS12 are the 12 'new Member States' which joined the European Union during the 2004 and 2007 enlargements

We wish to thank all the people interviewed throughout Europe who took the time to participate in this survey.

Without their active participation, this survey would not have been possible.

[^1]
## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Engagement with science and technology

- At least half of all Europeans are interested in developments in science and technology (53\%), although only $40 \%$ say they feel informed about them.

There is a strong correlation between interest and information: the higher the levels of interest in developments in science and technology, the higher the levels of feeling informed about such developments.

- Almost one in five Europeans are interested in developments in science and technology, but do not feel informed about them (18\%), while 40\% are neither interested nor informed
- Overall just under half (47\%) have studied science or technology.
- Almost one quarter (23\%) have a family member with a job or degree in science or technology.
- Television is the most mentioned source of information about developments in science and technology (65\%), followed by the internet (35\%) and newspapers (33\%).
- Overall, more than half of Europeans believe that when it comes to decisions made about science and technology public dialogue is required (55\%).
- The more informed respondents feel about developments in science and technology the more they agree that their opinion should be considered when decisions are made in this area.
- University or government scientists are considered to be the best qualified to explain the impact of scientific or technological developments (66\%), with scientists in private laboratories ranking second (35\%).


## The impact of science and technology on society

- Most agree that science has a positive impact on society (77\%), and the majority of respondents in each country think this way.
- There is not a strong relationship between feeling informed about developments in science and technology and thinking that the influence of science on society is positive.
- University or government scientists (82\%) and environmental protection associations ( $81 \%$ ) are most likely to be seen as trying to behave responsibly towards society. Government representatives are the least likely to be seen as trying to behave responsibly in this area (44\%).
- The more likely respondents are to think governments try to behave responsibly, the more likely they are to also think that citizens should be consulted and their views considered on science and technology related decisions.


## Attitudes towards science and technology

- The impact of science and technology on quality of life is seen as more positive when the focus is on making life easier, more comfortable and healthier (66\%) than it is when only health is considered (50\%).
- Respondents remain divided on the issue of whether we depend too much on science and not enough on faith. Nevertheless, a slight relative majority agree we depend too much on science and not enough on faith (39\%) whereas 32\% disagree.
- Respondents in Eastern and Mediterranean areas are more negative about the dependence on science over faith compared to the last wave in 2010.
- 75\% agree that science and technology have provided more opportunities for future generations.
- However, Europeans are concerned about the speed of change science and technology have, and their potential for negative consequences: 62\% think science makes their way of life change too quickly.


## Ethics and science

- 54\% agree that the application of science and technology can threaten human rights. In most countries respondents are more likely to agree than they were in 2010.
- At least six out of ten (61\%) think that fundamental rights and moral principles should not be violated to make a new scientific or technological discovery.
- Eight out of ten respondents agree that the EU should actively promote worldwide respect for European ethical principles for conducting scientific research, while $76 \%$ agree that European funding of scientific research outside the EU should be forbidden if that research would be illegal in the EU.
- Three quarters (76\%) agree the EU should take measures to address the ethical risk of new technologies, with at least six out of ten in each country in agreement.
- $84 \%$ think there should be mandatory ethics training for researchers, and $83 \%$ think there should be an oath taken by young scientists to respect ethical principles and legislation.
- $81 \%$ agree that scientific experts should be obliged to openly declare possible conflicts of interest, such as their sources of funding, when they are advising public authorities.


## Young people and science

- Most think their government is doing too little to stimulate young people's interest in science (65\%).
- A majority also agree that an interest in science improves young people's job prospects (59\%), culture (72\%), and their ability to act as well-informed citizens (68\%).
- More than eight out of ten (84\%) think that a scientific education is important in stimulating creative thinking in young people.


## Gender issues and science

- More than eight out of ten ( $86 \%$ ) respondents think it is important that scientific research takes equal account of the needs of men and women. Most say this is important to respect gender equality (58\%) and to ensure innovations are better suited to both men and women (50\%).
- Men are more likely to be interested in and feel informed about developments in science and technology than women are ( $64 \%$ vs. $44 \%$ ). They are also more likely to have studied any of these subjects at any education level (51\% vs. 43\%).


## Open access to research results

- 79\% agree that the results of publicly funded research should be available online for free.


## I. ENGAGEMENT WITH SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

This section of the report explores how informed Europeans feel about developments in science and technology, as well as how interested they are in these developments. Respondents' own and family background in science and technology is also explored.

This section also discusses the sources Europeans use to get information about developments in science and technology, and how involved they think people should be in decisions made about science and technology. Finally, consideration is given to who Europeans' think best qualified to explain the impact on society of developments in science and technology.

## 1. LEVEL OF INFORMATION REGARDING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

## - A majority of Europeans do not feel informed about developments in science and technology -

When asked how informed they feel about developments in science and technology, 40\% of respondents say they feel informed ${ }^{5}$. Just over one third (34\%) say they feel fairly well informed, while $6 \%$ say they feel very well informed. The majority, however, do not feel informed. Four in ten (40\%) say they are not very well informed, and $18 \%$ say they are not at all informed about developments in science and technology.

QD1. How informed do you feel about developments in science and technology?


[^2]Country level results illustrate that levels of information about developments in science and technology are not uniform．As the map below shows，there is a clear geographical pattern with Eastern and Southern countries less likely to fell informed．In five countries at least half of all respondents say they feel informed：Denmark（ $65 \%$ ），Sweden（ $61 \%$ ）， Luxembourg（58\％），the UK（56\％）and France（51\％）．In fact almost one in twenty respondents in Denmark say they feel very well informed about developments in science and technology（17\％）．

In contrast，one quarter of Romanian，Bulgarian and Hungarian respondents（all 25\％） say they feel informed about developments in science and technology．Furthermore around one third of Romanian（33\％）and Hungarian（32\％）respondents say they do not feel at all informed about such developments．
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Question：CDI．How mormed do you letl abcul develapments in science and fechnalogy？
Answers：Total Intormed＇

Analysis of the socio-demographic variables shows a strong gender difference. Men are more likely to say they feel informed about developments in science and technology when compared to women ( $49 \%$ vs. $33 \%$ ). In fact $22 \%$ of women say they do not feel at all informed, compared to $14 \%$ of men.

Respondents aged 55+ are less likely than younger respondents to say that they feel informed about developments in science and technology ( $34 \% \mathrm{vs} .42 \%-49 \%$ ). In addition, those aged 15-24 are more likely than older age groups to say they feel informed (49\%).

The longer a respondent remained in education, the more likely they are to say they feel informed about developments in science and technology. Just over one in five (22\%) who completed their education aged 15 or younger say they feel informed, compared to $57 \%$ of those who finished education aged 20 or older.

There is also considerable variation in information levels amongst different occupation groups. Managers are the most likely to say they feel informed about developments in science and technology (64\%), followed by students (55\%) and the self-employed ( $48 \%$ ). At the other end of the scale $21 \%$ of housepersons say the same.

QD1 How informed do you feel about developments in science and technology?

|  | Total 'Informed' | Total 'Not informed' | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $40 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $2 \%$ |


| S. Sex |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Female | $33 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $2 \%$ |


| Age |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $43 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $42 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $34 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $2 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-$ | $22 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| $16-19$ | $35 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $57 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Still studying | $55 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $1 \%$ |


| R:\# |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Respondent occupation scale |  |  |  |
| Self-employed | $48 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Managers | $64 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Other white collars | $39 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Manual workers | $39 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Housepersons | $21 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Unemployed | $36 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Retired | $33 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Students | $55 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

The European Commission developed an Innovation Union Scoreboard. The Innovation Union scoreboard $2013^{6}$ gives a comparative assessment of the innovation performance of the EU27 Member States and the relative strengths and weaknesses of their research and innovation systems. The graph below shows the overall ranking in terms of innovation performance.


Note: Average performance is measured using a composite indicator building on data for 24 indicators going from a lowest possible performance of 0 to a maximum possible performance of 1 . Average performance reflects performance in 2010/2011 due to a lag in data availability.
The performance of Innovation leaders is $20 \%$ or more above that of the EU27; of Innovation followers it is less than 20\% above but more than 10\% below that of the EU27; of Moderate innovators it is less than $10 \%$ below but more than $50 \%$ below that of the EU27; and for Modest innovators it is below 50\% that of the EU27.

[^3]A correlation analysis was conducted to further investigate the relationship between being informed about developments in science and technology and the level of innovation performance at national level. The chart below illustrates there is a strong positive correlation between these two measures ( $r=0.74$ ). The better a member state scores on the innovation performance index, the more likely it is for it to have a higher proportion of people who are informed about developments in science and technology. For example, Sweden is the country with the highest score on the Innovation scoreboard with a score of 0.747 and is also the country with one of the highest proportion of respondents who feel informed about developments in science and technology (61\%). On the other side, Romania scores really low on this scoreboard (0.221) and at the same there is only a quarter of the population who feel informed about developments in science and technology.


## 2. LEVEL OF INTEREST IN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

## - Just over half say they are interested in developments in science and technology -

Although a minority ( $40 \%$ ) say that they feel informed about developments in science and technology, this does not mean they are not interested. In fact a majority of respondents (53\%) say they are interested in developments in these areas, with 13\% very interested ${ }^{7}$. Almost one third (31\%) say they are not very interested, while $15 \%$ are not at all interested in developments in science and technology.

QD2. How interested are you in developments in science and technology?


[^4]As is the case for feeling informed, Eastern and Southern countries are less likely to say that they are interested in developments in science and technology.

In 18 countries in EU27 as well as in Croatia at least half of all respondents say they are interested in developments in science and technology. However, across all countries there is a wide variation in interest. More than three quarters (77\%) of respondents in Sweden are interested in developments in science and technology, as are 69\% of those in Luxembourg and $68 \%$ of those in Denmark and the Netherlands. In contrast $34 \%$ of Czech, $35 \%$ of Bulgarian and $37 \%$ of Romanian respondents say the same.


A review of the socio-demographic results shows a similar pattern to that for levels of information. There is a large difference between men and women, with men much more likely to say that they are interested in developments in science and technology (64\% vs. $44 \%$ of women). Those aged 55+ are less likely than younger respondents to say they are interested in these developments (46\% vs. 56\%-59\%).

The longer a respondent remained in education, the more likely they are to be interested in developments in science and technology. Just over one third (34\%) of those who completed their education aged 15 or younger say they are interested, compared to $69 \%$ of those who finished education aged 20 or older.

In terms of occupation groups, managers are the most likely to say they are interested in developments in science and technology (74\%), followed by students (67\%) and the self-employed ( $63 \%$ ). Housepersons ( $36 \%$ ) and the retired ( $45 \%$ ) are the only groups where fewer than half of all respondents say they are interested in these developments.

Respondents who say they feel informed about developments in science and technology are much more likely to say that they are interested in these developments, compared to those who say they do not feel informed ( $88 \%$ vs. $30 \%$ ).

|  | Total 'Interested' | Total 'Not interested' | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | 53\% | 46\% | 1\% |
| 3 Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 64\% | 35\% | 1\% |
| Female | 44\% | 55\% | 1\% |
| 国 Age |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% |
| 25-39 | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% |
| 40-54 | 56\% | 43\% | 1\% |
| $55+$ | 46\% | 53\% | 1\% |
| Education (End of) |  |  |  |
| 15- | 34\% | 65\% | 1\% |
| 16-19 | 51\% | 48\% | 1\% |
| 20+ | 69\% | 30\% | 1\% |
| Still studying | 67\% | 32\% | 1\% |
| R: $=$ : Respondent occupation scale |  |  |  |
| Self-employed | 63\% | 36\% | 1\% |
| Managers | 74\% | 25\% | 1\% |
| Other white collars | 55\% | 44\% | 1\% |
| Manual workers | 53\% | 46\% | 1\% |
| House persons | 36\% | 63\% | 1\% |
| Unemployed | 51\% | 48\% | 1\% |
| Retired | 45\% | 54\% | 1\% |
| Students | 67\% | 32\% | 1\% |
| Informed about science |  |  |  |
| Total 'Informed' | 88\% | 12\% | 0\% |
| Total 'Not informed' | 30\% | 69\% | 1\% |

A correlation analysis was conducted to further investigate the relationship between being interested in developments in science and technology, and feeling informed about these developments. The chart below illustrates there is a strong positive correlation between level of interest and level of information ( $r=0.85$ ). The more interested respondents are in developments in science and technology, the more likely they are to also be informed about these developments.


Using the results of the previous two questions (feeling informed about, and interest in developments in science and technology) respondents were categorised into four groups: those who are interested in developments in science and technology and who feel informed about them; those who are interested but do not feel informed; those who are not interested but feel informed; and, those who are not interested and who do not feel informed. The results are shown in the chart below.

More than one third (36\%) of respondents are interested in developments in science and technology and also feel informed about them, while $18 \%$ are interested but do not feel informed. Four in ten (40\%) are neither interested nor informed, while 4\% say they feel informed about developments in science and technology, but are not interested in them.

QD2T. Interest for and information about developments in science and technology


Sweden and Denmark are the only countries where a majority of respondents are both interested in and feel informed about developments in science and technology (both $58 \%$ ). In contrast $21 \%$ of Hungarian and Romanian respondents say the same. In fact at least half of all respondents in Bulgaria, the Czech Republic (both 59\%), Hungary, Romania (both 58\%), Austria, Slovakia (both 52\%) and Portugal (50\%) say that they are neither interested in nor informed about developments in science and technology.


In ten countries at least one in five respondents say they are interested in developments in science and technology，but do not feel informed about them：Greece（30\％），Cyprus （29\％），Italy，Spain，the Netherlands（all 23\％），Estonia（22\％），Croatia，Latvia（both $21 \%$ ），Belgium and Luxembourg（both 20\％）．

| EL | 30\％ |
| :---: | :---: |
| E CY | 29\％ |
| 二es | 23\％ |
| IIII | 23\％ |
| $\pm N L$ | 23\％ |
| －EE | 22\％ |
| E LV | 21\％ |
| II $\mathrm{BE}^{\text {e }}$ | 20\％ |
| 三 LU | 20\％ |
| IIFR | 19\％ |
| \＃SE | 19\％ |
| Evat | 18\％ |
| －LT | 18\％ |
| ＋ $\mathrm{Fl}^{\prime}$ | 17\％ |
| ＝ HU | 17\％ |
| $\cdots \mathrm{Sl}$ | 17\％ |
| 三 ${ }^{\text {AT }}$ | 17\％ |
| EPT | 16\％ |
| 践 UK | 15\％ |
| IIRO | 15\％ |
| ［1］IE | 15\％ |
| －DE | 15\％ |
| me | 15\％ |
| －${ }^{\text {In M }}$ M | 13\％ |
| －PL | 13\％ |
| $\pm B G$ | 12\％ |
| \％${ }^{\text {DK }}$ | 10\％ |
| － CZ | 10\％ |
| E HR | 21\％ |

Question：QD2T．interest for and information about developments in science and technology
Answers：Interested but not infomed


Socio-demographic analysis once again highlights some large differences between the characteristics of different groups.

Those who are interested in and feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to:

- Be men (45\%)
- Be aged under 55 (37\%-42\%)
- Have the highest education levels (52\%)
- Be managers (59\%)
- Use the internet every day (48\%)
- Think the influence of science on society is positive (42\%)
- Get their information on developments in science and technology from books, social media, websites and magazines (57\%-67\%).

Those who are not interested in and do not feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to:

- Be women ( $48 \%$ )
- Be aged $55+(48 \%)$
- Have the lowest education levels (60\%)
- Be housepersons (57\%)
- Never use the internet (60\%)
- Think the influence of science on society is negative (55\%)
- Get their information on developments in science and technology from television (33\%).

It is worth also looking at the group of respondents who are interested about sciences and technology but do not feel informed about it. Indeed, they should be the key target groups for future communication campaigns as they are interested in this matter and therefore are more likely to be receptive for this kind of information. Although, there are few socio-demographic differences, one may note the following:

- There are no differences in terms of gender within this group;
- They are more likely to be aged $25-54$ rather than $15-24$ or $55+(19 \%-20 \%$ vs. $17 \%$ and $16 \%) ;$
- They are more likely to have finished their full-time education at the age of 16-19 rather than before the age of 16 or at the age of 20 or later ( $20 \% \mathrm{vs} .16 \%$ and 17\%);
- They are more likely to be house persons (20\%), self-employed (19\%), employees (19\%) manual workers (19\%) or unemployed (19\%) rather than retired (16\%) or managers (15\%).

QD2T Interest for and information about developments in sciences and technology

|  | Interested and informed | Interested but not informed | Not interested but informed | Not interested and not informed | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | 36\% | 18\% | 4\% | 40\% | 2\% |
| 3 Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 45\% | 18\% | 4\% | 31\% | 2\% |
| Female | 27\% | 17\% | 6\% | 48\% | 2\% |
| 国 Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 42\% | 17\% | 6\% | 34\% | 1\% |
| 25-39 | 39\% | 20\% | 5\% | 34\% | 2\% |
| 40-54 | 37\% | 19\% | 4\% | 38\% | 2\% |
| $55+$ | 30\% | 16\% | 4\% | 48\% | 2\% |
| Education (End of) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15- | 18\% | 16\% | 4\% | 60\% | 2\% |
| 16-19 | 31\% | 20\% | 5\% | 42\% | 2\% |
| 20+ | 52\% | 17\% | 5\% | 25\% | 1\% |
| Still studying | 49\% | 18\% | 6\% | 26\% | 1\% |
| R:" Respondent occupation scale |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-employed | 44\% | 19\% | 4\% | 32\% | 1\% |
| Managers | 59\% | 15\% | 5\% | 20\% | 1\% |
| Other white collars | 36\% | 19\% | 4\% | 39\% | 2\% |
| Manual workers | 34\% | 19\% | 5\% | 40\% | 2\% |
| House persons | 16\% | 20\% | 5\% | 57\% | 2\% |
| Unemployed | 31\% | 19\% | 5\% | 43\% | 2\% |
| Retired | 29\% | 16\% | 4\% | 49\% | 2\% |
| Students | 49\% | 18\% | 6\% | 26\% | 1\% |
| Use of the Internet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Everyday | 48\% | 18\% | 5\% | 28\% | 1\% |
| Often/ Sometimes | 30\% | 20\% | 5\% | 43\% | 2\% |
| Never | 17\% | 16\% | 5\% | 60\% | 2\% |
| Influence of science on society |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 'Positive' | 42\% | 19\% | 5\% | 33\% | 1\% |
| Total 'Negative' | 21\% | 16\% | 7\% | 55\% | 1\% |
| Information sources |  |  |  |  |  |
| Television | 41\% | 21\% | 4\% | 33\% | 1\% |
| Newspapers | 50\% | 20\% | 4\% | 25\% | 1\% |
| Magazines | 57\% | 20\% | 4\% | 18\% | 1\% |
| Books | 67\% | 17\% | 3\% | 13\% | 0\% |
| Radio | 51\% | 19\% | 5\% | 23\% | 2\% |
| Websites | 61\% | 19\% | 4\% | 15\% | 1\% |
| Social media/ blogs | 64\% | 18\% | 3\% | 15\% | 0\% |

Base: all respondents $(N=26,563)$

## 3. Proximity to science and technology

### 3.1. Personal scientific background

## - Almost half of Europeans have studied science or technology-

Respondents were asked if they had ever studied science or technology, either at school, university, college or another location ${ }^{8}$. Overall $47 \%$ say they have studied science or technology. Most (31\%) have studied at school, while $14 \%$ studied at university or college, and $2 \%$ at another location. The majority, however, say they have never studied science or technology (52\%).

Since the last wave in 2010 the proportion who has studied science or technology at all has decreased from $53 \%$ to $47 \%$. This change may be explained by the slight change in the wording of the question.


Inner pie: EB73.1 01-02 / 2010
Outer pie: EB79.204-05 / 2013

[^5]The next table highlights the large variations across countries in the proportion of respondents who have studied science or technology. Respondents in Romania (75\%) and the UK (71\%) are the most likely to say that they have studied science or technology, and in both cases school is the most likely location for this study ( $68 \%$ and 53\% respectively).

The similarity in results for these two countries is particularly interesting given the responses discussed in the previous section. In the case of Romania, although three quarters say they have studied science or technology, $58 \%$ are neither interested in nor feel informed about developments in science or technology. In the UK, however, $27 \%$ are neither interested in nor feel informed about these developments.

Overall there are only 11 countries where at least half of all respondents have studied science or technology. In the majority of countries, including Croatia, fewer than half have studied one or both of these areas. This is particularly the case in Slovakia (13\%) and the Czech Republic (17\%). Rates of study are also low in Austria (21\%), Slovenia, and Hungary (both 23\%).

Respondents in Italy (27\%), Sweden and Finland (both $26 \%$ ) are the most likely to have studied science or technology at university or college, compared to $5 \%$ of those in Slovakia and 6\% of those in Romania and the Czech Republic.

QD3b Have you ever studied science or technology: at school, at university or in college or anywhere else?

|  |  | Yes, at school | Yes, at university or in college | Yes, anywhere else | No | Don't know | Total 'Yes' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EU27 | 31\% | 14\% | 2\% | 52\% | 1\% | 47\% |
| (1) | RO | 68\% | 6\% | 1\% | 21\% | 4\% | 75\% |
| 合 | UK | 53\% | 17\% | 1\% | 29\% | 0\% | 71\% |
| $0$ | FR | 42\% | 14\% | 2\% | 42\% | 0\% | 58\% |
| (1) | IE | 38\% | 15\% | 2\% | 45\% | 0\% | 55\% |
| 0 | IT | 25\% | 27\% | 2\% | 45\% | 1\% | 54\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 31\% | 19\% | 3\% | 46\% | 1\% | 53\% |
| 3 | LU | 35\% | 16\% | 2\% | 47\% | 0\% | 53\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | BG | 37\% | 14\% | 1\% | 47\% | 1\% | 52\% |
|  | SE | 23\% | 26\% | 3\% | 47\% | 1\% | 52\% |
| $6$ | EL | 33\% | 16\% | 2\% | 49\% | 0\% | 51\% |
| $0$ | MT | 44\% | 7\% | 0\% | 49\% | 0\% | 51\% |
| ) | LT | 19\% | 23\% | 4\% | 54\% | 0\% | 46\% |
|  | DK | 14\% | 23\% | 7\% | 56\% | 0\% | 44\% |
|  | ES | 32\% | 9\% | 3\% | 55\% | 1\% | 44\% |
| $0$ | BE | 28\% | 13\% | 2\% | 57\% | 0\% | 43\% |
|  | FI | 15\% | 26\% | 2\% | 57\% | 0\% | 43\% |
|  | PT | 23\% | 11\% | 2\% | 63\% | 1\% | 36\% |
| ) | PL | 25\% | 9\% | 1\% | 64\% | 1\% | 35\% |
|  | DE | 19\% | 12\% | 1\% | 67\% | 1\% | 32\% |
|  | EE | 14\% | 16\% | 2\% | 67\% | 1\% | 32\% |
| $3$ | NL | 8\% | 20\% | 1\% | 71\% | 0\% | 29\% |
| (5) | CY | 15\% | 10\% | 1\% | 74\% | 0\% | 26\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | HU | 15\% | 7\% | 1\% | 77\% | 0\% | 23\% |
|  | SI | 10\% | 11\% | 2\% | 77\% | 0\% | 23\% |
|  | AT | 11\% | 8\% | 2\% | 78\% | 1\% | 21\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | CZ | 10\% | 6\% | 1\% | 83\% | 0\% | 17\% |
| (3) | SK | 7\% | 5\% | 1\% | 87\% | 0\% | 13\% |
| $8$ | HR | 16\% | 12\% | 2\% | 70\% | 0\% | 30\% |

Socio-demographic analysis shows a range of notable differences. Men are more likely than women to have studied science or technology at any location ( $51 \% \mathrm{vs} .43 \%$ ). There is also a clear age pattern: the older the respondent, the less likely they are to have studied science or technology. For example, two thirds (66\%) of 15-24 year olds have studied science or technology, compared to $31 \%$ of those aged 55+.

Education levels also provide a striking contrast. Just under one in five (19\%) of those who completed their education aged 15 or younger have studied science or technology. This compares to $43 \%$ of those who finished education aged $16-19$, and $65 \%$ of those who completed education aged 20+. Managers (71\%) and the self-employed (56\%) are the most likely to have studied science or technology, compared to $44 \%$ of manual workers, $36 \%$ of housepersons and $29 \%$ of retired persons.

The more often a respondent uses the internet, the more likely they are to have studied science or technology. Six out of ten (60\%) who use the internet every day have studied science or technology, compared to $23 \%$ of those who never use the internet.

Looking at the attitudinal variables shows that respondents who are interested in developments in science and technology are more likely to have studied these areas, compared to those who are not interested ( $61 \%$ vs. $31 \%$ ). The same pattern applies when comparing those who say they feel informed about developments in science and technology ( $64 \%$ vs. $36 \%$ who do not feel informed). Respondents who have studied science and technology are also more likely to say that their influence on society is positive ( $51 \%$ vs. $42 \%$ of those who say the influence is negative).

QD3b Have you ever studied science or technology: at school, at university or in college or anywhere else?

|  | Total 'Yes' | No | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $47 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $1 \%$ |


| S. Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|    <br> Male $51 \%$ $48 \%$ <br> Female $43 \%$ $56 \%$ |


| 年 Age |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $66 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $57 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $49 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $31 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $1 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15- | $19 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| $16-19$ | $43 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $65 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Still studying | $72 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| Respondent occupation scale |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self-employed | $56 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Managers | $71 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Other white collars | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Manual workers | $44 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| House persons | $36 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Unemployed | $47 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Retired | $29 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Students | $72 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| Use of the Internet |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Everyday | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Often/ Sometimes | $43 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Never | $23 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $1 \%$ |


| Interested in science |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Interested' | $61 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' | $31 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $1 \%$ |


| Informed about science |
| :--- |
| Total 'Informed' |
| $64 \%$ |
| $36 \%$ |
| Total 'Not informed' |
| $36 \%$ |

Influence of science on society

| Total 'Positive' | $51 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Negative' | $42 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

### 3.2. Family scientific background

## - Almost one quarter have a family member with a job or degree in science or technology -

Respondents were asked whether anyone in their family has a science or technology related job or university qualification ${ }^{9}$. Overall, $23 \%$ of respondents say they have, and this has changed little since the last wave (+3 percentage points). It is most likely to be a family member other than a mother or father who has worked in or is qualified in the areas of science or technology (19\%). This change may also be explained by the slight change in the wording of the question.

Just over three quarters of respondents (76\%), however, have no family members with a science or technology related job or university qualification.

QD3a. Does or did any of your family have a job or a university qualification in science or technology?

(MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

[^6]Respondents living in Sweden（44\％），Luxembourg，Denmark and Finland（all 34\％）are the most likely to say they have a family member who has university qualifications in science or technology，or who has or had a job in the area．In contrast $9 \%$ of Hungarian and $10 \%$ of Romanian respondents say the same．

| \＃SE | 44\％ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ＝ LU | 34\％ |
| \＃ EK $^{\text {D }}$ | 34\％ |
| $\pm$ FI | 34\％ |
| $\pm \mathrm{Cr}$ | 31\％ |
| 䢕UK | 28\％ |
| \｜\｜FR | 28\％ |
| IIIIE | 28\％ |
| －LT | 27\％ |
| E $\mathrm{ES}^{\text {c }}$ | 25\％ |
| ＝${ }^{\text {NL }}$ | 25\％ |
| E DE | 25\％ |
| 脤 EL | 25\％ |
| 閶目 | 23\％ |
| －PL | 23\％ |
| 【｜ $\mathrm{BE}^{\text {E }}$ | 22\％ |
| －EE | 22\％ |
| －${ }^{\text {In MT }}$ | 19\％ |
| 三 $\mathrm{AT}^{\text {a }}$ | 18\％ |
| U SK | 18\％ |
| E ${ }^{\text {LV }}$ | 17\％ |
| ceril | 16\％ |
| EPT | 16\％ |
| EBG | 16\％ |
| － Cz | 15\％ |
| IIIT | 15\％ |
| IIRO | 10\％ |
| EHU | 9\％ |
| EHR | 18\％ |

Question：QD3a．Does or did any of your family have a job or a unisersity qualification in science or technology？
Answers：Total＇Yes＇

（MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE）

Socio-demographic analysis reveals no differences between genders or age groups. Respondents who completed their education aged $20+$ are more likely to say that someone in their family has or had a job or university qualification in science or technology (38\%).

Managers (41\%) are more likely than other occupation groups to say that someone in their family has or had a job or university qualification in science or technology, particularly when compared to housepersons (15\%), manual workers and the unemployed (both 17\%).

Respondents who have studied science or technology are more likely to have a family member that has or had a job or university qualification in science or technology, compared to those who have not studied these areas ( $33 \% \mathrm{vs} .14 \%$ ). In a similar pattern respondents who are interested in developments in science or technology are more likely to have a family member that has or had a job or university qualification in science or technology, compared to those who are not interested ( $32 \%$ vs.13\%). The same pattern applies when comparing those who do and do not feel informed about developments in science or technology (35\% vs. 15\%).

## 4. SOURCES OF INFORMATION ABOUT SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS

## - Television is the main source of information about developments in science and technology -

Respondents were asked what sources they use for information about developments in science and technology ${ }^{10}$. Television is the most mentioned source (65\%), followed by newspapers (33\%) and websites (32\%). Overall 35\% of respondents get information from the internet (including social media and blogs). Just over one quarter look in magazines (26\%), while radio (17\%), books (14\%) and social media and blogs (10\%) are less popular.

More than one in ten (16\%) say they do not look for information about developments in science and technology.

(MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

[^7]Q04 Where do you get information about developments in science and technology?

|  |  | Telewsion | Newspapers | On wetsitas | Magaines | Raso | Books | On sodat meda of tiogs | Othar (SPONTANEOUS) | You do not look for information about developments in science and tectinclogr (GPONTANEOUS) | Dont know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Eu27 | 65\% | 30\% | 32\% | 26\% | 17\% | 14\% | 10\% | 2\% | 16\% | 15. |
| $\theta$ | SE | S45 | 74\% | 54\% | 515 | 458 | 25\% | 23\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| 䢮 | DK | 785 | 53\% | 57\% | 35\% | 34\% | 23\% | 27\% | 2\% | 8\% | 0\% |
| * | 日G | 75s | 24\% | 22\% | 10\% | 8\% | 7\% | 9\% | 1\%10 | 15\% | 3\% |
| 6 | DE | 745 | 51\% | 28\% | 40\% | 24\% | 18\% | 10\% | 1\% | 10\% | 0\% |
| () | Ro | 745 | 22\% | 21\% | 17\% | 14\% | 11\% | 6\% | 1\% | 58\% | 1\% |
| 8 | Lu | 735 | 55\% | 51\% | 46\% | 31\% | 19\% | 14\% | 4\% | 9\% | 0\% |
| $\theta$ | EE | 725 | 39\% | 43\% | 35\% | 36\% | 155\% | 20\% | 1\% | 8\% | 18 |
| 6 | F1 | 125 | 57\% | 45\% | 37\% | 27\% | 21\% | 23\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| 3 | 3 | 715 | 38\% | 47\% | 22\% | 20\% | 12\% | +096 | 5* | 11\% | 0\% |
| - | LT | 695 | 32\% | 45\% | 21\% | 24\% | 14\% | 16\% | 3\% | 8\% | 2\% |
| \% | AT | 675 | 48\% | 20\% | 32\% | 23\% | 16\% | 1096 | 6\% | 20\% | 15 |
| \% | He | 575 | 54\% | 55\% | 44\% | 21\% | 23\% | 20\% | 3\% | 8\% | 0\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | Es | 665 | 22\% | 29\% | 13\% | 12\% | 11\% | 9\% | 2\% | 13\% | 0\% |
| (e) | Cr | 665 | 25\% | 39\% | 15\% | 13\% | 9\% | 8\% | 1\% | 14\% | 0\% |
| \% | LV | 665 | 24\% | 43\% | 28\% | 23\% | 9\% | 235 | 2\% | 9\% | 0\% |
| * | UK | 655 | $35 \%$ | 30\% | $19 \%$ | 17\% | 15\% | +2\% | 3\% | 13\% | 0\%\% |
| (2) | SK | 655 | 21\% | 33\% | 31\% | 20\% | 12\% | 51\% | 1\% | 18\% | 0\% |
| (1) | FR | 635 | 30\% | 45\% | 36\% | 18\% | 13\% | 6\% | 2\% | 14\% | 0\% |
| $\theta$ | HU | 615 | 24\% | 18\% | 10\% | 13\% | 9\% | 11\% | 1\% | 27\% | 08 |
| $\theta$ | CZ | 505 | 27\% | 38\% | 27\% | 18\% | 8\% | 3\% | 0\% | 20\% | 1\% |
| 2 | EL | 605 | 21\% | 33\% | 15\% | 85 | 18\% | 17\% | 1\% | 59\% | 0\% |
| $\theta$ | PL | 605 | 10\% | 20\% | $14 \%$ | 10\% | 9\% | 53\% | 1\% | 27\% | 0\% |
| 0 |  | 565 | 35\% | 445 | 30\% | 19\% | 20\% | 11\% | 2\% | 16\% | 0\% |
| () | If | S65 | 24\% | 19\% | 20\% | 3\% | 13\% | 10\% | 1\% | 24\% | 2\% |
| (3) | PT | 535 | 18\% | 17\% | 17\% | 4\% | 40\% | 8\% | 1\% | 34\% | 1\% |
| 3 | NT | 50\% | 20\% | 35\% | 9\% | 11\% | 11\% | 7\% | 2\% | 29\% | 1\% |
| 0 | IE | 445 | 29\% | 35\% | 14\% | 18\% | 18\% | 10\% | 4\% | 24\% | 1\% |
| 3 | HR | 66\% | 25\% | 34\% | 19\% | 13\% | 11\% | 9\% | 2\% | 18\% | 0\% |

Highest percentage per country
Lowest percentage per country
Higheat percentage per iten Lowest percentage per ite
(MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

A review of the country level results shows that respondents in Sweden are the most likely to look for information on developments in science and technology in each source listed, except on websites. Respondents in Denmark are the most likely to say that they look for this information on websites (57\%), while Latvian and Finnish respondents are as likely as those in Sweden to say they look for information on social media and blogs (all 23\%).

Television is the most mentioned source of information on developments in science and technology in each country, ranging from $84 \%$ of Swedish respondents, to $44 \%$ of those in Ireland. In fact Ireland is the only country where fewer than $50 \%$ say they get this information from the television.

A majority of respondents in 6 countries say they get information on developments in science and technology from newspapers: Sweden (74\%), Finland (57\%), Luxembourg (55\%), the Netherlands (54\%), Denmark (53\%) and Germany (51\%). This compares with $18 \%$ of respondents in Poland and Portugal.

At least half of all respondents in four countries say they get information on developments in science and technology on websites: Denmark (57\%), the Netherlands (56\%), Sweden (54\%) and Luxembourg (51\%). Less than one in five Portuguese (17\%), Hungarian (18\%) and Italian (19\%) respondents say the same.

Sweden is the only country where at least half of all respondents say they get information on developments in science and technology from magazines (51\%), although $46 \%$ of Luxembourgish and $44 \%$ of Dutch respondents say the same. This is a strong contrast with Malta, where just $9 \%$ get this information from magazines.

At least one third of Swedish (45\%), Estonian (36\%) and Danish (34\%) respondents get information on developments in science and technology from the radio, compared to 4\% of those in Portugal. One quarter (25\%) of Swedish respondents get this information from books, as do $23 \%$ of Danish and Dutch respondents. In contrast $7 \%$ of Bulgarian respondents say the same. As mentioned above, social media and blogs are most likely to be used by respondents in Sweden, Latvia and Finland (all 23\%), and they are least likely to be used by those in Poland (5\%).

At the other end of the spectrum, at least one quarter of respondents in Portugal (34\%), Malta (29\%), Poland and Hungary (both 27\%) say that they do not look for information about developments in science and technology.

The map below shows the proportion of respondents across all countries who look for information about science and technology on the internet (websites, and/or social media and blogs). It illustrates that the highest proportion of those using the internet for this kind of information are found in the Scandinavian and Baltic regions, while those living in central and eastern regions are generally less likely to use the internet for this information.

| 플 DK | 61\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\pm N \mathrm{~L}$ | 59\% |
| * SE | 57\% |
| = Lu | 53\% |
| - ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | 53\% |
| ELV | 52\% |
| EEE | 49\% |
| - St | 49\% |
| - $\operatorname{ma}_{\text {L }}$ | 48\% |
| -1] BE | 47\% |
| \\| 1 FR | 46\% |
| 或UK | 42\% |
| 5 CY | 41\% |
| - Cz | 40\% |
| EL | 38\% |
| IIIIE | 38\% |
| - M M | 36\% |
| [10] Ev27 | 35\% |
| EmK | 35\% |
| ㅍES | 32\% |
| $m \mathrm{DE}$ | 32\% |
| - PL | 29\% |
| \# BG | 25\% |
| = $\mathrm{AT}^{\text {T }}$ | 24\% |
| E HU | 24\% |
| IIT | 23\% |
| I\\|RO | 23\% |
| EPT | 21\% |
| EHR | 36\% |

Question: QD4. Where do you get infornation about developments in science and fechnology?
Answers: Total on the internet
Answers. Fota on the inter

Analysis of the socio-demographic variables reveals a number of interesting differences. Men are more likely than women to look for information on developments in science and technology in newspapers ( $36 \%$ vs. $30 \%$ ), in magazines ( $28 \%$ vs. $23 \%$ ), on websites in particular ( $40 \%$ vs. $26 \%$ ), and on the internet in general ( $43 \%$ vs. $29 \%$ ). Women are more likely to say that they do not look for this kind of information ( $19 \%$ vs. $13 \%)$.

Respondents aged 40+ are more likely than those aged 15-24 to mention television ( $66 \%-68 \%$ vs. $60 \%$ ) and newspapers ( $35 \%-36 \%$ vs. $26 \%$ ), while those aged $15-39$ are more likely than older respondents to use social media or blogs ( $15 \%-20 \%$ vs. $3 \%-9 \%$ ). In addition, the younger the respondent, the more likely they are to look for information on developments in science and technology on websites, and on the internet generally. For example $53 \%$ of $15-24$ year olds look on websites for this information, compared to $15 \%$ of those aged $55+$.

Looking at variations by education there is a consistent trend: the longer a respondent remained in education, the more likely they are to use each source to find information about developments in science and technology. This is particularly noticeable for websites and the internet generally. For example $9 \%$ of those who completed their education age 15 or younger get this kind of information on the internet (websites and/or social media and blogs), compared to $52 \%$ of those who completed their education aged $20+$, and $66 \%$ of current students.

As might be expected, those who are interested in developments in science and technology are more likely to use each source to get information about these developments. For example, $52 \%$ of those who are interested in these developments use the internet to get information on them, compared to $16 \%$ who are not interested. The same pattern applies when comparing those who feel informed about developments in science and technology compared to those who do not feel informed.

It is also interesting to look at the relationship between the use of the internet and other media to get information about developments in science and technology. For example, respondents who mention television (37\%), newspapers (41\%) and radio (45\%) are less likely to also mention the internet compared to those who mention books ( $60 \%$ also mention the internet) and magazines (52\%).

|  | Television | Newspapers | On websites | Magazines | Radio | Books | $\begin{gathered} \text { On social } \\ \text { media or blogs } \end{gathered}$ | Other (SPONTA. theous) | You do not look for intornation about developments in science and technology ISPONTA. MEOUS! | Don't know | Totat on the internet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euat | 65\% | 33\% | 32\% | 26\% | 17\% | 14\% | 10\% | 2\% | 16\% | 14 | 35\% |
| 89 sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maie | 67\% | 36\% | 40\% | 28\% | 13\% | 16\% | 12\% | 2\% | 13\% | 14* | 43\% |
| Female | 65\% | 30\% | $26 \%$ | 23\% | 15\% | 13\% | 8 N | 2\% | 18\% | 1\% | 29\% |
| [ifl Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.24 | 50\% | 25\% | 53\% | 23\% | 114 | 17\% | $20 \%$ | 34 | 12\% | OH | 58\% |
| 25-38 | 645 | 31\% | 46\% | 27\% | 16\% | 14\% | 15\% | 1* | 14\% | ON | 49\% |
| 40-54 | 65\% | $35 \%$ | $32 \%$ | 29\% | 18\% | $14 \%$ | 9\% | 2\% | 13\% | O\% | 36\% |
| 55 + | 66\% | 35\% | 15\% | 23\% | 19\% | 13\% | 3N | 15 | 21\% | 1\% | 18\% |
| 3. Education [End of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 - | 60\% | 22\% | 8\% | 13\% | 11\% | 74 | 2\% | 13/ | 29\% | 2\% | 9\% |
| 18-19 | 67\% | 32\% | 23\% | 23\% | 16\% | 11\% | 84, | 1\% | 17\% | O\% | 31\% |
| 20. | 69\% | 45\% | 43\% | 39\% | 23\% | 22\% | 15\% | 2\% | 78 | O\% | 52\% |
| sall studying. | S85 | 28\% | 61\% | 27\% | 12\% | 23\% | 25\% | 3\% | 10\% | 0\% | 66\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sel-emplozed | 65\% | 395 | $38 \%$ | 31\% | 19\% | 16\% | 13\% | 1\% | 12\% | Os | 44\% |
| Managers | 68\% | 48\% | 55\% | 43\% | 25\% | 23\% | 17\% | 2\% | 5\% | O\% | 62\% |
| Other white collars | 65\% | 37\% | $36 \%$ | 28\% | 16\% | 14\% | 13\% | 2\% | 13\% | 1\% | 41\% |
| Manual workers | 57\% | 32\% | 33\% | 28\% | 15\% | 1136 | 94 | 2\% | 10\% | O\% | 30\% |
| Housepersons | 59\% | 19\% | 14\% | 12\% | 10\% | 8\% | 4* | 1\% | 29\% | 1\% | 16\% |
| Unemployed | 65\% | 22\% | 32\% | 18\% | 11\% | 113 | 10\% | 24 | 17\% | 1\% | 35\% |
| Relired | 67\% | 34\% | 13\% | 22\% | 19\% | 13\% | 3N | 16 | 22\% | 1\% | 14\% |
| Soudents | 58\% | 28\% | 61\% | 27\% | 12\% | 23\% | 25\% | 3\% | 10\% | $0 \%$ | 66\% |
| Use of the interset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Evenday | \$5\% | 38\% | 52\% | 33* | 19\% | 19\% | 16\% | 24 | 9\% | O\% | 56\% |
| Often/Sometimes | 68\% | 34* | 18\% | 23\% | 15\% | 13\% | 6* | 2\% | 17\% | On | 22\% |
| Hever | 65\% | 25\% | 1\% | 15\% | 13\% | 74. | 0\% | 14\% | 26\% | 1\% | 14 |
| Interested in sicence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interesteg | 75\% | 43\% | 48\% | 37\% | 22\% | 22\% | 15\% | 2\% | $2 \%$ | 0* | 52\% |
| Total Notintersteor | 54\% | 21\% | 143\% | 13\% | 10\% | 5\% | 4* | 1* | 32\% | 1\% | 16\% |
| Informed about science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ntormed | 734 | 45\% | 52\% | 30\% | 23\% | 25\% | 17\% | 34 | 3* | 0\% | 56\% |
| Totai Voti informed | 60\% | 25\% | 19\% | 17\% | 12\% | 7\% | 6\% | 1\% | 26\% | 1\% | 21\% |

(MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

## 5. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT DESIRED IN DECISION-MAKING PROCESS ABOUT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

## - Around four in ten respondents think that citizens should be consulted and their opinions considered regarding decisions about science and technology -

In a new question for this wave, respondents were asked about the level of involvement they thought citizens should have in decisions made about science and technology ${ }^{11}$. The most common response is that citizens should be consulted and their opinions considered (39\%). Three in ten (31\%) think that citizens should only be informed, while $12 \%$ think citizens should participate and have an active role in such decisions. Around one in twenty thinks that citizens do not need to be involved or informed (6\%), while 4\% think that citizens' opinions should be binding.

Overall, more than half of Europeans believe that when it comes to decisions made about science and technology public dialogue is required (55\%) whereas less than four in 10 respondents believe that it is not (37\%).

QD6. What is the level of involvement citizens should have when it comes to decisions made about science and technology?


None (SPONTANEOUS) \| $1 \%$

Don't know $\square 7 \%$

[^8]The proportion of respondents indicating that public dialogue ${ }^{12}$ is required when decisions have to be taken regarding science and technology, range between $40 \%$ in Slovenia and $72 \%$ in Denmark. A geographical pattern is depicted, showing more people with this preference in the Nordic and Scandinavian countries and less people in the Eastern Europe.


Question: OD6. What is the level of involvement citizens should hive when it comes to decisions made about science and technology?
Answers: Public dalogue is required


[^9]More than a third of Europeans (37\%) do not wish to have any form of public dialogue when decisions need to be taken regarding science and technology. The proportions range across the countries between 20\% in Malta and 54\% in Slovenia, where more than half of the respondents do not wish such public involvement. Similar to Slovenia, around a half of respondents in Slovakia (51\%), Latvia (50\%), the Czech Republic (48\%) and Belgium (48\%) answer the same way.

"Total betveen Clizens do not need to be invalipdor informed' and crizens should onty be intomed

In most Member States, a majority of respondents - be it relative or absolute - believe that public dialogue is required (highlighted in pink in the chart below). However, in the following five countries a majority of Member States believe that they should not be involved in such decision-making process: Slovenia (54\% vs. 40\%), Slovakia (51\% vs. $43 \%$ ), Latvia (50\% vs. 45\%), Czech Republic (48\% vs. 46\%) and Hungary (46\% vs. $44 \%$ ). It is worth noting that all those countries are located in the Eastern part of Europe.

Denmark is the only country where an absolute majority (albeit small) hold any opinion. In this case $51 \%$ of Danish respondents think that citizens should be consulted and their opinion should be considered. Respondents in the UK (49\%), Germany and Sweden (both 48\%) are also likely to think this way. In comparison 24\% of Slovenian and $27 \%$ of Cypriot respondents also think this way.

Slovenian and Slovakian respondents are the most likely to think that citizens should only be informed about decisions made about science and technology (both 44\%), followed by those in Latvia (43\%). Just 14\% of Maltese respondents also hold this opinion.

At least one in five respondents in Cyprus (26\%), Greece (23\%), Croatia and Sweden (both $20 \%$ ) think that citizens should participate and have an active role in decisions made about science and technology. In contrast 6\% of Hungarian respondents also think this way.

There is only a small range in the proportion of respondents who think citizens do not need to be involved or informed. One in ten Irish and Slovenian (both 10\%) respondents think this way. compared to $2 \%$ of those in Cyprus and Luxembourg. There is a similarly small range for the proportion who thinks citizens' opinions should be binding. 8\% of Estonian, Spanish and Croatian respondents think this way, compared to $1 \%$ of those in Sweden.

The socio-demographic analysis shows there are no differences in opinion between men and women or across age groups. Respondents with the highest education levels are more likely to think that citizens should be consulted and their opinion should be considered particularly compared to those with the lowest education levels (43\% vs. $33 \%$ ). The same pattern applies for citizens participating and having an active role in the decision making process.

Respondents who are interested in developments in science and technology are more likely than those who are not interested to say that citizens should be consulted and their opinion should be considered (43\% vs. 35\%) and that citizens participating and having an active role ( $15 \%$ vs. $9 \%$ ). The same pattern applies when comparing those who feel informed about developments in science and technology with those who do not feel informed.

QD6 What is the level of involvement citizens should have when it comes to decisions made about science and technology?

|  | Citizens do not need to be involved or informed | Citizens should only be informed | Citizens should be consulted and their opinion should be considered | Citizens should participate and have an active role | Citizens' opinions should be binding | None (SPONTANEOUS) | Don't know | Public dialogue is required | Public dialogue is not required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | 6\% | 31\% | 39\% | 12\% | 4\% | 1\% | 7\% | 55\% | 37\% |
| 3. Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 6\% | 32\% | 38\% | 14\% | 4\% | 1\% | 5\% | 56\% | 38\% |
| Female | 5\% | 30\% | 40\% | 11\% | 4\% | 2\% | 8\% | 55\% | 35\% |
| 国閣 Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 6\% | 29\% | 41\% | 13\% | 4\% | 1\% | 6\% | 58\% | 35\% |
| 25-39 | 6\% | 31\% | 39\% | 14\% | 4\% | 1\% | 5\% | 57\% | 37\% |
| 40-54 | 5\% | 32\% | 40\% | 13\% | 4\% | 1\% | 5\% | 57\% | 37\% |
| $55+$ | 6\% | 31\% | 38\% | 11\% | 3\% | 2\% | 9\% | 52\% | 37\% |
| Education (End of) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15- | 6\% | 33\% | 33\% | 9\% | 4\% | 3\% | 12\% | 46\% | 39\% |
| 16-19 | 6\% | 33\% | 39\% | 11\% | 4\% | 1\% | 6\% | 54\% | 39\% |
| 20+ | 5\% | 28\% | 43\% | 16\% | 4\% | 1\% | 3\% | 63\% | 33\% |
| Still studying | 5\% | 28\% | 43\% | 14\% | 4\% | 1\% | 5\% | 61\% | 33\% |

Interested in science

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Interested' | $5 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' | $7 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $2 \%$ |


| $62 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $48 \%$ | $39 \%$ |

## Informed about science

| Total 'Informed' | $5 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Not informed' | $6 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $9 \%$ |


| $63 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $50 \%$ | $39 \%$ |

A correlation analysis was carried out to investigate the relationship between feeling informed about developments in science and technology and the view that public dialogue is required when decisions are taken regarding science and technology. The results in the chart below illustrate a strong positive correlation ( $r=0.74$ ). The more informed respondents feel about developments in science and technology the more they think that public dialogue is required.


[^10]
## 6. THE BEST QUALIFIED PEOPLE INVOLVED TO EXPLAIN THE IMPACT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ON SOCIETY

## - University or government scientists are the best qualified to explain the impact of scientific or technological developments -

Respondents were given a list of categories of people and organisations, and asked who they considered to be the best qualified to explain the impact of scientific and technological developments on society ${ }^{13}$. Two thirds ( $66 \%$ ) of respondents say that scientists working at universities or in government laboratories are best qualified to give these explanations, while $35 \%$ say scientists working in private company laboratories. Around one in five mention environmental protection associations (21\%), television journalists (20\%), Consumer organisations (20\%) and medical doctors (19\%).

Newspaper journalists are mentioned by $15 \%$, with $9 \%$ mentioning industry, $7 \%$ writers and intellectuals and $6 \%$ mentioning government representatives. Least mentioned are religious representatives (1\%), the military (3\%) or politicians (4\%).

There have been few changes since the previous wave in 2010. Respondents are less likely to mention medical doctors than they were in 2010 (down 7 percentage points), and they are also less likely to mention government representatives ( -5 points).

[^11]QD7. Among the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), which are the best qualified to explain the impact of scientific and technological developments on society?


固 EB 79.2 04-05 / 2013
EU27

- EB 73.101-02 / 2010
(MAXIMUM 3 ANSWERS)

The table on the following page shows the results at country level. In all EU27 countries and in Croatia the most mentioned group are scientists working in universities or government laboratories. Furthermore at least half of all respondents in each country mentions this group of people. This ranges from more than nine in ten Cypriot respondents (92\%), 82\% of Greek and $81 \%$ of Swedish respondents, to $54 \%$ of respondents in Portugal.

Cyprus is the only country where at least half of all respondents mention scientists working in private company laboratories (57\%), although these scientists are also mentioned by $48 \%$ of Spanish and $47 \%$ of Greek respondents. In contrast $19 \%$ of Hungarians also mention scientists working in private company laboratories.

Respondents living in Austria are the most likely to mention environmental protection associations (33\%), followed by those in Germany 31\%), Sweden (29\%), Denmark (28\%) and France (27\%). At the other end of the scale 9\% of Polish and Lithuanian respondents also mention these associations. Polish respondents are also least likely to mention medical doctors ( $7 \%$ ), particularly compared to those in Greece (32\%) and Cyprus (30\%).

Hungarian respondents are the most likely to mention television journalists (36\%), compared to $11 \%$ of respondents in Spain, Malta and the Czech Republic. Just over four out of ten German respondents ( $41 \%$ ) mention consumer organisations, compare to $5 \%$ of those in Latvia.

Dutch respondents are the most likely to mention newspaper journalists (33\%), while Spanish respondents are the least likely to do so (6\%). Dutch respondents, along with those in Sweden, are also the most likely to mention writers and intellectuals (both 15\%), particularly compared to respondents in Latvia, Slovakian and the Czech Republic (all 3\%).

Respondents in Luxembourg are the most likely to mention government representatives (14\%), whilst Belgian respondents are the most likely to mention politicians (11\%). UK respondents are the most likely to mention the military (8\%), while those in Austria are the most likely to mention religious representatives (3\%).

OD7 Among the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY, which are the best qualfied to explain the inpact of scientifc and tectnological deveiopments on society?

|  |  | Scientists woring at a university or govemment laboratones | Suentists warking in private compan) laboralories | Ematronmental protectian associations | Tulovesion joumalists | Consumer organisations | Medcal doctors | Newspaper joumalists | Incuatry | Wirtions and intelilectuals | Government representatives | Politcians | The multary | Reprasentatives of different religions | Other ISPONTANEOUS) | None (SPCNTANEOUS) | Dont know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eu27 | 68\% | 35\% | 21\% | 20\% | 20\% | 19\% | 15\% | 9\% | 74 | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1* | 1\% | 6\% |
|  | Cr | 925 | का\% | 23\% | 17\% | 17\% | 30\% | 7\% | 35 | 7\% | 9\% | $4 \%$ | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 05 | 1\% |
| \% | EL | $88 \%$ | 47\% | 21\% | 12\% | 13\% | 32\% | 7\% | 4\% | 13\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% |
| $\theta$ | 3E | 815 | 36\% | 29\% | 145\% | 2546 | 19\% | 17\% | 13\% | 15\% | 5\% | 8\% | 38 | 236 | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| - | EE | 805 | 45\% | 88\% | 12\% | 9\% | 17\% | 12\% | 7\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1* | 6\% |
| , | ES | 805 | 48\% | 19\% | 11\% | 10\% | 21\% | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 6\% |
| (v) | BG | 79\% | 41\% | 20\% | 20\% | 7\% | 12\% | 10\% | 8\% | 5\% | 8\% | 2\% | 3\% | $0 \%$ | 0\% | 0\% | 12\% |
| $\theta$ | CZ | 79\% | 45\% | 21\% | $115 \%$ | 10\% | 22\% | 8\% | 10\% | 3\% | 6\% | 381 | 45 | 1\% | 0\% | 15 | $4 \%$ |
| $\theta$ | SK | 75\% | 43\% | 21\% | 16\% | 10\% | 12\% | 16\% | $11 \%$ | 3\% | 7\% | 5\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% |
| * | UK | 748 | 36\% | 10\% | 14\% | 10\% | 28\% | 10\% | 17\% | 8\% | 6\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 7\% |
| $\theta$ | DK | 7\% | 35\% | 20\% | 20\% | 33\% | 16\% | 18\% | 13\% | 10\% | 4\% | 8\% | t\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% |
| 0 | $1 T$ | 705 | 39\% | 9\% | 21\% | 6\% | 10\% | 13\% | 6\% | 5\% | 11\% | 7\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 7\% |
| () | 日E | 685 | 23\% | 15\% | 22\% | 23\% | 27\% | 17\% | 9\% | 8\% | 11\% | 11\% | 3\% | 2\% | 19\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| (1) | IE | 68\% | 39\% | 19\% | 13\% | 12\% | 11\% | 10\% | 5\% | 5\% | 2\% | 3\% | 14\% | 18 | 1\% | 1\% | 7\% |
| 6 | Lu | 685 | 31\% | 22\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 25\% | 8\% | 6\% | 14\% | 78 | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 15\% | 4\% |
| 2 | NL | 665 | 23\% | 15\% | 219 | 36\% | 18\% | 33\% | 9\% | 15\% | 8\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 15\% | 4\% |
| \% | LV | 65\% | 31\% | 12\% | 24\% | 5\% | 16\% | 19\% | 12\% | 3\% | 7\% | 6\% | 4\% | 1\% | O\% | 1\% | 5\% |
| 5 | AT | 645 | 34\% | 33\% | 27\% | 30\% | 20\% | 19\% | 9\% | ${ }^{6 \%}$ | 5\% | 5\% | 1\% | 346 | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| $\theta$ | Si | 64\% | 37\% | 17\% | 20\% | 15\% | 14\% | 16\% | 6\% | 10\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| \% | F1 | 62\% | 31\% | 21\% | 20\% | 19\% | 22\% | 23\% | 15\% | 11\% | 7\% | 7\% | 4\% | 2\% | 15 | 1\% | 2\% |
| () | $\pi$ | 615 | 38\% | 18\% | 18\% | 16\% | 14\% | 15\% | 4\% | 45 | 6\% | 4\% | 13 | 2\% | 18\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| 3 | DE | 60\% | 23\% | 31\% | 26\% | 415 | 19\% | 20\% | 9\% | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% | 2\% | 19\% | 0\% | 1\% | 5\% |
| () | FR | 59\% | 37\% | 27\% | 20\% | 29\% | 28\% | 19\% | 105\% | 9\% | 54 | 4\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% |
| (v) | PL | $59 \%$ | $31 \%$ | 9\% | 29\% | 6\% | 7\% | 14\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 14\% |
| (1) | Ro | 585 | 32\% | 19\% | 25\% | 12\% | 10\% | 14\% | 11\% | 8\% | 12\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 8\% |
| () | mt | 575 | 30\% | 16\% | 115\% | 9\% | 24\% | 9\% | 85\% | 9\% | 10\% | 10\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 16\% |
| ) | HU | 55\% | 19\% | 24\% | 36\% | 15\% | 18\% | 18\% | 14\% | 7\% | 9\% | 5\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 4\% |
| 0 | FT | 545 | 33\% | 18\% | 24\% | 85 | 23\% | 12\% | 5\% | 4\% | 8\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 3\% | 14\% |
| 5 | HR | 76\% | 36\% | 48\% | 20\% | 7\% | 15\% | 12\% | 6\% | 8\% | 5\% | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% |

Highest percentage per country Lowest percentage per country

| Highest percentage per itemtry | Lowest percentage per country |
| :---: | :---: |

The table below shows the trend since 2010 for the five most mentioned answers at EU level. It illustrates that although there has been little change at the overall EU27 level, there have been some notable shifts within countries.

Respondents in Denmark ( +14 percentage points), the UK, Spain (both +12 ) and Austria $(+10)$ are now more likely to mention scientists working in universities or government laboratories than they were in 2010. In contrast mentions of this group of scientists have decreased 7 points in the Netherlands, and 6 points in Hungary, Malta, France and the Czech Republic.

Cypriot respondents are now much more likely to mention scientists working in private company laboratories than they were in the last wave (+18 points), and mentions of this group of scientists have also increased in Spain (+14) the Czech Republic $(+12)$ and Denmark ( +10 ). In contrast, mentions of this group of scientists have decreased notably in Hungary (-14), Slovakia (-13), Croatia and Lithuania (both 10).

Mentions of environmental protection associations have declined in most countries, particularly amongst respondents in Cyprus ( -15 points), Slovakia ( -12 ) and Malta ( -10 ). Respondents in Romania ( -13 ), Latvia ( -12 ) and Denmark ( -11 ) are less likely to mention television journalists than they were in 2010. In contrast mentions of this group have increased notably in Croatia ( +8 ), Austria, the Netherlands and Slovakia (all +7 ).

At the EU27 level, mentions of consumer organisations have declined 3 percentage points, but the decline has been greater in Cyprus ( -9 points), Hungary ( -8 ) and Portugal and Finland (both -6).

QD7 Among the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), which are the best qualified to explain the impact of scientific and technological developments on society?*

|  |  | Scientists working at a university or government laboratories |  | Scientists working in private company laboratories |  | Environmental protection associations |  | Television journalists |  | Consumer organisations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | EB 79.2 04-05/ 2013 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evolution } \\ 2013 \text { - } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } 79.2 \\ 04-05 \text { / } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evolution } \\ 2013 \text { - } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | EB 79.2 04-05 I 2013 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evolution } \\ 2013 \text { - } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } 79.2 \\ 04-05 \text { / } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evolution } \\ 2013 \text { - } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } 79.2 \\ 04-05 \text { I } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evolution } \\ 2013 \text { - } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| $2$ | EU27 | 66\% | +3 | 35\% | +3 | 21\% | -3 | 20\% | $=$ | 20\% | -3 |
| (5) | CY | 92\% | +6 | 57\% | +18 | 23\% | -15 | 17\% | -2 | 17\% | -9 |
| 2 | EL | 82\% | +1 | 47\% | +7 | 21\% | -7 | 12\% | -2 | 13\% | -5 |
|  | SE | 81\% | +5 | 36\% | +3 | 29\% | +3 | 14\% | -3 | 26\% | $+2$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | EE | 80\% | +8 | 45\% | -1 | 18\% | -6 | 12\% | -5 | 9\% | +1 |
| $\nabla$ | ES | 80\% | +12 | 48\% | +14 | 19\% | +1 | 11\% | -3 | 10\% | -3 |
|  | BG | 79\% | +1 | 41\% | -8 | 20\% | -6 | 20\% | +3 | 7\% | -3 |
| $\bigcirc$ | CZ | 79\% | -6 | 45\% | +12 | 21\% | -4 | 11\% | -2 | 10\% | -2 |
| $\Theta$ | SK | 75\% | +4 | 43\% | -13 | 21\% | -12 | 16\% | +7 | 10\% | +4 |
| 包 | UK | 74\% | +12 | 36\% | +6 | 16\% | -1 | 14\% | -2 | 10\% | -2 |
|  | DK | 72\% | +14 | 35\% | +10 | 28\% | +1 | 20\% | -11 | 33\% | -2 |
| ) | LT | 70\% | -4 | 39\% | -10 | 9\% | -2 | 21\% | +1 | 6\% | $=$ |
| (1) | BE | 68\% | $=$ | 33\% | -3 | 15\% | -2 | 22\% | +4 | 23\% | -1 |
| $0$ | IE | 68\% | -2 | 39\% | -5 | 19\% | -8 | 13\% | -5 | 12\% | -5 |
| 3 | LU | 68\% | +4 | 31\% | -6 | 22\% | -6 | 20\% | +2 | 20\% | +2 |
| $\bigcirc$ | NL | 66\% | -7 | 23\% | $=$ | 15\% | -4 | 21\% | +7 | 36\% | -5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 65\% | +7 | 31\% | -4 | 12\% | -4 | 24\% | -12 | 5\% | -2 |
| 3 | AT | 64\% | +10 | 34\% | +9 | 33\% | -3 | 27\% | +7 | 30\% | -7 |
|  | SI | 64\% | -4 | 37\% | -4 | 17\% | $=$ | 20\% | -5 | 15\% | +1 |
| (1) | FI | 62\% | -4 | 31\% | -7 | 21\% | $=$ | 20\% | -3 | 19\% | -6 |
| 0 | IT | 61\% | -5 | 38\% | +2 | 18\% | -5 | 18\% | +3 | 16\% | -5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 60\% | +3 | 23\% | +3 | 31\% | -4 | 26\% | +1 | 41\% | -3 |
| $0$ | FR | 59\% | -6 | 37\% | -2 | 27\% | -3 | 20\% | +2 | 29\% | -2 |
| 3 | PL | 59\% | +6 | 31\% | $=$ | 9\% | -2 | 29\% | +4 | 6\% | -4 |
| (1) | RO | 58\% | +4 | 32\% | -7 | 19\% | +3 | 25\% | -13 | 12\% | $=$ |
| (1) | MT | 57\% | -6 | 30\% | -9 | 16\% | -10 | 11\% | -6 | 8\% | -3 |
| 3 | HU | 55\% | -6 | 19\% | -14 | 24\% | -3 | 36\% | +3 | 15\% | -8 |
|  | PT | 54\% | +1 | 33\% | +4 | 18\% | -6 | 24\% | $=$ | 8\% | -6 |
| \% | HR | 76\% | -3 | 36\% | -10 | 18\% | -3 | 20\% | +8 | 7\% | +4 |

(MAXIMUM 3 ANSWERS)

Socio-demographic analysis does not reveal any notable differences between men and women. There are also few differences across age groups. Those aged 55+ are the least likely to mention university or government scientists (61\% vs. 67\%-71\%). They are also least likely to mention scientists working in private laboratories, particularly compared to those aged $15-39$ ( $30 \%$ vs. $39 \%-40 \%$ ). Respondents aged $15-24$ are least likely to mention consumer organisations (14\%), particularly compared to those aged 40+ (21\%23\%).

There are also few differences based on education levels. Those who completed their education aged 15 or younger are the least likely to mention scientists working in private laboratories (30\%), consumer organisations (14\%) and environmental protection associations (16\%). In addition, the higher a respondents' education level, the more likely they are to mention university or government scientists. For instance 56\% of those who completed their education aged 15 or younger mention them, compared to $72 \%$ of those who completed their education aged $20+$.

A review of the attitudinal variables shows those who feel informed about developments in science or technology are more likely to mention university or government scientists (72\%), private laboratory scientists (38\%), or consumer organisations (23\%), compared to those who do not feel informed. The same pattern applies when comparing those who are and are not interested in developments in science and technology.

Respondents who say that the influence of science on society is positive are much more likely to say that university or government scientists are best qualified to explain the impact of science and technology developments on society ( $71 \% \mathrm{vs} .49 \%$ who say the influence is negative).

|  | Sclentests workn! at a untuereity ar porertimen iatopfatories | Scientists worviry is prwate compaty lationtanies | Tevironmenta prutection aspleciationa | Tetensem | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Consamer } \\ & \text { arganationt } \end{aligned}$ | Hedice dixcturs | Newapaper jounatists | intuaty | Whears ant intellersuefe | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gevernmert } \\ \text { represatatios } \end{gathered}$ | Pothican | Bematay |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mune } \\ \text { (spowtantous) } \end{gathered}$ | Dantikaw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eunt | 60\% | 30\% | 2148 | 20\% | 20\% | 19\% | 10\% | 3* | T4 | 6\% | 45 | 35 | 15 | 15 | 14. | * |
| 38 3ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uah | 878 | 305 | 209 | 205 | N\% | 16\% | 105 | $10 \%$ | 6\% | \% ${ }^{5}$ | 14 | 5 | 15 | is | is | 64 |
| famas | 645 | $23 \%$ | 224 | 205 | 24* | 218 | 15\% | 78 | s* | \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4 | 25 | 14 | 1* | 2\% | 74 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.24 | 714 | 20\% | 204 | 175 | 145 | 20\% | 12\% | 103 | 74 | 54 | 3s | 55 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 5\% |
| 20\%a | On | 25 | 200 | 135 | ns | 108 | 155 | 1000 | 7\% | as | 4 | $\cdots$ | 15 | H | is | \% |
| 40.54 | ${ }^{174}$ | 34* | 27 | 200 | 234 | 194 | 19\% | 8* | s\% | ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | $4{ }^{4}$ | 2* | 24 | 14 | th | 54 |
| ss. | 815 | 20\% | 205 | 215 | 215 | 20\% | 178 | 38 | \% | 45 | 4 | 25 | 15 | 1* | 78 | ms |
| 8 Efocasomend of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15. | 56s | 30\% | 368 | 238 | $4 \pi$ | 238 | tats | 3* | 45 | 54 | ** | 35 | is | +5 | 35 | tas |
| $10 \cdot 19$ | 684 | 30\% | 218 | 213 | 21* | 198 | 18\% | 9\% | 54 | 05 | 45 | 36 | 15 | 14 | is | 0 |
| 20. | 12\% | 3e\% | 24* | 10\% | 244 | 185 | 18\% | $10 \%$ | 10\% | 5* | 4 | 2* | 14 | * | 14. | s* |
| Sustuting | 704 | 40\% | 210 | T\% | 14\% | 21\% | 15\% | 3 | 5 | 5 | \$ | 5 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 38 |
| theissted in k evece |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totimimester | 730 | 3\% | 200 | 145 | 20 | 213 | 175 | 1008 | Pa | 5 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 3 |
| Total hat mavesiad | 58\% | $32 \%$ | tas | 275 | 16\% | 10\% | 14\% | 7\% | 45 | \%\% | 5\% | 2\% | 15 | ts | 25 | 10\% |
| Intiemat eneat atata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totai Moumed | 72\% | इง\% | 22\% | 10\% | 22\% | 20\% | 17\% | 118 | 0\% | 5\% | 48 | 4 | 15 | ts | 15 | $2 *$ |
| Tour wat intimat | 6200 | $3 \times$ | 20 | 275 | 5* | 100\% | 14* | 75 | 5* | as | 4 | 25 | 1* | * | 25 | * |
| bivech of soince on wiey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Towippothe | 71\% | 374 | 215 | 200 | 21\% | 20\% | ta\% | 100 | 7* | a* | 4 | 30 | 150 | + | 1\% | 3* |
| Tobilingiver | 49\% | 315 | 27\% | 22\% | 17\% | 20\% | 10\% | 9\% | T4 | \%* |  | 5 | 2\% | 15 | 45 | 48 |

(MAXIMUM 3 ANSWERS)

## II. THE IMPACT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ON SOCIETY

This section of the report explores the impact of science and technology on society. In the first part, Europeans' views on the positive or negative influence of science and technology on society is explored. In the second part, opinions about whether groups such as scientists, journalists and industry behave responsibly towards society when undertaking their science and technology related activities are discussed.

## 1. OVERALL INFLUENCE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ON NATIONAL SOCIETY

## - More than three quarters say that science and technology have a positive influence on society -

Most respondents (77\%) think that science and technology have a positive influence on society overall ${ }^{14}$. In fact almost one in five (17\%) think their influence is very positive. One in ten (10\%) think that the overall influence of science and technology is negative, while $13 \%$ are unable to give an opinion.

This result is particularly interesting considering that just 40\% of respondents say they feel informed about developments in science and technology, and $53 \%$ say they are interested in these areas.

QD5. Do you think that the overall influence of science and technology on (NATIONALITY) society is positive or negative?


[^12]The chart below illustrates the majority of respondents in all countries think that the overall influence of science and technology on society is positive. This view is almost universal amongst respondents in Sweden (94\%), and is also held by at least nine out of ten Estonian (91\%) and Lithuanian (90\%) respondents.

At the other end of the spectrum, results on the negative view are relatively homogenous across all countries surveyed. The highest proportions of people considering science and technology to have a negative influence on the national society are in the Czech Republic, Croatia (each 16\%) and Italy (15\%). On the other hand, the lowest proportion in this regard belongs to Estonia (2\%).

In the majority of the countries surveyed (18 out of 28) at least one in 10 respondents are unable to give an answer to this question. The proportion rises up to more than two in 10 respondents in Malta (24\%), Romania and Portugal (each $23 \%$ ). Despite this, the proportion of people with negative views in these countries is still relatively in line with the results for the other countries ( $5 \%, 8 \%$ and $9 \%$ respectively - lower than the EU average of $10 \%$ ).


The socio-demographic analysis shows men are slightly more likely than women to think the overall influence of science and technology on society is positive ( $80 \%$ vs. $75 \%)$. Respondents under 55 are also more likely than older respondents to say that the influence of science and technology is positive ( $73 \%$ vs. $78 \%-80 \%$ ).

Everyday users of the internet are also more likely to think the influence is positive when compared to see frequent users ( $83 \%$ vs. $70 \%-74 \%$ ).

Respondents who say they are interested in developments in science and technology are more likely to say the overall influence of science and technology on society is positive, compared to those who are not interested ( $87 \% \mathrm{vs} .65 \%$ ). The same pattern applies for those who feel informed about developments in science and technology ( $87 \%$ vs. $70 \%$ ), those who have studied science and technology ( $82 \%$ vs. $72 \%$ ), and those who have a family member with a job or degree in science or technology ( $84 \%$ vs. $75 \%$ ).

QD5 Do you think that the overall influence of science and technology on (NATIONALITY) society is positive or negative?

|  | Total 'Positive' | Total 'Negative' | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $77 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ |


| S. Sex |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $80 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Female | $75 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $15 \%$ |

罒 Age

| $15-24$ | $80 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25-39$ | $80 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $78 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $73 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $16 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-$ | $66 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $16-19$ | $76 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $84 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Still studying | $85 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ |


| Use of the Internet |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Everyday | $83 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Often/ Sometimes | $74 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Never | $70 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

Interested in science

| Total 'Interested' | $87 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Not interested' | $65 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $21 \%$ |

Informed about science

| Total 'Informed' | $87 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Not informed' | $70 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

Studied science or tech

| Total 'Yes' | $82 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | $72 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $16 \%$ |

Educ./job in science in family

| Total 'Yes' | $84 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | $75 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

A correlation analysis was performed to investigate the relationship between the view that the overall influence of science on society is positive, and a feeling of being informed about developments in science and technology. The chart below illustrates there is a weak positive correlation between these two opinions ( $r=0.38$ ). Those who are positive about the influence of science and technology on society are more likely to feel informed about developments in science and technology. However, the relationship is not a strong one, so being positive about the impact of science and technology on society isn't necessarily a strong indicator that one will feel informed in this area, and vice versa.


## 2. EFFORTS TO BEHAVE RESPONSIBLY TOWARDS SOCIETY OF DIFFERENT GROUP OF PEOPLE OR ORGANISATIONS IN THEIR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY RELATED ACTIVITIES

## - University or government scientists and environmental protection associations are most likely to be seen as trying to behave responsibly towards society -

Respondents were given a list of different groups of people and asked to say whether they thought each group tried to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities ${ }^{15}$. At least eight out of ten think that scientists working in government laboratories or universities (82\%) and environmental protection associations (81\%) try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities. Just over three quarters (76\%) say this about consumer organisations, while $66 \%$ say this about scientists working in private laboratories. At least half think that journalists (59\%) and industry (50\%) try to behave responsibly in this area, while $44 \%$ think this about government representatives.

The results for each group are considered individually in the next sections.

### 2.1. Scientists

When asking about scientists the sample in each country was split, so half were asked about scientists working in universities or government laboratories, and half about scientists working in private laboratories.

More than eight out of ten ( $82 \%$ ) think that scientists working at a university or government laboratory try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities, with $35 \%$ saying that they definitely do. Just over one in ten (11\%) think they do not try to behave responsibly.

[^13]QD8.1. For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Scientists working at a university or government laboratories


Base: asked only to Split A $=13.263$ respondents

At least seven out of ten respondents in all countries think that scientists working at a university or government laboratory try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities. Respondents in Denmark, Finland and Sweden (all 91\%) are the most likely to think this way, compared to $71 \%$ of those in Malta. It is worth noting that at least one in five (22\%) Maltese respondents are unable to give an opinion.

QD8.1. For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Scientists working at a university or government laboratories


Base: asked only to Split A $=13.263$ respondents in EU27 +515 respondents in Croatia

Respondents are less certain about scientists working in private laboratories, with 66\% saying that this group try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities. Almost one quarter (24\%) think this group do not try and behave responsibly in this area.

QD8.2. For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Scientists working in private company laboratories


[^14]At least six out of ten respondents in all countries think that scientists working in private company laboratories try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities. Respondents in Cyprus, Sweden (both 83\%) and Denmark (80\%) think this way, compared to $60 \%$ of those in Latvia and $61 \%$ of those in Luxembourg and France.


Base: asked only to Split B $=13.300$ respondents in EU27 +485 respondents in Croatia

### 2.2. Environmental protection associations

At least eight out of ten respondents think that environmental protection associations try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities (81\%). One third says they definitely do (33\%). Just over one in ten (13\%) think that environmental protection associations do not try to behave responsibly in these areas.

QD8.3. For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Environmental protection associations


Base: asked only to Split $A=13.263$ respondents in EU27

At least nine out of ten Swedish (91\%) and Austrian (90\%) respondents think that environmental protection associations try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities. Respondents in Lithuania and Malta are the least likely to think this way, but even here $68 \%$ and $69 \%$ respectively think these associations try to behave responsibly.


Base: asked only to Split A $=13.263$ respondents in EU27 +515 respondents in Croatia

### 2.3. Consumer organisations

Just over three quarters (76\%) of respondents think that consumer organisations try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities, with $28 \%$ saying they definitely do. Conversely, $16 \%$ of respondents think consumer organisations do not try and behave responsibly in these areas.

QD8.4. For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Consumer organisations


Base: asked only to Split B $=13.300$ respondents in EU27

Once again it is Swedish (92\%) and Austrian (91\%) respondents who are most likely to think consumer organisations try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities. Nine in ten Dutch respondents also agree (90\%). Although the overall agreement level in Germany is slightly lower (88\%) it is worth noting that almost half (49\%) definitely agree.

At the other end of the scale $55 \%$ of Croatian respondents think consumer organisations try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities.

QD8.4. For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities? Consumer organisations


Base: asked only to Split B $=13.300$ respondents in EU27 +485 respondents in Croatia

### 2.4. Journalists

Respondents are less confident about the behaviour of journalists. Almost six out of ten respondents (59\%) to think that journalists try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities, while one third (33\%) think they do not try and behave in this way.

QD8.5. For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Journalists
Yes, definitely


At least seven out of ten Austrian (73\%), Bulgarian, Dutch (both 71\%) and German (70\%) respondents think that journalists try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities. In contrast fewer than half of all respondents in France (48\%) and the UK (49\%) think the same way.

QD8.5. For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities? Journalists


### 2.5. Government representatives

A relative minority of respondents (44\%) think that government representatives try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities. Almost half (49\%) think that they do not, with $16 \%$ saying they do not try behave responsibly in these areas at all.

QD8.6. For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Government representatives


In only 12 countries do a majority of respondents think that government representatives try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities. This is particularly the case amongst respondents in the Netherlands, Sweden (both 70\%) and Finland (69\%). In contrast $29 \%$ of Spanish and $30 \%$ of Slovenian and Greek respondents say the same.

Q08.6. For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities? Government representatives


An additional correlation analysis was done, which showed a moderate positive correlation between the view that government representatives try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities, and the preference for public dialogue when making decisions about science and technology ( $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{0 . 4 7}$ ). In other words, the more respondents think their government behaves responsibly, the more likely they are to also to think that public dialogue is required.


[^15]
### 2.6. Industry

Respondents are more confident about the behaviour of industry compared to government representatives. Half of the respondents (50\%) think that industry tries to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities, while $42 \%$ think it does not.


Respondents in the UK (71\%) and Finland (70\%) are the most likely to think that industry tries to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities. This is a sharp contrast with Greece where $31 \%$ of respondents think the same way.

QD8.7. For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities? Industry


Socio-demographic analysis shows no notable differences in opinion between men and women. There are also relatively few differences between age groups. Those aged $55+$ are less likely than younger age groups to think scientists working at universities or government laboratories try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities ( $79 \%$ vs. $83 \%-86 \%$ ). The same pattern applies with respect to environmental protection associations ( $77 \% \mathrm{vs}$. $83 \%-86 \%)$. The youngest respondents are the most likely to say that government representatives ( $49 \%$ vs. $42 \%-43 \%$ ) and industry ( $56 \%$ vs. $47 \%-52 \%$ ) try to behave responsibly in these areas.

Education levels produce a more consistent pattern. Those who completed education aged 15 or younger are the least likely to think that each group tries to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities. For example $73 \%$ of those with the lowest education levels think this way about environmental protection associations, compared to $84 \%$ of those who completed their education aged $20+$. Furthermore, the higher the education level of a respondent, the more likely they are to say that university and government scientists and consumer organisations try and behave responsibly in these areas.

Respondents who feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to think each group tries to behave responsibly. For example $81 \%$ of those who feel informed think that consumer organisations try to behave responsibly, compared to $73 \%$ of those who do not feel informed. The same pattern applies when comparing those who think science has a positive influence on society with those who think the influence is negative.

Respondents who are interested in developments in science and technology are more likely to think each group behaves responsibly compared to those who are not interested. The exception is government representatives, where there is no difference between these two groups.

Those who have a family member with a degree or job in science or technology are more likely to think that university or government scientists try to behave responsibly ( $87 \%$ vs. $81 \%$ of those who do not). Similarly, respondents who have studied science and/or technology are more likely to think that university or government scientists try to behave responsibly ( $87 \%$ vs. $79 \%$ of those who have not studied). Respondents who have studied science and/or technology are also more likely to think that environmental protection associations try to behave responsibly (85\% vs. 78\%).

QD8 For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?


## III. ATTITUDES TOWARDS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

## 1. THE IMPACT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ON QUALITY OF LIFE

## - The impact of science and technology on quality of life is seen as more positive when the focus is wider than just health -

Respondents were given a series of statements about the impact of science and technology on quality of life, and asked to say how much they agreed or disagreed with each one ${ }^{16}$. The chart below shows the results for two of the statements. It should be noted that the sample was split for these statements, with half in each country being presented the statement "Science and technology make our lives easier, more comfortable and healthier", and the other half given the statement "Science and technology make our lives healthier".


Base: First question item asked only to Split $A=13.263$ respondents in EU27
Second question item asked only to Split $B=13.300$ respondents in EU27

[^16]Respondents are more positive about the effect of science and technology in the context of making life easier and more comfortable, rather than just about health. Two thirds (66\%) agree that science and technology make our lives easier, more comfortable and healthier. Agreement is lower (50\%) when the statement is about the impact of science and technology on health only.

There has been no notable change in opinion since the last wave of the survey.

At least three quarters of respondents in Spain (79\%), Malta (78\%), Bulgaria and Sweden (both 77\%) think that science and technology make our lives easier, more comfortable and healthier. In contrast 54\% of German and Slovenian respondents say the same. Overall, however at least half of the respondents in each country agree with this statement.

When it comes to the statement science and technology make our lives healthier it is Maltese and Irish respondents who are the most likely to agree (72\% and 70\% respectively). However in 13 (including Croatia) countries fewer than half of all respondents agree. This is particularly the case in Latvia and German (29\%).

It is interesting to note that in the case of France, Ireland and Malta the view of science and technology is very similar whether the statement is only about life being healthier, or whether it includes easier and more comfortable (FR: 58\% vs. 59\%; IE: 70\% vs. 72\%; MT: 72\% vs. $78 \%$ ). This is quite a contrast with many other countries, particularly Latvia, where 29\% agree science and technology make life healthier, but 63\% agree it make life easier, more comfortable and healthier.

The table below illustrates that although there has been little change since the last wave at the EU27 level, there have been some large changes within countries. Respondents in Bulgaria are more likely to agree with both statements, and in particular that science and technology make life easier, more comfortable and healthier ( +14 percentage points).

Respondents in Sweden are also more likely to agree that science and technology make life easier, more comfortable and healthier ( +8 percentage points), but they are much less likely to agree when the statement only involves health ( -22 points). Respondents in Austria, Croatia (both -20), Latvia (-13), Denmark (-12), Slovenia (-11) are much less likely to agree that science and technology make life healthier. Danish respondents are also notably less likely to that science and technology make life easier, more comfortable and healthier (-10).

QD9 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
$\%$ of Total 'Agree'

|  |  | Science and technology make our lives easier, more comfortable and healthier |  | Science and technology make our lives healthier |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } 79.2 \\ 04-05 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | Evolution 2013 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } 79.2 \\ 04-05 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | Evolution 2013 2010 |
|  | EU27 | 66\% | $=$ | 50\% | -2 |
| (1) | BE | 68\% | +1 | 52\% | -4 |
|  | BG | 77\% | +14 | 48\% | +6 |
| $\bigcirc$ | CZ | 62\% | -1 | 36\% | -3 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DK | 60\% | -10 | 52\% | -12 |
|  | DE | 54\% | -3 | 29\% | -8 |
|  | EE | 69\% | -3 | 43\% | -9 |
|  | IE | 72\% | +2 | 70\% | +4 |
| , | EL | 69\% | +6 | 48\% | +1 |
|  | ES | 79\% | +7 | 58\% | -5 |
|  | FR | 59\% | -7 | 58\% | -6 |
|  | IT | 64\% | +5 | 50\% | +9 |
| ( $)$ | CY | 74\% | +5 | 55\% | -2 |
| $9$ | LV | 63\% | +1 | 29\% | -13 |
|  | LT | 70\% | +2 | 42\% | -4 |
|  | LU | 70\% | -5 | 55\% | -10 |
| - | HU | 68\% | -1 | 54\% | +6 |
| ( | MT | 78\% | $=$ | 72\% | +12 |
| 3 | AT | 61\% | -3 | 44\% | -20 |
|  | NL | 65\% | $=$ | 44\% | -9 |
|  | PL | 73\% | +4 | 47\% | +4 |
| ( | PT | 65\% | +4 | 54\% | +8 |
| D | RO | 68\% | +4 | 57\% | +4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 54\% | -8 | 37\% | -11 |
| (1) | SK | 61\% | +2 | 32\% | -9 |
|  | FI | 69\% | +2 | 59\% | -3 |
| $\theta$ | SE | 77\% | +8 | 54\% | -22 |
| , | UK | 71\% | -5 | 61\% | = |
| 28) | HR | 65\% | -9 | 40\% | -20 |

Base: First question item asked only to Split $A=13.263$ respondents in $E U 27+515$ respondents in Croatia Second question item asked only to Split $B=13.300$ respondents in EU27 +485 respondents in Croatia

Socio-demographic analysis illustrates men are more likely than women to agree with either statement. For example $72 \%$ of men agree that science and technology make life easier, more comfortable and healthier, compared to $61 \%$ of women. Respondents aged $55+$ are less likely than those under 40 to agree that science and technology make life easier, more comfortable and healthier ( $62 \%$ vs. $69 \%$ ), but this is the only noteworthy difference across age groups.

Respondents who completed their education aged $20+$ are more likely than those with lower education levels to agree with either statement. For instance, more than half (56\%) agree that science and technology make life healthier, compared to $46 \%-47 \%$ of those who completed their education at a younger age. Managers are more likely than other occupation groups to agree that science and technology make life healthier (58\% vs. $46 \%-50 \%)$.

The attitudinal variables also provide variations in opinion. Respondents who are interested in developments in science and technology are more likely to agree with both statements, as are those who feel informed about these developments. For example 73\% of those interested in developments in science and technology agree that science and technology make life easier, more comfortable and healthier, compared to $58 \%$ of those who are not interested. The same pattern also applies when comparing those who have and have not studied science and technology, and those who think science has a positive or negative influence on society.

QD9 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

| \% of Total 'Agree' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Science and technology make our lives easier, more comfortable and healthier | Science and technology make our lives healthier |
| EU27 | 66\% | 50\% |
| 3 Sex |  |  |
| Male | 72\% | 53\% |
| Female | 61\% | 46\% |
| 易 Age |  |  |
| 15-24 | 69\% | 47\% |
| 25-39 | 69\% | 52\% |
| 40-54 | 66\% | 51\% |
| 55 + | 62\% | 47\% |
| Education (End of) |  |  |
| 15- | 60\% | 46\% |
| 16-19 | 65\% | 47\% |
| 20+ | 69\% | 56\% |
| Still studying | 73\% | 48\% |


| Rel\| Respondent occupation scale |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Self-employed | $70 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Managers | $69 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| Other white collars | $65 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Manual workers | $65 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| House persons | $61 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| Unemployed | $70 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Retired | $62 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Students | $73 \%$ | $48 \%$ |


| Interested in science |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Interested' | $73 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' | $58 \%$ | $41 \%$ |


| Informed about science |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Informed' | $73 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| Total 'Not informed' | $62 \%$ | $44 \%$ |


| Studied science or tech |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Yes' | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| No | $62 \%$ | $43 \%$ |


| Influence of science on society |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Positive' |  |  |
| Total 'Negative' |  |  |

Base: First question item asked only to Split A $=13.263$ respondents in $E U 27+515$ respondents in Croatia Second question item asked only to Split $B=13.300$ respondents in EU27 +485 respondents in Croatia

## 2. SCIENCE AND FAITH

## - Opinion is divided about the dependence on science vs. the dependence on faith -

Respondents remain divided on the issue of whether we depend too much on science and not enough on faith ${ }^{17}$. Almost four in ten (39\%) agree, while almost one third (32\%) disagree that we depend too much on science and not enough on faith. A considerable proportion, $25 \%$, is neutral on the issue.

There has been little change since the last wave, with agreement increasing by 1 percentage point, and disagreement down by 2 points.

QD9.3. I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

We depend too much on science and not enough on faith

inner pie : EB 73.1 01-02 / 2010
Outer pie : EB $79.204-05$ / 2013

Respondents in Eastern and Mediterranean countries are more likely to agree that we depend too much on science and not enough on faith, and sentiment in these countries is also more negative compared to the last wave in 2010

[^17]Two thirds of respondents in Bulgaria and Cyprus（both 66\％）agree that we depend too much on science and not enough on faith．There are four other countries where a majority of respondents also agree：Malta（64\％），Greece（62\％），Hungary（53\％）and Slovakia（50\％）．Respondents living in the Netherlands（23\％），Denmark（24\％）and France（25\％）are the least likely to agree with the statement we depend too much on science and not enough on faith．

| ［ $\quad$ в | 66\％ |
| :---: | :---: |
| （E］cy | 66\％ |
| －${ }^{\text {I }}$ MT | 64\％ |
| 既 | 12\％ |
| 三 HU | 53\％ |
| 限 ${ }^{\text {Sk }}$ | 50\％ |
| Epr | 49\％ |
| III ${ }_{\text {\％}}$ | 48\％ |
| 프 | 47\％ |
| 란 | 46\％ |
| $\underline{m}$ | 465 |
| － | 455 |
| IIIT | 42\％ |
| －EE | 42\％ |
| Ew | 41\％ |
| 三 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 41\％ |
| －PL | 415\％ |
| Eu | 1as |
| ＋${ }^{\text {Fi }}$ | 39\％ |
| －1｜ie | 3\％ |
| 䢕 UK | 36\％ |
| E DE | 36 |
|  | 325 |
| －118E | 22\％ |
| 5 m | 27\％ |
| IIIfr | 25\％ |
|  | 245 |
| ＝${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ | 23\％ |
| $\pm{ }^{\text {HR }}$ | 478 |

Question： 009 I．I would like to read out some statements that peopile have made about scerce，fechnology or the emvonment．For each statement，please tell me how much you agree of deagree
Option：We depend tco much an science and not enough on bailh
Answers：Total＇Agreet

Map Legend
－ $50 \% 4-100 \%$
－ $39 \%-49 \%$
$0 \% \cdot 38 \%$

The table below illustrates that in many countries in the Eastern and Mediterranean areas of the EU respondents have a more negative view of the dependence on science than they did in the last wave. This is particularly the case for respondents in Bulgaria, who are more likely to agree that we depend too much on science and not enough on faith than they were in 2010 ( +14 percentage points).

On the other hand, respondents in France are now more positive about the dependence on science, with a decrease of 4 percentage points in the proportion who agree that we depend too much on science and not enough on faith.

QD9.3 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

We depend too much on science and not enough on faith

|  |  | Total 'Agree' |  | Neither agree nor disagree |  | Total 'Disagree' |  | Dont know |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{04-05 / 2013}{\text { EB } 79.2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evolution } \\ \text { 2013-2010 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } 79.2 \\ 04-05 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Evolution } \\ & \text { 2013-2010 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB 79.2 } \\ 04-05 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Evolution } \\ & \text { 2013-2010 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } 79.2 \\ 04-05 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Evolution } \\ & \text { 2013-2010 } \end{aligned}$ |
| - | EU27 | 39\% | +1 | 25\% | +1 | 32\% | -2 | 4\% | $=$ |
|  | BG | 66\% | +14 | 22\% | -1 | 7\% | -7 | 5\% | -6 |
|  | IE | 37\% | +8 | 23\% | -3 | 34\% | $=$ | 6\% | -5 |
|  | MT | 64\% | +8 | 17\% | +1 | 9\% | -6 | 10\% | -3 |
| $\checkmark$ | Cz | 46\% | +7 | 27\% | -2 | 24\% | -7 | 3\% | +2 |
|  | LU | 41\% | +7 | 19\% | -13 | 36\% | +7 | 4\% | -1 |
|  | LT | 45\% | +6 | 28\% | +1 | 20\% | -8 | 7\% | +1 |
| 0 | IT | 42\% | +5 | 29\% | -2 | 24\% | -6 | 5\% | +3 |
| D | HU | 53\% | +5 | 26\% | $=$ | 18\% | -5 | 3\% | $=$ |
| $\triangle$ | DK | 24\% | +4 | 24\% | = | 50\% | -4 | 2\% | = |
|  | EL | 62\% | +4 | 21\% | -1 | 14\% | -5 | 3\% | +2 |
| P | AT | 41\% | +3 | 22\% | -1 | 34\% | -2 | 3\% | $=$ |
|  | ES | 47\% | +2 | 17\% | -2 | 30\% | -1 | 6\% | +1 |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 41\% | +2 | 28\% | = | 25\% | -2 | 6\% | = |
| 5 | LV | 46\% | +1 | 25\% | -1 | 22\% | -4 | 7\% | +4 |
| 3 | SK | 50\% | +1 | 30\% | $=$ | 17\% | -2 | 3\% | +1 |
| 1 | FI | 38\% | +1 | 20\% | -3 | 39\% | +1 | 3\% | +1 |
| $\bigcirc$ | EE | 42\% | $=$ | 27\% | +3 | 26\% | -3 | 5\% | $=$ |
| (5) | CY | 66\% | $=$ | 22\% | $=$ | 12\% | +4 | 0\% | -4 |
| 3 | NL | 23\% | = | 23\% | +1 | 50\% | -3 | 4\% | +2 |
| (2) | PT | 49\% | $=$ | 25\% | -1 | 18\% | -2 | 8\% | +3 |
| , an | UK | 36\% | $=$ | 27\% | +4 | 34\% | -5 | 3\% | +1 |
| D | BE | 29\% | -1 | 23\% | = | 46\% | = | 2\% | +1 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 36\% | -2 | 24\% | +3 | 34\% | -5 | 6\% | +4 |
| 0 | SI | 27\% | -2 | 25\% | +3 | 40\% | -5 | 8\% | +4 |
| (1) | RO | 48\% | -3 | 31\% | +4 | 16\% | +1 | 5\% | -2 |
| $\theta$ | SE | 32\% | -3 | 19\% | $=$ | 48\% | +4 | 1\% | -1 |
| D | FR | 25\% | -4 | 23\% | +1 | 47\% | +2 | 5\% | +1 |
| 23) | HR | 47\% | +5 | 28\% | +3 | 23\% | -7 | 2\% | -1 |

Analysis of the socio-demographic variables show that respondents who agree that we depend too much on science and not enough on faith are more likely to be female ( $42 \%$ ), aged $55+$ ( $43 \%$ ) or to have completed their education aged 15 or younger (49\%). They are also more likely to be unemployed, retired or housepersons (43\%46\%).

Respondents who are not interested in developments in science and technology are more likely to agree that we depend too much on science and not enough on faith, compared to those who are interested ( $43 \%$ vs. $35 \%$ ). The same pattern applies for those who do not feel informed about science and technology developments, and those who think the influence of science and technology in society is negative.

Respondents who have not studied science or technology are more likely to agree that that we depend too much on science and not enough on faith ( $42 \% \mathrm{vs} .36 \%$ of those who have studied), as are those who do not have a family member with a degree or job in these areas ( $41 \%$ vs. $35 \%$ of those who do).

QD9.3 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

We depend too much on science and not enough on faith

|  | Total 'Agree' | Neither agree nor disagree | Total 'Disagree' | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | 39\% | 25\% | 32\% | 4\% |
| 35 sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 36\% | 25\% | 35\% | 4\% |
| Female | 42\% | 25\% | 28\% | 5\% |


| Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $37 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $35 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $37 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $43 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-$ | $49 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $16-19$ | $38 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $34 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Still studying | $36 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $3 \%$ |


| R: Respondent occupation scale |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Self-employed | $36 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Managers | $30 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Other white collars | $33 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Manual workers | $38 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| House persons | $46 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Unemployed | $43 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Retired | $44 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Students | $36 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $3 \%$ |


| Interested in science |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Total 'Interested' | $35 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |
| Total 'Not interested' | $43 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $7 \%$ |  |


| Informed about science |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Informed' | $35 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Total 'Not informed' | $42 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| Studied science or tech |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Yes' | $36 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| No | $42 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| Educ./job in science in family |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Yes' | $35 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| No | $41 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $5 \%$ |

Influence of science on society

| Total 'Positive' | $38 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Negative' | $49 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

## 3. THE IMPACT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ON THE FUTURE

## - Three quarters of respondents agree that science and technology provide more opportunities for future generations -

Three quarters of respondents agree that science and technology provide more opportunities to future generations, while only $7 \%$ disagree ${ }^{18}$. These results are almost identical to those from the last wave.

Europeans are less sure about the possibility of missing out on progress by placing too much importance on risks that are not fully understood. Almost six out of ten (57\%) agree that this is a possibility, while $14 \%$ disagree. The proportion who agrees has increased by 5 percentage points since the last wave, while disagreement has declined by 4 points.

QD9. I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.


[^18]Looking at the differences across countries, the majority of respondents in each country agree that there will be more opportunities for future generations thanks to science and technology. The proportion of respondents who agree varies from $88 \%$ in the Netherlands, 87\% in Estonia and 85\% in both Denmark and Sweden, to 64\% in Slovenia.

Respondents in Luxembourg are much more likely to agree there will be more opportunities for future generations thanks to science and technology compared to the last wave ( +18 percentage points), as are respondents in Ireland ( +11 points). This is in contrast to the overall EU27 level, where there has been no change. Agreement has declined most in Slovakia and the Czech Republic (both -8 points).

The majority of respondents in all but four countries also agree that if we attach too much importance to risks that are not yet fully understood, we could miss out on technological progress. The exceptions are Austria (45\%), Portugal, Germany (both 48\%) and the Czech Republic (49\%). Those in Denmark and France (both 69\%) are the most likely to agree.

At a European level, agreement that we might miss out on progress by placing too much importance on risks that are not fully understood has increased by five percentage points since the last wave. Increases in agreement are notably larger amongst respondents in Cyprus ( +21 percentage points), Bulgaria ( +19 points), Malta ( +18 points) and Ireland ( +17 points). In contrast agreement has declined by five points amongst respondents in Sweden.

QD9 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
\% of Total 'Agree'

|  | Thanks to science and <br> technology, there will be more <br> opportunities for future <br> generations |  |  | If we attach too much <br> importance to risks that are not <br> yet fully understood, we could <br> miss out on technological <br> progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Socio-demographic analysis shows no difference between men and women in the proportion who agree that thanks to science and technology there will be more opportunities for future generations. Respondents aged 55+ are less likely to agree compared to younger respondents (71\% vs. 76\%-80\%).

The longer a respondent remained in education, the more likely they are to agree that thanks to science and technology there will be more opportunities for future generations. Eight out of ten ( $80 \%$ ) of those who completed study aged $20+$ agree, compared to $67 \%$ of those who completed their education aged 15 or younger.

Those who are interested in, and feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to agree. For example $82 \%$ of those who are interested in these developments agree that thanks to science and technology there will be more opportunities for future generations, compared to $67 \%$ of those who are not interested. In addition $82 \%$ of those who think the impact of science and technology on society is positive agree with this statement, compared to $52 \%$ of those who think the impact is negative.

Men are more likely than women to agree that if we attach too much importance to risks that are not yet fully understood, we could miss out on technological progress ( $61 \%$ vs. 54\%). There are no large differences between age groups, but respondents who completed their education aged 16 or older are more likely to agree than those with lower education levels (59\%-60\% vs. $52 \%$ ).

Those who are interested in, and feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to agree that if we attach too much importance to risks that are not yet fully understood, we could miss out on technological progress. For example $64 \%$ of those who are interested in these developments agree, compared to $50 \%$ of those who are not interested. In addition $62 \%$ of those who think the impact of science and technology on society is positive agree with this statement, compared to $52 \%$ of those who think the impact is negative.

QD9 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

| \% of Total 'Agree'  <br> Thanks to science and <br> technology, there will be more <br> opportunities for future <br> generations If we attach too much importance <br> to risks that are not yet fully <br> understood, we could miss out <br> on technological progress <br> EU27 $75 \%$ |
| :--- |


| S. Sex |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $77 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Female | $73 \%$ | $54 \%$ |


| 啡 Age |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $80 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $76 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $77 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $71 \%$ | $55 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-$ | $67 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| $16-19$ | $75 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $80 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Still studying | $82 \%$ | $59 \%$ |


| Interested in science |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Interested' | $82 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' | $67 \%$ | $50 \%$ |


| Informed about science |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Informed' | $82 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Total 'Not informed' | $70 \%$ | $52 \%$ |

Influence of science on society

| Total 'Positive' | $82 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Negative' | $52 \%$ | $52 \%$ |

## 4. RESERVATIONS CONCERNING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

- Europeans are concerned about the speed of change science and technology have, and their potential for negative consequences -

Respondents were given several statements about the possible negative effects of science and technology ${ }^{19}$. More than three quarters agree that science and technology could be used by terrorists in the future (77\%), while 74\% agree that developments in science and technology could have unforeseen negative side-effects on health and the environment.

Just over six in ten (62\%) agree that science makes our way of life change too fast. This is a four percentage point increase compared to the last wave.

*Not asked in 2010

[^19]At least nine out of ten Cypriot respondents agree that science makes our way of life change too fast (93\%), as do $89 \%$ of Greek and $83 \%$ of Slovakian respondents. In fact, Denmark and the Netherlands are the only countries where fewer than half of all respondents agree (both 45\%).

The trend since the last wave shows Irish respondents are now more likely to agree that science makes our way of life change too fast ( +17 percentage points), as are those in Malta ( +15 points), and Romania ( +12 points). Greece is the only country where respondents are less likely to agree than they were in the last wave, although at -3 percentage points the difference is small.

Looking at the results in the different countries, at least six out of ten respondents in each country agree that science and technology could be used by terrorists in the future. In fact in 19 countries (including Croatia) at least three quarters of respondents agree. Respondents in the Netherlands (90\%), Denmark and Sweden (both 89\%) are the most likely to agree, particularly compared to those in Romania (63\%).

In most countries there have only been small changes in agreement levels compared to the last wave. The exceptions are Ireland, where agreement has increased 10 percentage points, and Lithuania, where agreement has declined by 11 points.

QD9 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
$\%$ of Total 'Agree'

|  |  | Science makes our ways of life change too fast |  | Science and technology could be used by terrorists in the future |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } 79.2 \\ 04-05 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evolution } 2013 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } 79.2 \\ 04-05 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | Evolution 2013 2010 |
| $\%$ | EU27 | 62\% | $+4$ | 77\% | -1 |
| (1) | BE | 58\% | +1 | 78\% | $+2$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | BG | 82\% | +5 | 73\% | -4 |
| $\theta$ | CZ | 70\% | +6 | 74\% | -3 |
|  | DK | 45\% | +4 | 89\% | -2 |
|  | DE | 53\% | +6 | 78\% | -4 |
|  | EE | 67\% | +11 | 85\% | +1 |
| 1 | IE | 51\% | $+17$ | 77\% | $+10$ |
|  | EL | 89\% | -3 | 75\% | -1 |
| $8$ | ES | 78\% | +4 | 78\% | +1 |
| (1) | FR | 57\% | +3 | 83\% | $+2$ |
| 0 | IT | 63\% | +3 | 67\% | $+3$ |
| (e) | CY | 93\% | +1 | 84\% | $=$ |
|  | LV | 65\% | +10 | 84\% | -4 |
|  | LT | 63\% | $+7$ | 71\% | -11 |
| ) | LU | 68\% | $+10$ | 85\% | +5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | HU | 71\% | +9 | 67\% | -6 |
| $0$ | MT | 80\% | +15 | 78\% | $+5$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | AT | 63\% | +8 | 71\% | -3 |
|  | NL | 45\% | +4 | 90\% | $+2$ |
| ) | PL | 76\% | +6 | 79\% | -2 |
|  | PT | 70\% | +8 | 67\% | -4 |
| (1) | RO | 68\% | +12 | 63\% | -2 |
|  | SI | 78\% | +4 | 84\% | -2 |
| (7) | SK | 83\% | $+8$ | 74\% | $+2$ |
| 0 | FI | 57\% | $+4$ | 86\% | -3 |
| $\because$ | SE | 61\% | +7 | 89\% | $=$ |
| $\sqrt{6}$ | UK | 51\% | +4 | 86\% | -1 |
|  | HR | 79\% | $=$ | 80\% | -4 |

At least six out of ten respondents in each country also agree that scientific and technological developments can have unforeseen side-effects that are harmful to human health and the environment. This is particularly true of respondents in Cyprus (90\%), Luxembourg, Sweden (both 88\%), France and Slovenia (both 87\%). At the other end of the scale, $61 \%$ of respondents in Hungary and $62 \%$ of those in Italy and Portugal agree.


Socio-demographic analysis of the response to these statements reveals no differences between men and women. In addition the only notable difference by age is that respondents age 55+ are the most likely to say that science makes our way of life change too fast, particularly when compared to those aged 15-24 (66\% vs. 58\%).

However, there are trends based on education levels. The longer a respondent remained in education, the less likely they are to agree that science makes our way of life change too fast. $58 \%$ of those who completed education aged $20+$ agree, compared to $68 \%$ of those who completed education aged 15 or younger.

The reverse trend applies to the other two statements (on terrorism and on unforeseen side-effects) - the longer a respondent remained in education the more likely they are to agree. For example $82 \%$ of those who completed education aged $20+$ agree that science and technology could be used by terrorists in the future, compared to $72 \%$ of those who completed education aged 15 or younger.

Respondents who are interested in or feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to agree that science and technology could be used by terrorists in the future, and to agree that scientific and technological developments can have unforeseen side-effects that are harmful to human health and the environment. For example $82 \%$ of those interested in developments in science and technology agree that science and technology could be used by terrorists in the future, compared to $72 \%$ of those who are not interested.

QD9 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
$\%$ of Total 'Agree'

|  | Science makes our ways of life change too fast | Science and technology could be used by terrorists in the future | Scientific and technological developments can have unforeseen side-effects that are harmful to human health and the environment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | 62\% | 77\% | 74\% |
| 3 Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 61\% | 79\% | 75\% |
| Female | 64\% | 75\% | 74\% |
| 囬 Age |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 58\% | 74\% | 73\% |
| 25-39 | 61\% | 78\% | 73\% |
| 40-54 | 62\% | 79\% | 77\% |
| $55+$ | 66\% | 77\% | 75\% |
| Education (End of) |  |  |  |
| 15- | 68\% | 72\% | 71\% |
| 16-19 | 64\% | 78\% | 75\% |
| 20+ | 58\% | 82\% | 78\% |
| Still studying | 57\% | 73\% | 71\% |


| Interested in science |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Interested' | $61 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' | $64 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $70 \%$ |


| Informed about science |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Informed' | $60 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Total 'Not informed' | $65 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $73 \%$ |

## IV. ETHICS AND SCIENCE

This section of the report explores a range of ethical issues relating to science. First Europeans' views as to whether the application of science and technology can threaten human rights will be discussed, and then the boundaries between science and technology and moral and ethics will be considered.

Opinions about the role of the EU in addressing ethical issues in science, and the funding of research outside the EU will also be considered. The global role of the EU in promoting European ethical principles, and whether respect of ethics means citizens expectations will be met will also be discussed. Finally this section considers the importance of training in ethics for researchers, ensuring their commitment to ethics, and the importance of transparency in research funding.

## 1. IMPACT OF SCIENTIFIC APPLICATIONS ON HUMAN RIGHTS

## - More than half agree that the application of science and technology can threaten human rights -

Respondents were asked the extent they agreed or disagreed that the application of science and technology can threaten human rights ${ }^{20}$. A slim majority (54\%) agree, $17 \%$ disagree, and $23 \%$ are neutral. The proportion who agrees has increased by four percentage points since the last wave.

QD9.6. I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

The applications of science and technology can threaten human rights


[^20]At least half of the respondents in all but five countries agree the application of science and technology can threaten human rights. The exceptions are Hungary (43\%), Denmark, the Czech Republic (both 45\%), Romania (46\%) and Germany (49\%). In contrast, $77 \%$ of Cypriot, $70 \%$ of Slovenian and $68 \%$ of Greek respondents agree the application of science and technology can threaten human rights.

The map below illustrates the changes in opinion since the last wave ${ }^{21}$. In most countries views are more negative about the impact of science and technology on human rights, with respondents more likely to agree the application of science and technology can threaten human rights than they were in 2010. This is particularly the case for respondents in Ireland (+12 percentage points) and Malta ( +11 points). Only two countries are more positive compared to the last wave, with fewer in agreement with the statement: Finland (-6 points), Greece (-4 points).

[^21]

```
Question: ODP6 I woutd like to read sul some staterrents that people have made about scierce tecthology of the envirunment. For each statement, pleme tell me how much you agyee or dinagee
Option: The applications of science and technology can thredten human rights
Answers: Total 'Acgee
```

Evolution 2013 - 2010

Socio-demographic analysis reveals no notable differences between men and women, or based on education levels. Respondents aged 55+ are slightly more likely to agree that the application of science and technology can threaten human rights compared to those aged 15-24 (56\% vs. 49\%).

Respondents who think the overall influence of science on society is positive are less likely to agree that the application of science and technology can threaten human rights compared to those who think the impact is negative (54\% vs. $61 \%$ ). The same pattern applies for respondents who are interested in developments in science and technology.

Respondents who think that that science and technology should be allowed to violate fundamental rights and moral principles in order to make a new discovery in all cases are more likely to agree that the application of science and technology can threaten human rights (66\%) compared to those who think these rights should be violated in certain cases (54\%), or not at all (55\%).

QD9.6 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

The applications of science and technology can threaten human rights

|  | Total 'Agree' | Neither agree <br> nor disagree | Total 'Disagree' | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $54 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| St Sex |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $55 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Female | $53 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $7 \%$ |


| Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $49 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $52 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $55 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $56 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $9 \%$ |


| Interested in science |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Interested' | $57 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' | $51 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $9 \%$ |


| Influence of science on society |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Positive' | $54 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Total 'Negative' | $61 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

Ethics can be violated for discoveries

| All cases | $66 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Certain cases | $54 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| No | $55 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $5 \%$ |

## 2. SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH VERSUS ETHICS

## - Most think that fundamental rights and moral principles should not be violated to make a new scientific or technological discovery -

Six out of ten (61\%) respondents do not agree that science and technology should be allowed to violate fundamental rights and moral principles in order to make a new discovery ${ }^{22}$. Almost three in ten (29\%) think this should be allowed in some cases, while only $4 \%$ think it should be allowed in all cases.

QD10. Do you think that science and technology should be allowed to
violate fundamental rights and moral principles in order to make a new
discovery?


[^22]Italy is the only country where a majority of respondents agree that science and technology should be allowed to violate fundamental rights and moral principles in order to make a new discovery ( $56 \%$ ). Almost half ( $47 \%$ ) agree this should be allowed in some cases, while $9 \%$ think it should be allowed in all cases.

A majority of respondents in all but two countries do not think that science and technology should be allowed to violate fundamental rights and moral principles in order to make a new discovery. The exceptions are Romania (41\%) and Italy (34\%). This view is most strongly held by respondents in France (79\%), Luxembourg (77\%), Germany and Croatia (75\%)

A significant proportion of respondents are unable to give an answer in Romania (21\%) and Bulgaria (19\%). In these countries respondents who consider that fundamental rights and moral principles should not be violated for a discovery still represent a relative majority ( $41 \%$ vs. $38 \%$ in Romania and $59 \%$ vs. $22 \%$ in Bulgaria).


Socio-demographic analysis shows women are more likely than men to say that science and technology should not be allowed to violate fundamental rights and moral principles in order to make a new discovery ( $64 \%$ vs. $59 \%$ ). There are no notable differences between age groups, or based on education levels.

Respondents who are interested in developments in science and technology are more likely to agree that these rights and principles can be violated in certain cases (32\% vs. $24 \%$ of those who are not interested). The same pattern applies for those who feel informed about developments in science and technology, and those who have studied science or technology.

Respondents who think the overall influence of science on society is positive are more likely to agree that these rights and principles can be violated in certain cases when compared to those who think the overall influence of science is negative ( $31 \% \mathrm{vs} .24 \%$ ).

QD10 Do you think that science and technology should be allowed to violate fundamental rights and moral principles in order to make a new discovery?

|  | Yes, in all cases | Yes, in certain cases | No | Don't know | Total 'Yes' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | 4\% | 29\% | 61\% | 6\% | 33\% |
| 3 Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 5\% | 31\% | 59\% | 5\% | 36\% |
| Female | 3\% | 26\% | 64\% | 7\% | 29\% |
| 國 Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 4\% | 31\% | 58\% | 7\% | 35\% |
| 25-39 | 5\% | 29\% | 60\% | 6\% | 34\% |
| 40-54 | 4\% | 28\% | 64\% | 4\% | 32\% |
| $55+$ | 4\% | 27\% | 62\% | 7\% | 31\% |
| Education (End of) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15- | 4\% | 27\% | 59\% | 10\% | 31\% |
| 16-19 | 4\% | 28\% | 62\% | 6\% | 32\% |
| 20+ | 4\% | 29\% | 64\% | 3\% | 33\% |
| Still studying | 5\% | 32\% | 57\% | 6\% | 37\% |


| Interested in science |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Interested' | $5 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $37 \%$ |  |
| Total 'Not interested' | $3 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $27 \%$ |  |
| Informed about science |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 'Informed' | $5 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $37 \%$ |  |
| Total 'Not informed' | $3 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $30 \%$ |  |


| Studied science or tech |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Yes' | $5 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $37 \%$ |  |
| No | $4 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $29 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Influence of science on society |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 'Positive' | $4 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $35 \%$ |  |
| Total 'Negative' | $6 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $30 \%$ |  |

## 3. THE ROLE OF THE EU IN ADDRESSING ETHICAL ISSUES OF SCIENCE

### 3.1. European-funding of research outside the EU

## - More than three quarters agree that European funding for non-EU research

 should only be given if the research is legal in the EU -More than three quarters (76\%) of respondents agree that European funding of scientific research outside the EU should be forbidden if that research would be illegal in the EU ${ }^{23}$. In fact, almost half (47\%) totally agree. Around one in twenty (6\%) disagree with this statement, while 11\% are neutral.

QD11.1. I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science.
For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
European funding of scientific research outside the EU should be forbidden if that research would be illegal in the EU


EU27

[^23]At least six out of ten respondents in each country agree that European funding of scientific research outside the EU should be forbidden if that research would be illegal in the EU. This view is most widely held amongst respondents in Denmark (88\%), Slovenia ( $87 \%$ ) and the Netherlands ( $86 \%$ ). In fact in each of these countries just over two thirds totally agree.

Respondents in Romania and Malta are the least likely to agree, but even here 64\% and $65 \%$ respectively agree.


### 3.2. The global role of the EU to promote European ethical principles

## - A large majority agree that the EU should promote the worldwide respect of European ethical principles -

Eight out of ten respondents agree that the EU should actively promote worldwide respect for European ethical principles for conducting scientific research, with $44 \%$ in total agreement ${ }^{24}$. Just 4\% disagree.

QD11.2 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

The EU should actively promote that European ethical principles for conducting scientific research are respected all over the world


[^24]At least seven out of ten respondents in each country agree the EU should actively promote worldwide respect for European ethical principles for conducting scientific research. Agreement is most widespread amongst Cypriot (95\%), Greek (91\%) and Slovenian (90\%) respondents. In fact $70 \%$ of Cypriot respondents are in total agreement.

Romanian and Dutch respondents are the least likely to agree, but even here agreement levels are high at $71 \%$ and $74 \%$ respectively.


Socio-demographic analysis does not reveal any differences between genders or across age groups. However, respondents with the lowest education levels are less likely to agree compared to those who completed their education aged at least 16 ( $74 \% \mathrm{vs}$. 81\%-83\%).

In a now familiar pattern, those who are interested in or feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to agree the EU should actively promote worldwide respect for European ethical principles for conducting scientific research. For example $85 \%$ of those who are interested in developments in science and technology agree, compared to $74 \%$ of those who are not interested in these developments.

Respondents who think the overall influence of science on society is positive are more likely to agree the EU should actively promote worldwide respect for European ethical principles for conducting scientific research ( $83 \% \mathrm{vs} .71 \%$ of those who think the overall influence is negative).

QD11.2 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

The EU should actively promote that European ethical principles for conducting scientific research are respected all over the world

|  | Total 'Agree' | Neither agree <br> nor disagree | Total 'Disagree' | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $80 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ |


| S. Sex |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $81 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Female | $79 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| 畀 Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $78 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $80 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $83 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $78 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $15-$ | $74 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ |  |
| $16-19$ | $81 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |
| $20+$ | $83 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ |  |
| Still studying | $79 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |


| Interested in science |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Interested' | $85 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' | $74 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $9 \%$ |


| Informed about science |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Informed' | $85 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Total 'Not informed' | $77 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ |


| Influence of science on society |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Positive' | $83 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Total 'Negative' | $71 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

### 3.3. Decision-making level at European level to address ethical risks

## - More than three quarters of respondents agree the EU should take measures to address the ethical risk of new technologies -

Just over three quarters of respondents (76\%) agree that measures should be taken at the European level to address ethical risks raised by new technologies like biotechnologies ${ }^{25}$. Only one in twenty (5\%) disagree.

QD11.4. I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

In order to address ethical risks raised in new technologies like biotechnologies, measures should be taken at the European level


EU27

[^25]At least six out of ten respondents in each country agree that measures should be taken at the European level to address ethical risks raised by new technologies like biotechnologies. Respondents in Cyprus (95\%), Greece (91\%), Luxembourg and Slovenia ( $86 \%$ ) are the most likely to agree, particularly compared to those in Romania and the UK (both 62\%).

QD11.A. I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
In order to address ethical risks raised in new technologies like biotechnologies, measures should be taken at the European level


Socio-demographic analysis does not reveal any notable differences between genders or age groups. The longer a respondent remained in education, the more likely they are to agree that measures should be taken at the European level to address ethical risks raised in new technologies like biotechnologies. At least eight out of ten respondents with the highest education levels agree ( $81 \%$ ) compared to $69 \%$ of those who completed their education aged 15 or younger.

Once again it is the attitudinal variables that prove more discriminating. Those who are interested in or feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to agree that measures should be taken at the European level to address ethical risks raised in new technologies like biotechnologies. For example 81\% of those who are interested in developments in science and technology agree, compared to 70\% of those who are not interested in these developments.

Respondents who think the overall influence of science on society is positive are more likely to agree that measures should be taken at the European level to address ethical risks raised in new technologies like biotechnologies ( $80 \%$ vs. $66 \%$ of those who think the overall influence is negative).

QD11.4 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

In order to address ethical risks raised in new technologies like biotechnologies, measures should be taken at the European level

|  | Total 'Agree' | Neither agree <br> nor disagree | Total 'Disagree' | Don't kow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $76 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ |


| S. Sex |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $77 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Female | $74 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $9 \%$ |


| 国 Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $74 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $79 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $78 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $73 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $10 \%$ |


| E1 Education (End of) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-$ | $69 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| $16-19$ | $77 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $81 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Still studying | $75 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| Interested in science |
| :--- |
| Total 'Interested' |
| $1 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' |
| $70 \%$ |


| Informed about science |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Informed' | $81 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Total 'Not informed' | $73 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $9 \%$ |


| Influence of science on society |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Positive' | $80 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Total 'Negative' | $66 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $7 \%$ |

## 4. CITIZENS' EXPECTATIONS

## - Most agree that respecting ethics and rights guarantees research and innovation will meet citizens' expectations -

Respondents were asked whether they agreed or disagreed that respect for ethics and fundamental rights guarantees that scientific research and technological innovations will meet citizens' expectations ${ }^{26}$. Seven out of ten (70\%) agree, while only $7 \%$ disagree.

QD11.3. I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

Respect for ethics and fundamental rights guarantees that scientific research and technological innovations will meet citizens' expectations


[^26]The majority of respondents in each country agree that respect for ethics and fundamental rights guarantees that scientific research and technological innovations will meet citizens' expectations. Agreement is strongest amongst respondents in Greece (85\%), Bulgaria, Cyprus and Slovenia (all 78\%). In contrast 56\% of Dutch respondents also agree.

QD11.3. I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
Respect for ethics and fundamental rights guarantees that scientific research and technological innovations will meet citizens' expectations


Socio-demographic analysis does not highlight any notable differences between genders or age groups. However, respondents who completed their education aged 15 or younger are less likely than those with higher education levels to agree that respect for ethics and fundamental rights guarantees that scientific research and technological innovations will meet citizens' expectations (65\% vs. 71\%-72\%).

Once again it is the attitudinal variables that provide the most variation. Those who are interested in or feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to agree that respect for ethics and fundamental rights guarantees that scientific research and technological innovations will meet citizens' expectations. For instance, $74 \%$ of those who are interested in developments in science and technology agree, compared to $64 \%$ of those who are not interested in these developments. Respondents who think the overall influence of science on society is positive are also more likely to agree compared to those who think the overall influence is negative ( $74 \% \mathrm{vs} .60 \%$ of those who think the overall influence is negative).

QD11.3 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

Respect for ethics and fundamental rights guarantees that scientific research and technological innovations will meet citizens' expectations

| Total 'Agree' | Neither agree <br> nor disagree | Total 'Disagree' | Don't know |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $70 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |


| S. Sex |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $71 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Female | $69 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $9 \%$ |


| Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $68 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $71 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $72 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $68 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $11 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $15-$ | $65 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $14 \%$ |  |
| $16-19$ | $71 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ |  |
| $20+$ | $72 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |
| Still studying | $70 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ |  |


| Interested in science |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Interested' | $74 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |
| Total 'Not interested' | $64 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $11 \%$ |  |

Informed about science

| Total 'Informed' | $74 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Not informed' | $68 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $10 \%$ |


| Influence of science on society |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Positive' | $74 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Total 'Negative' | $60 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

## 5. TRAINING ON SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ETHICS

## - A large majority think there should be mandatory ethics training for researchers, and an oath taken to respect ethical principles and legislation -

More than eight in ten respondents agree that all researchers should receive mandatory ethics training (84\%). In fact just over half (51\%) totally agree ${ }^{27}$. Just 3\% disagree, while 9\% are neutral.

> QD11.5. I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

All researchers should receive mandatory training on scientific research ethics (e.g. on privacy, animal welfare, etc.)


EU27

[^27]There is widespread agreement across all countries that researchers should receive mandatory training on scientific research ethics. Agreement is almost universal amongst Cypriot and Greek respondents (both 95\%), and is also high amongst respondents in Luxembourg, Slovenia (both 92\%) and Sweden (91\%).

At the other end of the scale 72\% of Romanian and 79\% of Portuguese respondents also agree.


A large majority also agree that young scientists should take an oath to respect both ethical principles and relevant legislation (83\%), with $52 \%$ in total agreement ${ }^{28}$. Around one in ten (9\%) are neutral, while 5\% disagree.

QD11.6. I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

Like medical doctors, all young scientists should take an oath to respect ethical principles and relevant legislation


[^28]At least seven out of ten respondents in all countries agree that young scientists should take an oath to respect both ethical principles and relevant legislation. This view is most widely held amongst respondents in Cyprus (97\%), Greece and Slovenia (both 92\%). Romania is the only country where fewer than eight in ten agree (71\%).


Socio-demographic analysis does not highlight any notable differences between genders or age groups on either of these issues. However, respondents who completed their education aged 15 or younger are less likely than those with higher education levels to agree that researchers should receive mandatory training on scientific research ethics ( $80 \%$ vs. $85 \%-87 \%$ ).

Once again it is the attitudinal variables provide the most variation. Those who are interested in or feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to agree that researchers should receive mandatory training on scientific research ethics. For example, $88 \%$ of those who are interested in developments in science and technology agree, compared to $79 \%$ of those who are not interested in these developments. Respondents who think the overall influence of science on society is positive are also more likely to agree with this statement compared to those who think the overall influence is negative ( $87 \%$ vs. $79 \%$ of those who think the overall influence is negative).

Those who are interested in developments in science and technology are more likely to agree that young scientists should take an oath to respect both ethical principles and relevant legislation ( $86 \%$ vs. $80 \%$ of those who are not interested).

QD11 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
$\%$ of Total 'Agree'

| All researchers should <br> receive mandatory training on <br> scientific research ethics <br> (e.g. on privacy, animal <br> welfare, etc.) | Like medical doctors, all <br> young scientists should take <br> an oath to respect ethical <br> principles and relevant <br> legislation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $84 \%$ | $83 \%$ |


| S. Sex |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $83 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Female | $86 \%$ | $85 \%$ |


| 㽞 Age |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $83 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $84 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $84 \%$ | $83 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-$ | $80 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| $16-19$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $87 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Still studying | $83 \%$ | $81 \%$ |


| Interested in science |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Interested' | $88 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' | $79 \%$ | $80 \%$ |


| Informed about science |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Informed' | $87 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| Total 'Not informed' | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ |

Influence of science on society

| Total 'Positive' | $87 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Negative' | $79 \%$ | $80 \%$ |

## 6. TRANSPARENCY REGARDING SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH FUNDING

## - At least eight in ten agree that scientific experts should be transparent about their sources of funding -

Just over eight in ten respondents (81\%) agree that scientific experts should be obliged to openly declare possible conflicts of interest, such as their sources of funding, when they are advising public authorities ${ }^{29}$. Almost half (49\%) totally agree. Just 3\% disagree, while $10 \%$ are neutral.

QD11.7. I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

Scientific experts should be obliged to openly declare possible conflicts of interest, such as their sources of funding, when they are advising public authorities



[^29]A majority of respondents in every country agree that scientific experts should be obliged to openly declare possible conflicts of interest when they are advising public authorities. Agreement is most widespread amongst respondents in Cyprus (92\%), Denmark, Sweden (both 91\%) and Greece (90\%), particularly when compared to respondents in Romania (64\%).


Socio-demographic analysis does not highlight any notable differences between men and women. The youngest respondents are the least likely to agree that scientific experts should be obliged to openly declare possible conflicts of interest when they are advising public authorities ( $75 \%$ vs. $80 \%-86 \%$ ).

In addition, the higher a respondent's education level, the more likely they are to agree that scientific experts should be obliged to openly declare possible conflicts of interest when they are advising public authorities. Three quarters (75\%) of respondents who completed their education aged 15 or younger agree, compared to $87 \%$ of those who completed their education aged $20+$.

Those who are interested in or feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to agree that scientific experts should be obliged to declare possible conflicts of interest when they are advising public authorities. For example, $87 \%$ of those who feel informed about developments in science and technology agree, compared to $78 \%$ of those who do not feel informed.

Respondents who think the overall influence of science on society is positive are also more likely to agree compared to those who think the overall influence is negative ( $86 \%$ vs. $74 \%$ of those who think the overall influence is negative).

QD11.7 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

Scientific experts should be obliged to openly declare possible conflicts of interest, such as their sources of funding, when they are advising public authorities

|  | Total 'Agree' | Neither agree <br> nor disagree | Total 'Disagree' | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $81 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| ST Sex |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $83 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Female | $80 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $7 \%$ |


| 甼 Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $75 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $83 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $86 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $80 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $9 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-$ | $75 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $16-19$ | $83 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $87 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Still studying | $77 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |


| Interested in science |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Interested' | $88 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' | $75 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $9 \%$ |


| Informed about science |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Informed' | $87 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Total 'Not informed' | $78 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $8 \%$ |


| Influence of science on society |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Positive' | $86 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Total 'Negative' | $74 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

## V. YOUNG PEOPLE AND SCIENCE

This section of the report considers the opinions of Europeans about the relationship between young people and science. Firstly the report considers whether Europeans think that governments are doing enough to stimulate young people's interest in science.

Secondly there is a discussion about whether involvement in science improves the employment prospects of young people, whether science improves culture, and whether young people who are involved with science are better prepared for future life.

Finally the importance of a scientific education in stimulating creative thinking in young people will be considered.

## 1. THE ROLE OF THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENTS IN STIMULATING YOUNG PEOPLE'S INTEREST FOR SCIENCE

## - Most think their government is not doing enough to stimulate interest in science amongst young people -

Respondents were asked how much they thought their government was doing to stimulate the interest of young people in science ${ }^{30}$. Just over one in five (22\%) think their government is doing enough, but the majority (65\%) think that too little is being done. Just $1 \%$ thinks too much is being done. There has been little change in opinion since the last wave in 2010.

QD12. In your opinion, is the (NATIONALITY) Government doing too much, enough or too little to stimulate young people's interest in science?


[^30]The majority of respondents in 19 countries (including Croatia) think their governments are doing too little stimulate young people's interest in science. Respondents in Spain are the most likely to think this way ( $83 \%$ ), and at least three quarters of Greek ( $78 \%$ ), Latvian, Croatian (both 76\%) and Italian respondents (75\%) agree. This is in sharp contrast to the $20 \%$ of Maltese respondents who also agree.

Malta is the only country where at least half of all respondents think their government is doing enough stimulate young people's interest in science (50\%). Belgium is the only other country where at least four out of ten respondents think this way (45\%). At the other end of the spectrum $7 \%$ of Spanish and $9 \%$ of Romanian respondents think their government is doing enough.

Fewer than 5\% of respondents in any country think that their government is doing too much to stimulate young people's interest in science.


The table below also illustrates the trend since the last wave in 2010．Although there has been little change at an overall EU27 level，there have been some notable shifts in opinion within countries．Respondents in Spain（＋18 percentage points），Greece（＋15 points）and Italy（＋10 points）are all much more likely to say their government is doing too little．In the case of Spain and Greece respondents are also less likely to say they government is doing enough than they were in 2010 （both -10 percentage points）．

Respondents in Malta are now much more likely to say their government is doing enough （ +21 percentage points），and much less likely to say they are not doing enough（－28 points）．Respondents in Denmark，Hungary，Lithuania and Germany also show similar shifts in opinion，although the margins are smaller．For example there has been a 15 percentage point decrease in the proportion of Lithuanians who say their government is doing too little，and a 13 point increase in the proportion who say that enough is being done．

| ［ ${ }_{\text {ES }}$ | 835 | ＋16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EEL | 7e\％ | ＋15 |
| IIIT | 75\％ | －10 |
| ［－7） Cr | 63\％ | ${ }^{4}$ |
| ［1］ | 60\％ | ${ }^{4}$ |
| ＝w | 46\％ | 4 |
| II \％ | 72\％ | ＝ |
| $\pm \mathrm{BG}$ | 65\％ | － |
| HEsE | 65\％ | ＊ |
| micz | 6\％\％ | ＝ |
| 표ㅁㅛㅔ | nex | 1 |
| －Pl | 655 | 2 |
| 址 UK | 6al\％ | 2 |
| 1ins sk | $56 \%$ | －3 |
| IIIIE | 55\％ | －3 |
| 117R | 66\％ | 4 |
| ¢ | 60\％ | －5 |
| 三 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 49\％ | ${ }^{5}$ |
| －EE | 60\％ | － 6 |
| 十F | $57 \%$ | 7 |
| ＝ | 49\％＊ | －7 |
| 판 | 76\％ | － 9 |
| 【18E | 495 | － |
| ＝ PE | 575 | －11 |
| ETT | 615 | －15 |
| 三 | 53\％ | － 55 |
| ：${ }_{\text {ER }}$ | 48\％ | ． 16 |
| －M M | 20\％ | 28 |
| $\pm{ }^{\text {HR}}$ | 70\％ | 7 |

[^31]

QD12 In your opinion, is the (NATIONALITY) Government doing too much, enough or too little to stimulate young people's interest in science?

|  |  | Too much |  | Enough |  | Too little |  | Don't know |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } 79.2 \\ 04-05 / \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evolution } \\ 2013-2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } 79.2 \\ 04-05 \text { I } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evolution } \\ \text { 2013-2010 } \end{gathered}$ | EB 79.2 04-05/ 2013 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Evolution } \\ & \text { 2013-2010 } \end{aligned}$ | EB 79.2 04-05/ 2013 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Evolution } \\ & 2013-2010 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | EU27 | 1\% | $=$ | 22\% | +1 | 65\% | -1 | 12\% | = |
| (2) | ES | 1\% | $=$ | 7\% | -10 | 83\% | +18 | 9\% | -8 |
| "运 | EL | 0\% | -2 | 16\% | -10 | 78\% | +15 | 6\% | -3 |
|  | IT | 1\% | $=$ | 16\% | -2 | 75\% | +10 | 8\% | -8 |
| (5) | CY | 1\% | $=$ | 24\% | -1 | 63\% | +7 | 12\% | -6 |
| ) | PT | 1\% | $=$ | 18\% | -7 | 60\% | +7 | 21\% | $=$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | LU | 2\% | $=$ | 36\% | -5 | 46\% | +4 | 16\% | +1 |
|  | BG | 1\% | $=$ | 12\% | +6 | 65\% | $=$ | 22\% | -6 |
| $\theta$ | CZ | 1\% | -1 | 25\% | -4 | 62\% | $=$ | 12\% | +5 |
| (1) | RO | 1\% | $=$ | 9\% | -5 | 72\% | $=$ | 18\% | +5 |
| $\theta$ | SE | 0\% | -1 | 22\% | -1 | 65\% | $=$ | 13\% | +2 |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 1\% | -1 | 24\% | +4 | 65\% | -2 | 10\% | -1 |
| 合 | UK | 2\% | +1 | 24\% | +1 | 64\% | -2 | 10\% | $=$ |
| $0$ | IE | 4\% | +3 | 27\% | +2 | 55\% | -3 | 14\% | -2 |
| (3) | SK | 1\% | -1 | 27\% | -4 | 56\% | -3 | 16\% | +8 |
| (1) | FR | 2\% | +1 | 20\% | +1 | 66\% | -4 | 12\% | +2 |
| 3 | AT | 2\% | -1 | 36\% | +7 | 49\% | -5 | 13\% | -1 |
| 0 | SI | 1\% | +1 | 20\% | +1 | 69\% | -5 | 10\% | +3 |
| $\bigcirc$ | EE | 1\% | $=$ | 29\% | +4 | 60\% | -6 | 10\% | +2 |
| ) | NL | 1\% | +1 | 38\% | +4 | 49\% | -7 | 12\% | +2 |
| (1) | FI | 1\% | +1 | 32\% | +3 | 57\% | -7 | 10\% | +3 |
| (1) | BE | 1\% | = | 45\% | +11 | 49\% | -9 | 5\% | -2 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 1\% | -1 | 18\% | +9 | 76\% | -9 | 5\% | +1 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 0\% | -1 | 27\% | +7 | 57\% | -11 | 16\% | +5 |
|  | LT | 1\% | $=$ | 30\% | +13 | 61\% | -15 | 8\% | +2 |
| 8 | HU | 3\% | +2 | 31\% | +9 | 53\% | -15 | 13\% | +4 |
| (1) | DK | 2\% | +1 | 38\% | +9 | 48\% | -16 | 12\% | +6 |
| (1) | MT | 2\% | -1 | 50\% | +21 | 20\% | -28 | 28\% | +8 |
| (2) | HR | 0\% | -1 | 19\% | +9 | 76\% | -7 | 5\% | -1 |

Socio-demographic analysis shows reveals no notable differences between genders, age groups, or based on education levels.

Respondents who are interested in developments in science and technology are more likely to say their government isn't doing enough to stimulate the interest of young people in science ( $71 \%$ vs. $59 \%$ of those who are not interested). The same patterns apply comparing those who have studied science and technology with those who have not (70\% vs. 61\%).

Respondents who think that science stimulates young people's creativity are more likely to think their government is not doing enough to stimulate the interest of young people in science ( $68 \%$ vs. $58 \%$ of those who do not think studying science has this effect).

QD12 In your opinion, is the (NATIONALITY) Government doing too much, enough or too little to stimulate young people's interest in science?

|  | Too much | Enough | Too little | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $1 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| SS Sex | $1 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Male | $1 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Female | $22 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $13 \%$ |  |


| Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $2 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $1 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $1 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $1 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $15 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-$ | $2 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| $16-19$ | $1 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $1 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Still studying | $1 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $7 \%$ |


| Interested in science |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Interested' | $1 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' | $1 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

Studied science or tech

| Total 'Yes' | $1 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | $1 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $15 \%$ |

Sc. stimulating young peoples creativity

| Total 'Important' | $1 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Not important' | $2 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $13 \%$ |

## 2. BENEFITS OF SCIENCE EDUCATION ON YOUNG PEOPLE

## - Most agree that an interest in science improves young people's job prospects, culture, and their ability to act as well-informed citizens -

Respondents were asked their opinion on three statements about the impact of science on young people ${ }^{31}$. Around seven in ten agree that an interest in science improves young people's culture ( $72 \%$ ), and that science prepares youth to act as well informed citizens (68\%). Almost six in ten agree that young people interested in science have better chances of getting a job (59\%).

The chart below illustrates there has been little change in these results since 2010.
QD13. I would like to read out some statements that people have made about young people's interest in science. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.


[^32]Respondents in Malta, Ireland (both 78\%), Bulgaria and Finland (both 75\%) are the most likely to agree that young people who are interested in science have better chances of getting a job. In fact, a majority of respondents in all but four countries agree. The exceptions are Cyprus (41\%), France, Slovenia (both 44\%) and Latvia (48\%).

Finnish (93\%), Bulgarian and Spanish (both 92\%) respondents are the most likely to agree that an interest in science improves young people's culture, compared to $48 \%$ of Dutch respondents. The Netherlands is the only country where fewer than half of all respondents agree.

Dutch respondents (52\%), along with those in France (57\%) and Belgium (58\%) are also the least likely to agree that science prepares the younger generation to act as well-informed citizens. In contrast $88 \%$ of Bulgarian, $84 \%$ of Finnish and $83 \%$ of Maltese respondents agree.

There are also patterns in the responses to these three statements within countries. Respondents in Bulgaria and Finland are amongst those most likely to agree with all three statements. Maltese respondents are amongst those most likely to agree that young people interested in science have better chances of getting a job, and that science prepares the younger generation to act as well informed citizens. On the other hand, French respondents are amongst those least likely to agree with these two statements.

The table below illustrates that although there has been little change in the overall EU27 results since the last wave, there have been some notable shifts within countries.

Respondents in Malta are now more likely to agree that young people who are interested in science have better chances of getting a job ( +16 percentage points) as are those in Ireland (+14 points), Bulgaria (+13 points), Italy, Hungary and Croatia (all +10 points). On the other hand, respondents in Cyprus, Greece, Denmark (all -6 points) and the UK ( -5 points) are now less likely to agree than they were in 2010.

Respondents in Finland are not much more likely to agree that an interest in science also improves young people's culture ( +16 percentage points), whilst Danish respondents are now less likely to agree (-9). Maltese respondents are now more likely to agree that science prepares the younger generation to act as well-informed citizens ( +10 points), with those in Latvia ( -6 ), Estonia and Belgium ( -5 ) now less likely to agree.

QD13 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about young people's interest in science. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
$\%$ of Total 'Agree'

|  |  | Young people interested in science have better chances of getting a job |  | By being interested in science, young people also improve their culture |  | Science prepares the younger generation to act as well-informed citizens |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB 79.2 } \\ 04-05 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evolution } \\ \text { 2013-2010 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB 79.2 } \\ 04-05 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Evolution } \\ & \text { 2013-2010 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } 79.2 \\ 04-05 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Evolution } \\ & \text { 2013-2010 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | EU27 | 59\% | +1 | 72\% | +2 | 68\% | $=$ |
|  | BE | 63\% | +4 | 61\% | -5 | 58\% | -5 |
|  | BG | 75\% | +13 | 92\% | +3 | 88\% | +2 |
|  | CZ | 55\% | +1 | 68\% | -4 | 68\% | +2 |
| $\theta$ | DK | 63\% | -6 | 55\% | -9 | 77\% | -4 |
|  | DE | 73\% | $=$ | 70\% | -3 | 70\% | -3 |
| $\square$ | EE | 64\% | +6 | 83\% | -3 | 76\% | -5 |
|  | IE | 78\% | +14 | 71\% | +7 | 78\% | +6 |
| 6 | EL | 56\% | -6 | 81\% | +3 | 80\% | +6 |
|  | ES | 60\% | $=$ | 92\% | +8 | 79\% | +2 |
| (1) | FR | 44\% | +3 | 81\% | +3 | 57\% | -1 |
| 0 | IT | 58\% | +10 | 77\% | +3 | 73\% | +6 |
| (5) | CY | 41\% | -6 | 70\% | +2 | 75\% | +3 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 48\% | +6 | 83\% | -3 | 80\% | -6 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LT | 63\% | +3 | 79\% | -2 | 73\% | +1 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LU | 57\% | = | 78\% | -3 | 65\% | +4 |
| 3 | HU | 61\% | +10 | 77\% | +2 | 73\% | $=$ |
| () | MT | 78\% | +16 | 78\% | +3 | 83\% | +10 |
| $\bigcirc$ | AT | 71\% | +4 | 68\% | +6 | 68\% | +2 |
| 3 | NL | 53\% | -1 | 48\% | +1 | 52\% | $=$ |
|  | PL | 62\% | = | 61\% | -1 | 73\% | -1 |
| () | PT | 63\% | $=$ | 83\% | +3 | 77\% | +1 |
| (1) | RO | 60\% | +7 | 78\% | +3 | 70\% | +2 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 44\% | -4 | 68\% | -4 | 67\% | -2 |
| 3 | SK | 62\% | -1 | 69\% | -4 | 66\% | -2 |
| 3 | FI | 75\% | $+2$ | 93\% | +16 | 84\% | +7 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SE | 72\% | -1 | 64\% | +2 | 71\% | +2 |
| an | UK | 52\% | -5 | 56\% | +1 | 61\% | -4 |
| (2) | HR | 62\% | +10 | 79\% | +3 | 77\% | +5 |

Socio-demographic analysis reveals no notable differences between genders, age groups, or based on education levels.

Respondents who are interested in developments in science and technology are more likely to agree with each statement compared to those who are not interested. For instance $77 \%$ of those interested in these developments agree that an interest in science also improves young people's culture, compared to $67 \%$ of those who are not interested in developments in science and technology. The same pattern also applies for those who feel informed about developments in science and technology.

This pattern is even more pronounced when comparing those who think the influence of science on society is positive or negative. For example 64\% of those who think the influence of science on society is positive agree that young people who are interested in science have better chances of getting a job, compared to $45 \%$ of those who think the influence is negative. This pattern also applies to the other two statements.

Respondents who think that science stimulates young people's creative thinking are also more likely to agree with each statement compared to those who do not think this is the case. For example $76 \%$ of respondents who think that science is important in stimulating creativity in young people agree that science prepares the younger generation to act as well-informed citizens, compared to $34 \%$ of those who think science is not important in this way.

QD13 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about young people's interest in science. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

## \% of Total 'Agree'

|  | Young people <br> interested in science <br> have better chances of <br> getting a job | By being interested in <br> science, young people <br> also improve their <br> culture | Science prepares the <br> younger generation to <br> act as well-informed <br> citizens |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $59 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $68 \%$ |


| ST Sex |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $62 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Female | $57 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $67 \%$ |


| 崰 Age |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $58 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $56 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $61 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $62 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $70 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-$ | $59 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| $16-19$ | $57 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $64 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Still studying | $59 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $70 \%$ |


| Interested in science |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Interested' | $64 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' | $55 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $63 \%$ |


| Informed about science |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Informed' | $64 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Total 'Not informed' | $57 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $67 \%$ |


| Influence of science on society |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Positive' | $64 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Total 'Negative' | $45 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $53 \%$ |

Sc. stimulating young peoples creativity

| Sc. stimulating young peoples creativity |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Important' | $64 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Total 'Not important' | $37 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $34 \%$ |

## 3. THE IMPORTANCE OF SCIENTIFIC EDUCATION IN STIMULATING YOUNG PEOPLE'S CREATIVE THINKING

## - A large majority agree that a scientific education is important in stimulating creative thinking in young people -

More than eight out of ten respondents agree that a scientific education is important in stimulating creative thinking in young people (84\%), with $33 \%$ agreeing that it is very important ${ }^{32}$. Just over one in ten (12\%) think that it is not important.

QD14. How important do you think scientific education is in stimulating young people's creative thinking?


[^33]More than two thirds of respondents in each country think that a scientific education is important in stimulating creative thinking in young people. This view is most widely held amongst respondents in Ireland (92\%), Bulgaria, Greece and Lithuania (all 91\%). In fact at least half of Irish respondents say that it is very important (51\%). At the other end of the scale $68 \%$ of Danish respondents think a scientific education is important in stimulating creative thinking in young people.


Once again the socio-demographic analysis does not reveal any significant differences in opinion based on gender, age, education levels or occupation.

The attitudinal variables provide the only notable differences. For example $88 \%$ of those who think the influence of science on society is positive think that a scientific education is important in stimulating young people's creative thinking, compared to $73 \%$ of those who think the influence is negative. A similar patter applies for those who are interested in and feel informed about developments in science and technology, as well as those who have studied science, although the differences are not as large.

QD14 How important do you think scientific education is in stimulating young people's creative thinking?

|  | Total 'Important' | Total 'Not important' | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $84 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ |


| 3 Sex |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $85 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Female | $82 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $5 \%$ |


| 㽞 Age |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-24$ | $81 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $84 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $84 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $84 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-$ | $81 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $16-19$ | $84 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $85 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Still studying | $82 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $3 \%$ |


| Interested in science |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Interested' | $89 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Total 'Not interested' | $77 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $7 \%$ |


| Informed about science |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Informed' | $87 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Total 'Not informed' | $81 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| Studied science or tech |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Yes' | $86 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| No | $81 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

Influence of science on society

| Total 'Positive' | $88 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 'Negative' | $73 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

## VI. GENDER ISSUES AND SCIENCE

This section will explore opinions about the role of gender in scientific research. Firstly it will consider whether it is important that scientific research takes into account the needs of both men and women. The reasons why Europeans think that this is important will also be explored.

## 1. THE IMPORTANCE OF TAKING INTO ACCOUNT EQUALLY WOMEN'S AND MEN'S NEEDS IN SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

## - A large majority think the needs of men and women should have equal weight in scientific research -

More than eight out of ten ( $86 \%$ ) respondents think it is important that scientific research takes equal account of the needs of men and women, with almost half thinking that this is very important $(47 \%)^{33}$. Just under one in ten (9\%) think this is not important.


[^34]At least two thirds of respondents in each country think it is important that scientific research takes equal account of the needs of men and women. Respondents in Sweden (94\%), Cyprus (93\%), Greece and Spain (both 92\%) are the most likely to think this way, compared to $66 \%$ of Romanian respondents. In fact Romania and Bulgaria (72\%) are the only countries where fewer than three quarters think this way.


Respondents who said it is important that scientific research takes equal account of the needs of men and women were asked why they think it is important ${ }^{34}$. At least half say it is important to respect gender equality in general (58\%), and to make technological innovations better suited to both men and women (50\%). On third (33\%) say it is important to take equal account of the needs of men and women to improve the quality of scientific research, while one quarter ( $25 \%$ ) say to foster more innovations in science and technology.

(MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)
Base: asked only to respondents who consider important that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs $=22.984$ respondents

[^35]In 19 countries the most common response is that it is important that scientific research takes equal account of the needs of men and women to respect gender equality in general. This is particularly the case for respondents in Luxembourg (77\%), Germany ( $73 \%$ ), France ( $71 \%$ ) and the Netherlands ( $70 \%$ ). Across all countries respondents in Romania (37\%) and Hungary (39\%) are the least likely to mention this reason.

QD16 Why do you think it is important that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs?

|  |  | To respect gender equality in general | To make technological innovations better suited to both women and men | To improve the quality of scientific research | To foster more innovations in science and technology | Other (SPONTANEOUS) | None (SPONTANEOUS) | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EU27 | 58\% | 50\% | 33\% | 25\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| ) | LU | 77\% | 64\% | 43\% | $38 \%$ | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% |
|  | DE | 73\% | 55\% | 37\% | 32\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| (1) | FR | 71\% | 62\% | 33\% | 26\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% |
|  | NL | 70\% | 46\% | 38\% | 25\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| ) | SI | 69\% | 58\% | 47\% | 39\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% |
|  | AT | 67\% | 66\% | 53\% | 42\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| ) | DK | 66\% | 55\% | 48\% | 37\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| (1) | BE | 65\% | 51\% | 33\% | 20\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| $(1)$ | FI | 65\% | 47\% | 36\% | 35\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| (5) | CY | 62\% | 67\% | 37\% | 32\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| $\theta$ | SE | 61\% | 59\% | 48\% | 43\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| Q | UK | 61\% | 41\% | 30\% | 16\% | 1\% | 0\% | 3\% |
|  | ES | 60\% | 48\% | 30\% | 23\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| $0$ | IE | 59\% | 36\% | 33\% | 23\% | 1\% | 2\% | 4\% |
| ( ) | MT | 59\% | 54\% | 26\% | 19\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| O | LT | 58\% | 44\% | 22\% | 15\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | CZ | 56\% | 48\% | 28\% | 22\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| - | EE | 52\% | 50\% | 32\% | 27\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| 6 | EL | 52\% | 55\% | 42\% | 34\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| (0) | PT | 48\% | 46\% | 32\% | 28\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | BG | 45\% | 57\% | 24\% | 21\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 44\% | 40\% | 26\% | 20\% | 1\% | 1\% | 5\% |
| (3) | SK | 42\% | 53\% | 27\% | 22\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 41\% | 49\% | 26\% | 19\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% |
| 0 | IT | 40\% | 44\% | 34\% | 25\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| 3 | HU | 39\% | 49\% | 26\% | 21\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% |
| $0$ | RO | 37\% | 59\% | 31\% | 25\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| (3) | HR | 68\% | 56\% | 34\% | 27\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| Highest percentage percountry $\quad$ Lowest percentage per country |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Highest percentage per item |  | Lowest percentage per item |  |  |  |  |

(MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)
Base: asked only to respondents who consider important that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs $=22.984$ respondents

In eight countries the most mentioned reason is to make technological innovations better suited to both men and women, particularly in Cyprus (67\%), Romania (59\%), Bulgaria (57\%) and Greece (55\%).

Across all countries this reason is also mentioned widely by respondents in Austria (66\%), Luxembourg (64\%) and France (62\%). Respondents in Ireland (36\%) and Poland (40\%) are the least likely to mention this reason.

Austria is the only country where at least half of all respondents mention improving the quality of scientific research (53\%), although it is also mentioned by $48 \%$ of Swedish and Danish respondents. In contrast $22 \%$ of Lithuanian respondents mention this reason. Swedish respondents are the most likely to mention fostering more innovations in science and technology (43\%), followed by those in Austria (42\%). This compares to $15 \%$ of Lithuanian respondents. Fostering more innovations in science and technology is the least mentioned reason in each country.

Socio-demographic analysis shows no difference between gender, age, education and occupation groups in the proportions who say it is important that scientific research takes equal account of the needs of men and women. However, those who are interested in developments in science and technology are more likely to think it is important than those who are not interested. Respondents who think the overall influence of science on society is positive are also more likely to say is important that scientific research takes equal account of the needs of men and women compared to those who think the influence is negative. The same pattern applies to those who think a scientific education is important for stimulating young people's creativity.

QD15 How important do you think it is that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs?

|  | Total 'Important' | Total 'Not important' | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | $86 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ |


| S. Sex |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $86 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Female | $88 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ |


| Age |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-24$ | $86 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $25-39$ | $87 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $40-54$ | $86 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $86 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| Education (End of) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-$ | $84 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $16-19$ | $87 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $87 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Still studying | $88 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

A: Respondent occupation scale

| Self-employed | $85 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Managers | $88 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Other white collars | $87 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Manual workers | $87 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| House persons | $82 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Unemployed | $88 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Retired | $86 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Students | $88 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

## Interested in science

| Total 'Interested' | $91 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Not interested' | $81 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $7 \%$ |

## Influence of science on society

| Total 'Positive' | $90 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Negative' | $78 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

## Sc. stimulating young peoples creativity

| Total 'Important' | $91 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 'Not important' | $67 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

Turning to the reasons why respondents think it is important that scientific research takes equal account of the needs of men and women we once again see no differences between gender and age groups. However, respondents with the highest education levels are more likely to mention each reason with the exception of 'to respect gender equality in general'. For instance $38 \%$ of those who completed their education aged $20+$ mentions improving the quality of scientific research, compared to $28 \%$ of those who completed their education aged 15 or younger.

Respondents who are interested in developments in science and technology are more likely to mention improving the quality of research, making innovations better suited to men and women, and fostering more innovation in science and technology. For example $38 \%$ of those who are interested mention improving the quality of research, compared to $27 \%$ of those who are not interested. The same pattern applies when comparing those who are positive and negative about the influence of science on society.

Respondents who feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to mention improving the quality of research ( $38 \%$ vs. $30 \%$ ), and fostering more innovation in science and technology ( $29 \%$ vs. $23 \%$ ) than those who do not feel informed.

QD16 Why do you think it is important that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs?

|  | To respect gender equality in general | To make technological innovations better suited to both women and men | To improve the quality of scientific research | To foster more innovations in science and technology | Other (SPONTANEOUS) | None (SPONTANEOUS) | DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | 58\% | 50\% | 33\% | 25\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| 3 Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 57\% | 49\% | 34\% | 27\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Female | 59\% | 51\% | 32\% | 24\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| 国成 Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 59\% | 49\% | 33\% | 24\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| 25-39 | 57\% | 52\% | 34\% | 27\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| 40-54 | 57\% | 51\% | 36\% | 28\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| $55+$ | 59\% | 49\% | 31\% | 23\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Education (End of) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15- | 58\% | 46\% | 28\% | 20\% | 1\% | 0\% | 3\% |
| 16-19 | 58\% | 50\% | 32\% | 24\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| $20+$ | 58\% | 53\% | 38\% | 30\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Still studying | 59\% | 49\% | 35\% | 28\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| Interested in science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 'Interested' | 60\% | 52\% | 38\% | 29\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Total 'Not interested' | 57\% | 47\% | 27\% | 21\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% |
| Informed about science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 'Informed' | 59\% | 51\% | 38\% | 29\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Total 'Not informed' | 57\% | 49\% | 30\% | 23\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Influence of science on society |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 'Positive' | 58\% | 51\% | 35\% | 27\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Total 'Negative' | 56\% | 45\% | 27\% | 21\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% |

(MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)
Base: asked only to respondents who consider important that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs $=22.984$ respondents

Overall, for most of the questions discussed in this report there are no significant gender differences obtained in the results. However, the few most interesting ones are:

- Men are more likely to be interested in and feel informed about developments in science and technology than women are ( $64 \% \mathrm{vs} .44 \%$ ). They are also more likely to have studied any of these subjects at any education level (51\% vs. 43\%).
- Women are slightly less likely than men to have positive views about the benefits provided by science and technology ( $75 \%$ vs. $80 \%$ ). They are also more likely than men to have reservations concerning science and technology
- Women are more likely than men to consider that fundamental rights and moral principles should not be violated in order to make new scientific or technological discoveries (64\% vs. 59\%).


## VII. OPEN ACCESS TO RESEARCH RESULTS

## - The majority agree that the results of publicly funded research should be available online for free -

Respondents were asked whether they thought the results from publicly funded research should be made available online for free ${ }^{35}$. The majority ( $79 \%$ ) think they should. Just over six out of ten (62\%) think results should be available to the general public, while $33 \%$ think they should be available to other researchers, and $17 \%$ think they should be available to industries. One in ten (10\%) do not agree that results from publicly funded research should be made available online for free.


EU27
(MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

[^36]Respondents in each country are most likely to agree that the results of publicly funded research should be available online for free for the general public. Cypriot respondents are the most likely to say this (80\%), followed by those in Greece (78\%), Croatia (77\%) and the UK (75\%). Hungary and Romania are the only countries where fewer than half of all respondents mention this option (47\% and 49\% respectively).

Respondents in Hungary are equally likely to mention research being freely available online to the general public and to other researchers (both $47 \%$ ). However, it is respondents in France who are the most likely to say that results should be freely available online to other researchers (50\%), while $47 \%$ of Cypriot respondents also agree. In a sharp contrast, $18 \%$ of Bulgarian respondents are of the same opinion.

Respondents in Finland and Cyprus are the most likely to say that results of publicly funded research should be available online for free to industries (both $32 \%$ ). At the other end of the scale one in ten Bulgarians (10\%) also think this way.

Danish and Austrian respondents are the most likely to say that results of publicly funded research should not be available online for free (both 18\%), followed by those in Germany and Luxembourg (both 16\%). In contrast $2 \%$ of Cypriot and $3 \%$ of both Bulgarian and Italian respondents think this way.

QD17 Do you think that the results of publicly funded research should be made available online free of charge?

|  |  | Yes, to the general public | Yes, to other researchers | Yes, to industries | No | Don't know | Total 'Yes' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EU27 | 62\% | 33\% | 17\% | 10\% | 10\% | 79\% |
| (5) | CY | 80\% | 47\% | 32\% | 2\% | 8\% | 90\% |
|  | EL | 78\% | 38\% | 23\% | 3\% | 9\% | 88\% |
|  | UK | 75\% | 29\% | 20\% | 7\% | 8\% | 85\% |
| 0 | IE | 74\% | 39\% | 24\% | 6\% | 9\% | 85\% |
|  | ES | 71\% | 29\% | 15\% | 6\% | 12\% | 82\% |
| ( | MT | 71\% | 21\% | 16\% | 4\% | 20\% | 77\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 68\% | 32\% | 18\% | 12\% | 7\% | 81\% |
|  | FI | 67\% | 45\% | 32\% | 6\% | 3\% | 90\% |
| 3 | LV | 66\% | 22\% | 20\% | 7\% | 6\% | 87\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | NL | 65\% | 35\% | 15\% | 13\% | 4\% | 83\% |
| ) | CZ | 64\% | 30\% | 11\% | 7\% | 8\% | 85\% |
| ) | EE | 64\% | 26\% | 13\% | 11\% | 7\% | 82\% |
| 3 | LU | 64\% | 42\% | 28\% | 16\% | 5\% | 79\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 64\% | 26\% | 14\% | 11\% | 13\% | 76\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | LT | 62\% | 26\% | 16\% | 7\% | 15\% | 78\% |
| $\geqslant$ | SE | 61\% | 46\% | 27\% | 13\% | 4\% | 83\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | DK | 59\% | 36\% | 24\% | 18\% | 5\% | 77\% |
| D | FR | 59\% | 50\% | 27\% | 14\% | 6\% | 80\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 58\% | 27\% | 13\% | 16\% | 12\% | 72\% |
| (2) | PT | 58\% | 32\% | 18\% | 8\% | 17\% | 75\% |
| 3 | SK | 58\% | 27\% | 13\% | 9\% | 11\% | 80\% |
| 0 | IT | 56\% | 30\% | 11\% | 8\% | 11\% | 81\% |
| (1) | BE | 55\% | 47\% | 21\% | 14\% | 2\% | 84\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | AT | 55\% | 36\% | 17\% | 18\% | 7\% | 75\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | BG | 54\% | 18\% | 10\% | 3\% | 30\% | 66\% |
| D | RO | 49\% | 24\% | 12\% | 9\% | 24\% | 66\% |
| 3 | HU | 47\% | 47\% | 24\% | 11\% | 9\% | 81\% |
| 28) | HR | 77\% | 21\% | 13\% | 8\% | 7\% | 85\% |

Highest percentage per Lowest percentage per country
country

(MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

Socio-demographic analysis does not highlight any differences between men and women. Respondents aged 55+ are the least likely to think results of publicly funded research should be available online for free to the general public (57\%) or to other researchers (30\%). Those with the lowest education levels are also the least likely to say that research results should be freely available online to the general public (55\%) or to other researchers (28\%). This is particularly the case when compared to those who completed their education aged 20+.

Respondents who are interest in or feel informed about developments in science and technology are more likely to think that results of publicly funded research should be available online to the general public, other researchers and to industries. For example $68 \%$ of those who feel informed about these developments think that research results should be freely available to the general public online, compared to $59 \%$ of those who do not feel informed.

Respondents who studied science or technology are more likely to say that results of publicly funded research should be available online to the general public (67\%) and to other researchers (36\%) compared to those who have not studied in these areas. The same pattern applies when comparing those who think the influence of science on society is positive or negative.

QD17 Do you think that the results of publicly funded research should be made available online free of charge?

|  | Yes, to the general public | Yes, to other researchers | Yes, to industries | No | Don't know | Total 'Yes' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU27 | 62\% | 33\% | 17\% | 10\% | 10\% | 79\% |
| 3 Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 63\% | 34\% | 18\% | 11\% | 9\% | 81\% |
| Female | 61\% | 31\% | 16\% | 10\% | 12\% | 78\% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 67\% | 31\% | 17\% | 10\% | 7\% | 83\% |
| 25-39 | 66\% | 35\% | 18\% | 9\% | 7\% | 83\% |
| 40-54 | 63\% | 35\% | 18\% | 10\% | 8\% | 82\% |
| $55+$ | 57\% | 30\% | 16\% | 12\% | 16\% | 72\% |
| Education (End of) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15- | 55\% | 28\% | 14\% | 11\% | 19\% | 70\% |
| 16-19 | 63\% | 32\% | 18\% | 10\% | 10\% | 80\% |
| $20+$ | 65\% | 37\% | 18\% | 11\% | 6\% | 83\% |
| Still studying | 68\% | 34\% | 18\% | 9\% | 6\% | 85\% |
| Interested in science |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Interested' | 68\% | 37\% | 19\% | 9\% | 5\% | 85\% |
| Total 'Not interested' | 56\% | 28\% | 14\% | 12\% | 16\% | 72\% |
| Informed about science |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 'Informed' | 68\% | 38\% | 20\% | 10\% | 5\% | 85\% |
| Total 'Not informed' | 59\% | 30\% | 15\% | 11\% | 14\% | 75\% |
| Studied science or tech |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 'Yes' | 67\% | 36\% | 19\% | 9\% | 6\% | 85\% |
| No | 58\% | 30\% | 15\% | 11\% | 14\% | 74\% |
| Influence of science on society |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 'Positive' | 65\% | 35\% | 18\% | 10\% | 8\% | 82\% |
| Total 'Negative' | 57\% | 28\% | 18\% | 14\% | 9\% | 77\% |

(MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

## CONCLUSIONS

Europeans are generally positive about the influence of science and technology on society. This is in spite of the fact that many have not studied in these areas, they do not feel informed about them, and many are not interested in them. It seems somewhat paradoxical that feeling positive about science does not depend on feeling informed or being interested in the area. However, an interest in scientific and technological developments and feeling informed in this area are related. As interest increases, so to do feelings of being informed, and vice versa.

Looking at the relationship between these two opinions also highlights an opportunity for further education and awareness, as one in five say they are interested but do not feel informed about developments in science and technology. Television is an appropriate medium to consider for further education and awareness, as almost two thirds get their information on science and technology through this medium. The internet is less relied upon in general, although this is extremely dependent on age with younger respondents almost as likely to look to the internet for information as they are to television.

As the discussion of the results has shown, in many cases attitudes in matters to do with science and technology are more likely to be influenced by a positive attitude to science, and by being interested and feeling informed in this area than they are by age, gender and education levels. However, it is notable that men are much more likely to feel informed about and be interested in developments in science and technology compared to women, and they are also more likely to have studied these areas.

Europeans living in Northern and Western countries could be categorised as having a greater level of awareness when it comes to science and technology compared to their Southern and Eastern counterparts. Europeans living in Northern and Western countries are more likely to be interested in and feel informed about developments in science and technology, and to look for information about these developments.

Those living in Southern and Eastern countries are less likely to want to be involved in decision making about science and technology, and they are more likely to think that science makes their way of life change too quickly, and that there is too much dependence on science and not enough on faith. It is interesting to note, however, that in spite of these differences Europeans living in both areas are equally positive about the impact science has on society.

Europeans are divided about how involved they should be in decisions about science and technology. Almost one third of respondents are of the opinion that they only need to be informed about decisions in this area, rather than being involved in some way in the decision making. Furthermore, this desire for non-involvement is not because they are of the view that government representatives act responsibly when making decisions about science. In fact the reverse is true: those who think that government representatives try to behave responsibly to society on matters relating to science and technology are less inclined to just be informed about decisions in this area. They are more likely to want citizens to be informed and their views considered.

Although the majority of respondents in all countries think that science has a positive impact on society, not all views of science are positive. A majority also think that science makes their way of life change too quickly, and a considerably proportion also think that there is too much reliance on science and not enough on faith.

When it comes to ethics, however, there is a general consensus across Europe that the EU should promote respect for European ethical principles, and that measures should be taken to address the ethical risks of new technologies. Most also think that fundamental rights and morals must be protected in the process of making new scientific discoveries.

Although a majority have not studied science or technology themselves, most Europeans think that a scientific education is important to improve the job prospects, culture and creativity of young people, and to help them become well informed members of society.

## ANNEXES

## TECHNI CAL SPECI FI CATI ONS

## SPECIAL EUROBAROMETER 401 <br> Science and technology TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

Between the $26^{\text {th }}$ of April and $14^{\text {th }}$ of May 2013, TNS opinion \& social, a consortium created between TNS plc and TNS opinion, carried out the wave 79.2 of the EUROBAROMETER survey, on request of the EUROPEAN COMMISSION, Directorate-General for Communication, "Research and Speechwriting".

The Special EUROBAROMETER 401 survey is part of wave 79.2 and covers the population of the respective nationalities of the European Union Member States, resident in each of the Member States and aged 15 years and over.
The EUROBAROMETER survey wave 79.2 has also been conducted in Croatia where the survey covers the national population of citizens and the population of citizens of all the European Union Member States that are residents in this country and have a sufficient command of the national languages to answer the questionnaire.

The basic sample design applied in all states is a multi-stage, random (probability) one. In each country, a number of sampling points was drawn with probability proportional to population size (for a total coverage of the country) and to population density.

In order to do so, the sampling points were drawn systematically from each of the "administrative regional units", after stratification by individual unit and type of area. They thus represent the whole territory of the countries surveyed according to the EUROSTAT NUTS II (or equivalent) and according to the distribution of the resident population of the respective nationalities in terms of metropolitan, urban and rural areas. In each of the selected sampling points, a starting address was drawn, at random. Further addresses (every Nth address) were selected by standard "random route" procedures, from the initial address. In each household, the respondent was drawn, at random (following the "closest birthday rule"). All interviews were conducted face-to-face in people's homes and in the appropriate national language. As far as the data capture is concerned, CAPI (Computer Assisted Personal Interview) was used in those countries where this technique was available.

For each country a comparison between the sample and the universe was carried out. The Universe description was derived from Eurostat population data or from national statistics offices. For all countries surveyed, a national weighting procedure, using marginal and intercellular weighting, was carried out based on this Universe description. In all countries, gender, age, region and size of locality were introduced in the iteration procedure. For international weighting (i.e. EU averages), TNS Opinion \& Social applies the official population figures as provided by EUROSTAT or national statistic offices. The total population figures for input in this post-weighting procedure are listed below.

Readers are reminded that survey results are estimations, the accuracy of which, everything being equal, rests upon the sample size and upon the observed percentage. With samples of about 1,000 interviews, the real percentages vary within the following confidence limits:
Statistical Margins due to the sampling process
(at the $95 \%$ level of confidence)
various sample sizes are in rows
various observed results are in columns

|  | $5 \%$ $95 \%$ | $10 \%$ $90 \%$ | $15 \%$ $85 \%$ | $20 \%$ $80 \%$ | $25 \%$ $75 \%$ | $30 \%$ $70 \%$ | $35 \%$ $65 \%$ | $40 \%$ $60 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \% \\ & 55 \% \end{aligned}$ | $50 \%$ $50 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 6,0 | 8,3 | 9,9 | 11,1 | 12,0 | 12,7 | 13,2 | 13,6 | 13,8 | 13,9 | $N=50$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=500$ | 1,9 | 2,6 | 3,1 | 3,5 | 3,8 | 4,0 | 4,2 | 4,3 | 4,4 | 4,4 | $\mathrm{N}=500$ |
| N=1000 | 1,4 | 1,9 | 2,2 | 2,5 | 2,7 | 2,8 | 3,0 | 3,0 | 3,1 | 3,1 | $\mathrm{N}=1.000$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=1500$ | 1,1 | 1,5 | 1,8 | 2,0 | 2,2 | 2,3 | 2,4 | 2,5 | 2,5 | 2,5 | $\mathrm{N}=1500$ |
| $N=2000$ | 1,0 | 1,3 | 1,6 | 1,8 | 1,9 | 2,0 | 2,1 | 2,1 | 2,2 | 2,2 | $\mathrm{N}=2000$ |
| N=3000 | 0,8 | 1,1 | 1,3 | 1,4 | 1,5 | 1,6 | 1,7 | 1,8 | 1,8 | 1,8 | $\mathrm{N}=3000$ |
| $N=4000$ | 0,7 | 0,9 | 1,1 | 1,2 | 1,3 | 1,4 | 1,5 | 1,5 | 1,5 | 1,5 | $\mathrm{N}=4000$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=5000$ | 0,6 | 0,8 | 1,0 | 1,1 | 1,2 | 1,3 | 1,3 | 1,4 | 1,4 | 1,4 | $\mathrm{N}=5000$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=6000$ | 0,6 | 0,8 | 0,9 | 1,0 | 1,1 | 1,2 | 1,2 | 1,2 | 1,3 | 1,3 | $\mathrm{N}=6000$ |
| N=7000 | 0,5 | 0,7 | 0,8 | 0,9 | 1,0 | 1,1 | 1,1 | 1,1 | 1,2 | 1,2 | $\mathrm{N}=7000$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=7500$ | 0,5 | 0,7 | 0,8 | 0,9 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,1 | 1,1 | 1,1 | 1,1 | $\mathrm{N}=7500$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=8000$ | 0,5 | 0,7 | 0,8 | 0,9 | 0,9 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,1 | 1,1 | 1,1 | $\mathrm{N}=8000$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=9000$ | 0,5 | 0,6 | 0,7 | 0,8 | 0,9 | 0,9 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | $\mathrm{N}=9000$ |
| $N=10000$ | 0,4 | 0,6 | 0,7 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,9 | 0,9 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | $N=10000$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=11000$ | 0,4 | 0,6 | 0,7 | 0,7 | 0,8 | 0,9 | 0,9 | 0,9 | 0,9 | 0,9 | $\mathrm{N}=11000$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=12000$ | 0,4 | 0,5 | 0,6 | 0,7 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,9 | 0,9 | 0,9 | 0,9 | N=12000 |
| $\mathrm{N}=13000$ | 0,4 | 0,5 | 0,6 | 0,7 | 0,7 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,9 | 0,9 | $\mathrm{N}=13000$ |
| $N=14000$ | 0,4 | 0,5 | 0,6 | 0,7 | 0,7 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,8 | $\mathrm{N}=14000$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=15000$ | 0,3 | 0,5 | 0,6 | 0,6 | 0,7 | 0,7 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,8 | $\mathrm{N}=15000$ |
|  | 5\% | 10\% | 15\% | 20\% | 25\% | 30\% | 35\% | 40\% | 45\% | 50\% |  |
|  | 95\% | 90\% | 85\% | 80\% | 75\% | 70\% | 65\% | 60\% | 55\% | 50\% |  |


| ABBR. | COUNTRIES | INSTITUTES | ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ <br> INTERVIEWS | FIELDWORK DATES |  | POPULATION 15+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BE | Belgium | TNS Dimarso | 1.000 | 27/04/2013 | 13/05/2013 | 8.939 .546 |
| BG | Bulgaria | TNS BBSS | 1.018 | 26/04/2013 | 06/05/2013 | 6.537 .510 |
| CZ | Czech Rep. | TNS Aisa | 1.000 | 27/04/2013 | 09/05/2013 | 9.012 .443 |
| DK | Denmark | TNS Gallup DK | 1.004 | 26/04/2013 | 13/05/2013 | 4.561 .264 |
| DE | Germany | TNS Infratest | 1.499 | 26/04/2013 | 12/05/2013 | 64.336 .389 |
| EE | Estonia | Emor | 1.003 | 26/04/2013 | 12/05/2013 | 945.733 |
| IE | Ireland | IMS Millward Brown | 1.002 | 27/04/2013 | 12/05/2013 | 3.522 .000 |
| EL | Greece | TNS ICAP | 1.000 | 26/04/2013 | 11/05/2013 | 8.693 .566 |
| ES | Spain | TNS Demoscopia | 1.003 | 27/04/2013 | 12/05/2013 | 39.127 .930 |
| FR | France | TNS Sofres | 1.027 | 26/04/2013 | 13/05/2013 | 47.756 .439 |
| IT | Italy | TNS Italia | 1.016 | 28/04/2013 | 10/05/2013 | 51.862 .391 |
| CY | Rep. of Cyprus | Synovate | 505 | 26/04/2013 | 12/05/2013 | 660.400 |
| LV | Latvia | TNS Latvia | 1.006 | 26/04/2013 | 13/05/2013 | 1.447.866 |
| LT | Lithuania | TNS LT | 1.027 | 27/04/2013 | 12/05/2013 | 2.829 .740 |
| LU | Luxembourg | TNS ILReS | 505 | 26/04/2013 | 14/05/2013 | 434.878 |
| HU | Hungary | TNS Hoffmann Kft | 1.033 | 27/04/2013 | 12/05/2013 | 8.320 .614 |
| MT | Malta | MISCO | 500 | 26/04/2013 | 11/05/2013 | 335.476 |
| NL | Netherlands | TNS NIPO | 1.019 | 27/04/2013 | 13/05/2013 | 13.371.980 |
| AT | Austria | Österreichisches Gallup-Institut | 1.022 | 27/04/2013 | 12/05/2013 | 7.009.827 |
| PL | Poland | TNS OBOP | 1.000 | 27/04/2013 | 13/05/2013 | 32.413 .735 |
| PT | Portugal | TNS EUROTESTE | 1.015 | 02/05/2013 | 14/05/2013 | 8.080 .915 |
| RO | Romania | TNS CSOP | 1.027 | 27/04/2013 | 11/05/2013 | 18.246 .731 |
| SI | Slovenia | RM PLUS | 1.017 | 27/04/2013 | 12/05/2013 | 1.759 .701 |
| SK | Slovakia | TNS Slovakia | 1.000 | 29/04/2013 | 12/05/2013 | 4.549 .955 |
| FI | Finland | TNS Gallup Oy | 1.003 | 26/04/2013 | 14/05/2013 | 4.440 .004 |
| SE | Sweden | TNS GALLUP | 1.006 | 27/04/2013 | 12/05/2013 | 7.791 .240 |
| UK | United Kingdom | TNS UK | 1.306 | 27/04/2013 | 14/05/2013 | 51.848 .010 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { EU27 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 26.563 | 26/04/2013 | 14/05/2013 | 408.836.283 |
| HR | Croatia | Puls | 1.000 | 27/04/2013 | 12/05/2013 | 3.749 .400 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { EU28 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 27.563 | 26/04/2013 | 14/05/2013 | 412.585.683 |

QUESTI ONNAI RE

## D. Responsible research and innovation, science and technology

## ASK ALL

READ OUT: We will now ask you some questions about science, technology and innovation. In this questionnaire, 'science and technology' means the natural sciences, like physics, chemistry, biology and their application in technology and engineering, for instance computer technology, biotechnology and medical applications. 'Innovation' means the development of new products and services that are based on science and technology.
QD1 How informed do you feel about developments in science andtechnology?
(READ OUT - ONE ANSWER ONLY)
Very well informed 1
Fairly well informed 2
Not very well informed 3
Not at all informed 4
DK 5
NEW
QD2 How interested are you in developments in science and technology?
(READ OUT - ONE ANSWER ONLY)
Very interested 1
Fairly interested 2
Not very interested 3
Not at all interested 4
DK 5
NEW

QD3a Does or did any of your family have a job or a university qualification in science or technology?
(READ OUT - MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)
Yes, your father 1,
Yes, your mother 2,
Yes, another member of your family 3,
No, no one in your family 4,
DK 5,
EB73.1 QB30 TREND MODIFIED
QD3b Have you ever studied science or technology: at school, at university or in college or anywhere else?

| (READ OUT - ONE ANSWER ONLY) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yes, at school | 1 |
| Yes, at university or in college | 2 |
| Yes, anywhere else | 3 |
| No | 4 |
| DK | 5 |

QD4 Where do you get information about developments in science and technology?
(SHOW CARD - READ OUT - ROTATE - MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)
Television1,
Newspapers ..... 2,
Magazines ..... 3,
Books ..... 4,
Radio ..... 5,
On websites ..... 6,
On social media or blogs ..... 7,
Other (SPONTANEOUS) ..... 8,
You do not look for information about developments in science and technology (SPONTANEOUS) ..... 9
DK ..... 10
NEW
QD5 Do you think that the overall influence of science and technology on (NATIONALITY) society is positive or negative?
(READ OUT - ONE ANSWER ONLY)
Very positive ..... 1
Fairly positive ..... 2
Fairly negative ..... 3
Very negative ..... 4
DK ..... 5
NEW
QD6 What is the level of involvement citizens should have when it comes to decisions made about science and technology?
(SHOW CARD - READ OUT - ONE ANSWER ONLY)
Citizens do not need to be involved or informed ..... 1
Citizens should only be informed ..... 2
Citizens should be consulted and their opinion should be ..... 3
considered
considered ..... 4
Citizens' opinions should be binding ..... 5
None (SPONTANEOUS) ..... 6
DK ..... 7
NEW (BASED ON EB73.1 QC4)
QD7 Among the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), which are the best qualified to explain the impact of scientific and technological developments on society?(SHOW CARD - READ OUT - MAX. 3 ANSWERS)
Scientists working at a university or government laboratories ..... 1,
Scientists working in private company laboratories ..... 2,
Newspaper journalists ..... 3,
Television journalists ..... 4,
Politicians ..... 5,
Consumer organisations ..... 6,
Environmental protection associations ..... 7,
Industry ..... 8,
The military ..... 9,
Representatives of different religions ..... 10,
Government representatives ..... 11,
Medical doctors ..... 12,
Writers and intellectuals ..... 13,
Other (SPONTANEOUS) ..... 14,
None (SPONTANEOUS) ..... 15
DK ..... 16
EB73.1 QC5

QD8 For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?
(SHOW CARD WITH SCALE - ONE ANSWER PER LINE)

|  | (READ OUT - ROTATE) | Yes, <br> definitely | Yes, <br> some- <br> what | No, not <br> really | No, not <br> at all | DK |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(ASK ONLY TO SPLIT A) <br> Scientists working at a <br> university or <br> government laboratories | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 2(ASK ONLY TO SPLIT B) <br> Scientists working in <br> private company <br> laboratories | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 3 | ASK ONLY TO SPLIT A) <br> Environmental protection <br> associations | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4 | (ASK ONLY TO SPLIT B) <br> Consumer organisations | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 6 | Gournalists <br> Government | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 7 | Industry | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

QD9 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
(SHOW CARD WITH SCALE - ONE ANSWER PER LINE)

|  | (READ OUT - ROTATE) | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Total } \\ \text { ly } \\ \text { agre } \\ \text { e } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Tend } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { agre } \\ \text { e } \end{array}\right\|$ | Neit her agre e disa gree | Tend to disa gree | Total <br> disa <br> gree | DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (ASK ONLY TO SPLIT A) Science and technology make our lives easier, more comfortable and healthier | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 2 | (ASK ONLY TO SPLIT B) Science and technology make our lives healthier | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 3 | We depend too much on science and not enough on faith | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 4 | Science makes our ways of life change too fast | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 5 | Thanks to science and technology, there will be more opportunities for future generations | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 6 | The applications of science and technology can threaten human rights | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | Science and technology could be used by terrorists in the future | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 8 | Scientific and technological developments can have unforeseen side-effects that are harmful to human health and the environment | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 9 | If we attach too much importance to risks that are not yet fully understood, we could miss out on technological progress | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

EB73.1 QC6 TREND MODIFIED \& EB73.1 QC7 (item 8) TREND MODIFIED
Now I'm going to ask you some questions about ethics in science, technology and innovation. For the purpose of this questionnaire, ethics relate to concerns about values such as privacy, the protection of the health and dignity of persons participating in research, as well as the welfare of animals used in research.

QD10 Do you think that science and technology should be allowed to violate fundamental rights and moral principles in order to make a new discovery?
(READ OUT - ONE ANSWER ONLY)
Yes, in all cases
Yes, in certain cases 2
No 3
DK 4
NEW

QD11 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
(SHOW CARD WITH SCALE - ONE ANSWER PER LINE)

|  | (READ OUT - ROTATE) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Total } \\ \text { ly } \\ \text { agre } \\ \text { e } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Tend } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { agre } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | Neit her agre e disa gree | Tend to disa gree | Total ly disa gree | DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | European funding of scientific research outside the EU should be forbidden if that research would be illegal in the EU | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 2 | The EU should actively promote that European ethical principles for conducting scientific research are respected all over the world | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 3 | Respect for ethics and fundamental rights guarantees that scientific research and technological innovations will meet citizens' expectations | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 4 | In order to address ethical risks raised in new technologies like biotechnologies, measures should be taken at the European level | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 5 | All researchers should receive mandatory training on scientific research ethics (e.g. on privacy, animal welfare, etc.) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 6 | Like medical doctors, all young scientists should take an oath to respect ethical principles and relevant legislation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | Scientific experts should be obliged to openly declare possible conflicts of interest, such as their sources of funding, when they are advising public authorities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

QD12 In your opinion, is the (NATIONALITY) Government doing too much, enough or too little to stimulate young people's interest in science?
(ONE ANSWER ONLY)
Too much
Too little
3
DK 4
EB73.1 QC14

QD13 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about young people's interest in science. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
(SHOW CARD WITH SCALE - ONE ANSWER PER LINE)

|  | (READ OUT) | Total ly agre e | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Tend } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { agre } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{array}\right\|$ | Neit her agre e nor disa gree | Tend to disa gree | Total ly disa gree | DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Young people interested in science have better chances of getting a job | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 2 | By being interested in science, young people also improve their culture | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 3 | Science prepares the younger generation to act as well-informed citizens | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

QD14 How important do you think scientific education is in stimulating young people's creative thinking?
(READ OUT - ONE ANSWER ONLY)
Very important 1
Fairly important 2
Not very important 3
Not at all important 4
DK 5
NEW
QD15 How important do you think it is that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs?
(READ OUT - ONE ANSWER ONLY)
Very important 1
Fairly important 2
Not very important 3
Not all important 4
DK 5
NEW
ASK QD16 IF "IMPORTANT THAT SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH TAKES EQUALLY INTO ACCOUNT WOMEN'S AND MEN'S NEEDS", CODE 1 OR 2 IN QD15 - OTHERS GO TO QD17

QD16 Why do you think it is important that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs?
(READ OUT - ROTATE - MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)
To improve the quality of scientific research 1,
To make technological innovations better suited to both women 2,
and men
To foster more innovations in science and technology 3,
To respect gender equality in general 4,
Other (SPONTANEOUS) 5,
None (SPONTANEOUS) 6
DK 7
NEW

## ASK ALL

QD17 Do you think that the results of publicly funded research should be made available online free of charge?
(READ OUT - MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)
Yes, to the general public 1,
Yes, to other researchers 2,
Yes, to industries 3,
No 4
DK 5
NEW

TABLES

QD1 Dans quelle mesure vous sentez-vous informé(e) au sujet des développements en sciences et technologies ?
QD1 How informed do you feel about developments in science and technology?
QD1 Wie gut fühlen Sie sich über Entwicklungen in Wissenschaft und Technologie informiert?


QD2 Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous intéressé(e) par les développements en sciences et technologies ?
QD2 How interested are you in developments in science and technology?
QD2 Wie interessiert sind Sie an Entwicklungen in Wissenschaft und Technologie?

| \% |  | Très intéressé(e) <br> Very interested <br> Sehr interessiert | Plutôt intéressé(e) <br> Fairly interested <br> Ziemlich interessiert | Pas très intéressé(e) <br> Not very interested <br> Nicht besonders interessiert | Pas du tout intéressé(e) <br> Not at all interested <br> Gar nicht interessiert | NSP DK WN | Total 'Intéressé' <br> Total 'Interested' <br> Gesamt 'Interessiert' | Total 'Pas intéressé' <br> Total 'Not interested' <br> Gesamt 'Nicht interessiert' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EB} \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | EU 27 | 13 | 40 | 31 | 15 | 1 | 53 | 46 |
| (1) | BE | 15 | 42 | 27 | 16 | 0 | 57 | 43 |
| ) | BG | 6 | 29 | 40 | 22 | 3 | 35 | 62 |
| $\theta$ | CZ | 5 | 29 | 40 | 26 | 0 | 34 | 66 |
| (1) | DK | 22 | 46 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 68 | 32 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 14 | 40 | 33 | 12 | 1 | 54 | 45 |
| O | EE | 11 | 45 | 33 | 11 | 0 | 56 | 44 |
| ( | IE | 18 | 38 | 25 | 18 | 1 | 56 | 43 |
| \% | EL | 13 | 47 | 31 | 9 | 0 | 60 | 40 |
| ) | ES | 12 | 40 | 33 | 15 | 0 | 52 | 48 |
| (1) | FR | 16 | 46 | 25 | 13 | 0 | 62 | 38 |
| (1) | IT | 11 | 39 | 32 | 17 | 1 | 50 | 49 |
| (\%) | CY | 18 | 46 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 64 | 36 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 10 | 42 | 37 | 11 | 0 | 52 | 48 |
|  | LT | 8 | 39 | 37 | 16 | 0 | 47 | 53 |
|  | LU | 16 | 53 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 69 | 31 |
| $\bigcirc$ | HU | 7 | 32 | 37 | 24 | 0 | 39 | 61 |
| - | MT | 14 | 38 | 23 | 24 | 1 | 52 | 47 |
|  | NL | 18 | 50 | 25 | 7 | 0 | 68 | 32 |
| $\bigcirc$ | AT | 10 | 35 | 41 | 14 | 0 | 45 | 55 |
| - | PL | 5 | 41 | 35 | 15 | 4 | 46 | 50 |
| (1) | PT | 5 | 39 | 31 | 24 | 1 | 44 | 55 |
| D | RO | 7 | 30 | 37 | 24 | 2 | 37 | 61 |
| 0 | SI | 12 | 41 | 34 | 13 | 0 | 53 | 47 |
| (3) | SK | 6 | 38 | 34 | 22 | 0 | 44 | 56 |
| $\theta$ | FI | 17 | 44 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 61 | 39 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SE | 26 | 51 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 77 | 23 |
| - | UK | 22 | 42 | 23 | 13 | 0 | 64 | 36 |
| (3) | HR | 13 | 37 | 31 | 18 | 1 | 50 | 49 |

QD2T - Intérêt pour et information au sujet des développements en sciences et technologies
QD2T - Interest for and information about developments in sciences and technology
QD2T - Intérêt pour et information au sujet des développements en sciences et technologies


QD3a Une personne de votre famille a-t-elle ou avait-elle un emploi ou une formation universitaire en sciences ou technologies ? (PLUSIEURS REPONSES POSSIBLES)

QD3a Does or did any of your family have a job or a university qualification in science or technology? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

QD3a Hat oder hatte jemand in Ihrer Familie einen Beruf oder einen Universitätsabschluss im Bereich Wissenschaft oder Technologie? (MEHRFACHNENNUNGEN MÖGLICH)

|  | \% | Oui, vot <br> Yes, you <br> Ja, mei | père <br> father <br> Vater | Oui, votre mère <br> Yes, your mother <br> Ja, meine Mutter |  | Oui, un autre membre de votre famille <br> Yes, another member of your family <br> Ja, ein anderes Familienmitglied |  | Non, aucun membre de votre famille <br> No, no one in your family <br> Nein, niemand in meiner Familie |  | NSP <br> DK <br> WN |  | Total 'Oui' <br> Total 'Yes' <br> Gesamt 'Ja' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | EU 27 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 76 | -2 | 1 | -1 | 23 | 3 |
| (1) | BE | 4 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 19 | 2 | 78 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 22 | 1 |
|  | BG | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 84 | -1 | 1 | -2 | 16 | 4 |
|  | CZ | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 85 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 |
| $\theta$ | DK | 6 | 3 | 1 | -2 | 31 | 5 | 66 | -4 | 1 | 1 | 34 | 4 |
|  | DE | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 74 | -1 | 0 | -2 | 25 | 2 |
| $\bigcirc$ | EE | 4 | -1 | 2 | -2 | 18 | -2 | 77 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 22 | -4 |
| ( | IE | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 25 | 3 | 71 | 0 | 1 | -3 | 28 | 3 |
| ) | EL | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 22 | 10 | 74 | -11 | 0 | -1 | 25 | 11 |
|  | ES | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 5 | 74 | -5 | 0 | -1 | 25 | 5 |
| $($ | FR | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 72 | -4 | 0 | -1 | 28 | 4 |
| (1) | IT | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 84 | 2 | 1 | -3 | 15 | 1 |
| ) | CY | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 8 | 68 | -8 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 9 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 4 | 2 | 3 | -1 | 12 | 0 | 81 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
|  | LT | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 21 | 10 | 72 | -11 | 2 | -1 | 27 | 13 |
|  | LU | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 32 | 4 | 65 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 34 | 3 |
|  | HU | 2 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | -6 | 90 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 9 | -6 |
|  | MT | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 18 | -2 | 81 | 6 | 0 | -3 | 19 | -3 |
|  | NL | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 75 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3 |
|  | AT | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 82 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 1 |
|  | PL | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 75 | -6 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 7 |
| © | PT | 2 | 1 | 1 | -2 | 14 | 0 | 82 | 3 | 2 | -2 | 16 | -1 |
| (1) | RO | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | -4 | 86 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 10 | -4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 3 | 1 | 2 | -1 | 13 | -3 | 83 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 16 | -3 |
| (1) | SK | 3 | 1 | 1 | -2 | 15 | -1 | 81 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 18 | -3 |
| 3 | FI | 8 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 26 | 6 | 66 | -9 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 10 |
| - | SE | 12 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 4 | 55 | -7 | 1 | 0 | 44 | 7 |
| av | UK | 6 | 2 | 2 | -1 | 23 | 2 | 71 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 2 |
| 8 | HR | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 81 | -6 | 0 | -1 | 18 | 6 |

QD3b Avez-vous étudié les sciences ou technologies: à l'école, à I'université ou dans l'enseignement supérieur ou ailleurs?

QD3b Have you ever studied science or technology: at school, at university or in college or anywhere else?

QD3b Haben Sie jemals Wissenschaft oder Technologie als Schulfach gehabt oder an einer Fachhochschule, einer Universität oder irgendwo anders studiert?


QD4 Où trouvez-vous des informations sur les développements en sciences et technologies ? (ROTATION - PLUSIEURS REPONSES POSSIBLES)

QD4 Where do you get information about developments in science and technology? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

QD4 Woher erhalten Sie Informationen über Entwicklungen in Wissenschaft und Technologie? (ROTIEREN MEHRFACHNENNUNGEN MÖGLICH)


QD4 Où trouvez-vous des informations sur les développements en sciences et technologies ? (ROTATION - PLUSIEURS REPONSES POSSIBLES)

QD4 Where do you get information about developments in science and technology? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

QD4 Woher erhalten Sie Informationen über Entwicklungen in Wissenschaft und Technologie? (ROTIEREN MEHRFACHNENNUNGEN MÖGLICH)


QD5 Pensez-vous que l'influence générale des sciences et technologies sur la société (NATIONALITE) est positive ou négative?
QD5 Do you think that the overall influence of science and technology on (NATIONALITY) society is positive or negative? QD5 Sind Sie der Meinung, dass der Einfluss von Wissenschaft und Technologie auf die (NATIONALE) Gesellschaft insgesamt positiv oder negativ ist?

|  |  | Très positive <br> Very positive <br> Sehr positiv | Plutôt positive <br> Fairly positive <br> Ziemlich positiv | Plutôt négative <br> Fairly negative <br> Ziemlich negativ | Très négative <br> Very negative <br> Sehr negativ | NSP DK WN | Total 'Positive' <br> Total 'Positive' <br> Gesamt 'Positiv' | Total 'Négative' <br> Total 'Negative' <br> Gesamt 'Negativ' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | EU 27 | 17 | 60 | 9 | 1 | 13 | 77 | 10 |
| (1) | BE | 14 | 70 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 84 | 11 |
| ) | BG | 19 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 76 | 5 |
| ) | CZ | 12 | 63 | 14 | 2 | 9 | 75 | 16 |
|  | DK | 24 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 86 | 4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 15 | 61 | 7 | 1 | 16 | 76 | 8 |
| $\bigcirc$ | EE | 24 | 67 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 91 | 2 |
| 0 | IE | 34 | 45 | 7 | 1 | 13 | 79 | 8 |
| $\underline{8}$ | EL | 23 | 66 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 89 | 8 |
|  | ES | 22 | 57 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 79 | 10 |
| 0 | FR | 7 | 67 | 11 | 1 | 14 | 74 | 12 |
| $0$ | IT | 15 | 56 | 12 | 3 | 14 | 71 | 15 |
| \% | CY | 30 | 57 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 87 | 8 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 14 | 60 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 74 | 13 |
|  | LT | 34 | 56 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 90 | 4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LU | 10 | 71 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 81 | 4 |
| ) | HU | 12 | 61 | 10 | 3 | 14 | 73 | 13 |
| D | MT | 20 | 51 | 5 | 0 | 24 | 71 | 5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | NL | 28 | 60 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 88 | 5 |
| 3 | AT | 13 | 65 | 12 | 1 | 9 | 78 | 13 |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 12 | 67 | 7 | 1 | 13 | 79 | 8 |
| © | PT | 9 | 60 | 7 | 1 | 23 | 69 | 8 |
| 0 | RO | 16 | 52 | 7 | 2 | 23 | 68 | 9 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 14 | 61 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 75 | 12 |
| (3) | SK | 11 | 68 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 79 | 10 |
| 5 | FI | 23 | 65 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 88 | 6 |
| $\cdots$ | SE | 40 | 54 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 94 | 4 |
| 而 | UK | 23 | 53 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 76 | 12 |
| *) | HR | 15 | 59 | 12 | 4 | 10 | 74 | 16 |

QD6 Quel devrait être le niveau d'implication des citoyens au sujet des décisions prises à propos des sciences et technologies ?

QD6 What is the level of involvement citizens should have when it comes to decisions made about science and technology?
QD6 In welchem Ausmaß sollten Bürger an Entscheidungen in Bezug auf Wissenschaft und Technologie beteiligt werden?

|  |  | Les citoyens n'ont pas besoin d'être impliqués ni tenus informés <br> Citizens do not need to be involved or informed <br> Bürger müssen nicht beteiligt oder informiert werden | Les citoyens devraient uniquement être informés <br> Citizens should only be informed <br> Bürger sollten nur informiert werden | Les citoyens devraient être consultés et leur opinion devrait être prise en compte <br> Citizens should be consulted and their opinion should be considered <br> Bürger sollten hinzugezogen und ihre Meinung berücksichtigt werden | Les citoyens devraient participer et avoir un rôle actif <br> Citizens should participate and have an active role <br> Bürger sollten teilnehmen und eine aktive Rolle spielen | L'opinion des citoyens devrait être contraignante <br> Citizens' opinions should be binding <br> Die Meinungen der Bürger sollten bindend sein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | U 27 | 6 | 31 | 39 | 12 | 4 |
|  | BE | 9 | 39 | 38 | 8 | 3 |
|  | BG | 4 | 29 | 33 | 14 | 3 |
|  | CZ | 7 | 41 | 30 | 11 | 5 |
| 0 | DK | 4 | 20 | 51 | 18 | 3 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 4 | 24 | 48 | 15 | 3 |
| $\bigcirc$ | EE | 6 | 32 | 36 | 11 | 8 |
| 0 | IE | 10 | 26 | 33 | 14 | 3 |
| \% | EL | 4 | 33 | 29 | 23 | 7 |
|  | ES | 7 | 31 | 32 | 13 | 8 |
|  | FR | 4 | 32 | 43 | 13 | 2 |
| 0 | IT | 8 | 37 | 34 | 9 | 4 |
| F) | CY | 2 | 35 | 27 | 26 | 4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 7 | 43 | 29 | 9 | 7 |
|  | LT | 5 | 37 | 28 | 12 | 7 |
|  | LU | 2 | 31 | 47 | 12 | 4 |
|  | HU | 6 | 40 | 36 | 6 | 2 |
| $\cdots$ | MT | 6 | 14 | 47 | 16 | 2 |
| 5 | NL | 8 | 38 | 35 | 10 | 2 |
| ) | AT | 7 | 31 | 34 | 14 | 7 |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 5 | 35 | 34 | 10 | 4 |
| © | PT | 4 | 29 | 32 | 16 | 3 |
| (1) | RO | 8 | 32 | 29 | 11 | 3 |
| $\because$ | SI | 10 | 44 | 24 | 13 | 3 |
| $\oplus$ | SK | 7 | 44 | 28 | 12 | 3 |
| 3 | FI | 3 | 33 | 43 | 15 | 4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SE | 3 | 26 | 48 | 20 | 1 |
| 而 | UK | 6 | 22 | 49 | 12 | 3 |
| *) | HR | 7 | 30 | 29 | 20 | 8 |

QD6 Quel devrait être le niveau d'implication des citoyens au sujet des décisions prises à propos des sciences et technologies ?

QD6 What is the level of involvement citizens should have when it comes to decisions made about science and technology?

QD6 In welchem Ausmaß sollten Bürger an Entscheidungen in Bezug auf Wissenschaft und Technologie beteiligt werden?


QD7 Parmi les catégories de personnes et d'organisations suivantes travaillant en (NOTRE PAYS), quelles sont celles qui sont les mieux qualifiées pour expliquer l'impact des développements scientifiques et technologiques sur la société ? (MAX. 3 REPONSES)

QD7 Among the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), which are the best qualified to explain the impact of scientific and technological developments on society? (MAX. 3 ANSWERS)

QD7 Bitte nennen Sie von der folgenden Liste die Personen bzw. Organisationen, die in (UNSEREM LAND) arbeiten, die am besten geeignet sind, die Auswirkungen von wissenschaftlichen und technologischen Entwicklungen auf die Gesellschaft zu erklären. (MAX. 3 ANTWORTEN)

| \% |  | Les scientitiques qui travaillent à <br> I'université ou dans des laboratoires publics <br> Scientists working at a university or government laboratories <br> Wissenschaftler, die in universitären oder staatlichen Laboren arbeiten |  | Les scientifiques qui travaillent dans des laboratoires privés <br> Scientists working in private company laboratories <br> Wissenschaftler, die in Laboren privater Unternehmen arbeiten |  | Les journalistes de la presse écrite <br> Newspaper journalists <br> Zeitungsjournalisten |  | Les journalistes de télévision <br> Television journalists <br> Fernsehjournalisten |  | Les hommes ou femmes politiques <br> Politicians <br> Politiker |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | EU 27 | 66 | 3 | 35 | 3 | 15 | -1 | 20 | 0 | 4 | -2 |
| (1) | BE | 68 | 0 | 33 | -3 | 17 | -3 | 22 | 4 | 11 | 3 |
|  | BG | 79 | 1 | 41 | -8 | 10 | 1 | 20 | 3 | 2 | -4 |
|  | CZ | 79 | -6 | 45 | 12 | 8 | -4 | 11 | -2 | 3 | -3 |
| $0$ | DK | 72 | 14 | 35 | 10 | 16 | -3 | 20 | -11 | 8 | -9 |
|  | DE | 60 | 3 | 23 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 26 | 1 | 5 | -2 |
| $\bigcirc$ | EE | 80 | 8 | 45 | -1 | 12 | -1 | 12 | -5 | 4 | -2 |
|  | IE | 68 | -2 | 39 | -5 | 18 | 1 | 13 | -5 | 3 | -4 |
| ) | EL | 82 | 1 | 47 | 7 | 7 | -2 | 12 | -2 | 2 | -6 |
|  | ES | 80 | 12 | 48 | 14 | 6 | -5 | 11 | -3 | 2 | -8 |
| (1) | FR | 59 | -6 | 37 | -2 | 19 | 2 | 20 | 2 | 4 | -1 |
| (1) | IT | 61 | -5 | 38 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 4 | -3 |
| (\%) | CY | 92 | 6 | 57 | 18 | 7 | -1 | 17 | -2 | 4 | 0 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 65 | 7 | 31 | -4 | 19 | -5 | 24 | -12 | 6 | 1 |
|  | LT | 70 | -4 | 39 | -10 | 13 | -5 | 21 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
|  | LU | 68 | 4 | 31 | -6 | 25 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 7 | -5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | HU | 55 | -6 | 19 | -14 | 18 | 4 | 36 | 3 | 5 | -2 |
| $\bigcirc$ | MT | 57 | -6 | 30 | -9 | 9 | -5 | 11 | -6 | 10 | 1 |
| 3 | NL | 66 | -7 | 23 | 0 | 33 | 4 | 21 | 7 | 5 | -2 |
| ) | AT | 64 | 10 | 34 | 9 | 19 | -2 | 27 | 7 | 5 | -1 |
| ) | PL | 59 | 6 | 31 | 0 | 14 | -5 | 29 | 4 | 3 | -1 |
| ( | PT | 54 | 1 | 33 | 4 | 12 | -5 | 24 | 0 | 5 | -1 |
| 0 | RO | 58 | 4 | 32 | -7 | 14 | -8 | 25 | -13 | 4 | -2 |
| $\because$ | SI | 64 | -4 | 37 | -4 | 16 | -4 | 20 | -5 | 2 | -3 |
| (3) | SK | 75 | 4 | 43 | -13 | 16 | 3 | 16 | 7 | 5 | -2 |
| $\square$ | FI | 62 | -4 | 31 | -7 | 23 | -3 | 20 | -3 | 7 | 0 |
| $\theta$ | SE | 81 | 5 | 36 | 3 | 17 | -4 | 14 | -3 | 8 | 0 |
| ED | UK | 74 | 12 | 36 | 6 | 10 | -4 | 14 | -2 | 3 | 0 |
|  | HR | 76 | -3 | 36 | -10 | 12 | 1 | 20 | 8 | 4 | -1 |

QD7 Parmi les catégories de personnes et d'organisations suivantes travaillant en (NOTRE PAYS), quelles sont celles qui sont les mieux qualifiées pour expliquer l'impact des développements scientifiques et technologiques sur la société ? (MAX. 3 REPONSES)

QD7 Among the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), which are the best qualified to explain the impact of scientific and technological developments on society? (MAX. 3 ANSWERS)

QD7 Bitte nennen Sie von der folgenden Liste die Personen bzw. Organisationen, die in (UNSEREM LAND) arbeiten, die am besten geeignet sind, die Auswirkungen von wissenschaftlichen und technologischen Entwicklungen auf die Gesellschaft zu erklären. (MAX. 3 ANTWORTEN)


QD7 Parmi les catégories de personnes et d'organisations suivantes travaillant en (NOTRE PAYS), quelles sont celles qui sont les mieux qualifiées pour expliquer l'impact des développements scientifiques et technologiques sur la société ? (MAX. 3 REPONSES)

QD7 Among the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), which are the best qualified to explain the impact of scientific and technological developments on society? (MAX. 3 ANSWERS)

QD7 Bitte nennen Sie von der folgenden Liste die Personen bzw. Organisationen, die in (UNSEREM LAND) arbeiten, die am besten geeignet sind, die Auswirkungen von wissenschaftlichen und technologischen Entwicklungen auf die Gesellschaft zu erklären. (MAX. 3 ANTWORTEN)


QD8.1 Pour chacune des catégories de personnes et organisations suivantes travaillant en (NOTRE PAYS), pensez-vous qu'elles essaient de se comporter de manière responsable envers la société, en faisant attention aux impacts de leurs activités liées aux sciences et technologies ?
Les scientifiques qui travaillent à I'université ou dans des laboratoires publics
QD8.1 For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Scientists working at a university or government laboratories
QD8.1 Bitte sagen Sie mir für jede der folgenden Gruppen von Personen bzw. Organisationen, die in (UNSEREM LAND) arbeiten, ob diese Ihrer Meinung nach versuchen, sich gegenüber der Gesellschaft verantwortungsvoll zu verhalten, indem sie darauf achten, welche Auswirkungen ihre wissenschaftlichen und technologischen Aktivitäten haben.
Wissenschaftler, die in universitären oder staatlichen Laboren arbeiten

|  |  | Oui, tout à fait <br> Yes, definitely <br> Ja, sicher | Oui, plutôt <br> Yes, somewhat <br> Ja, teilweise | Non, plutôt pas <br> No, not really <br> Nein, nicht wirklich | Non, pas du tout <br> No, not at all <br> Nein, sicher nicht | NSP DK WN | Total 'Oui' <br> Total 'Yes' <br> Gesamt 'Ja' | Total 'Non' <br> Total 'No' <br> Gesamt 'Nein' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\ldots$ | EU 27 | 35 | 47 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 82 | 11 |
| ( | BE | 34 | 54 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 88 | 10 |
|  | BG | 45 | 37 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 82 | 8 |
|  | CZ | 48 | 38 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 86 | 9 |
| 5 | DK | 40 | 51 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 91 | 4 |
| ) | DE | 35 | 52 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 87 | 10 |
| - | EE | 38 | 45 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 83 | 8 |
| (1) | IE | 39 | 42 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 81 | 9 |
| 8 | EL | 42 | 42 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 84 | 13 |
| 3 | ES | 50 | 34 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 84 | 7 |
| ( | FR | 23 | 58 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 81 | 12 |
| (1) | IT | 31 | 41 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 72 | 21 |
| (\%) | CY | 43 | 42 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 85 | 9 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 25 | 48 | 15 | 2 | 10 | 73 | 17 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LT | 38 | 41 | 6 | 1 | 14 | 79 | 7 |
|  | LU | 27 | 57 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 84 | 9 |
| ) | HU | 39 | 43 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 82 | 13 |
| $\cdots$ | MT | 31 | 40 | 6 | 1 | 22 | 71 | 7 |
|  | NL | 38 | 49 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 87 | 8 |
|  | AT | 36 | 50 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 86 | 12 |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 21 | 57 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 78 | 12 |
| © | PT | 26 | 49 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 75 | 12 |
| (1) | RO | 37 | 38 | 10 | 2 | 13 | 75 | 12 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 31 | 48 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 79 | 17 |
| (1) | SK | 31 | 53 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 84 | 10 |
| 5 | FI | 39 | 52 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 91 | 5 |
| (1) | SE | 47 | 44 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 91 | 6 |
| 年 | UK | 42 | 44 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 86 | 7 |
| (8) | HR | 22 | 53 | 15 | 3 | 7 | 75 | 18 |

QD8.2 Pour chacune des catégories de personnes et organisations suivantes travaillant en (NOTRE PAYS), pensez-vous qu'elles essaient de se comporter de manière responsable envers la société, en faisant attention aux impacts de leurs activités liées aux sciences et technologies ?
Les scientifiques qui travaillent dans des laboratoires privés
QD8.2 For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Scientists working in private company laboratories
QD8.2 Bitte sagen Sie mir für jede der folgenden Gruppen von Personen bzw. Organisationen, die in (UNSEREM LAND)
arbeiten, ob diese Ihrer Meinung nach versuchen, sich gegenüber der Gesellschaft verantwortungsvoll zu verhalten, indem sie darauf achten, welche Auswirkungen ihre wissenschaftlichen und technologischen Aktivitäten haben.
Wissenschaftler, die in Laboren privater Unternehmen arbeiten

|  |  | Oui, tout à fait <br> Yes, definitely <br> Ja, sicher | Oui, plutôt <br> Yes, somewhat <br> Ja, teilweise | Non, plutôt pas <br> No, not really <br> Nein, nicht wirklich | Non, pas du tout <br> No, not at all <br> Nein, sicher nicht | NSP <br> DK <br> WN | Total 'Oui' <br> Total 'Yes' <br> Gesamt 'Ja' | Total 'Non' Total 'No' <br> Gesamt 'Nein' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | U 27 | 21 | 45 | 19 | 5 | 10 |  |  |
|  | BE | 17 | 53 | 24 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
|  | B |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 11 |
|  | BG | 31 | 39 | 10 | 1 | 19 | 70 | 11 |
| $\bigcirc$ | CZ | 30 | 46 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 76 | 16 |
| $\theta$ | DK | 16 | 64 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 80 | 15 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 16 | 47 | 23 | 5 | 9 | 63 | 28 |
| $\bigcirc$ | EE | 28 | 46 | 12 | 2 | 12 | 74 | 14 |
| ( | IE | 35 | 39 | 12 | 4 | 10 | 74 | 16 |
| 8 | EL | 24 | 47 | 19 | 6 | 4 | 71 | 25 |
| 5 | ES | 29 | 37 | 14 | 8 | 12 | 66 | 22 |
| (1) | FR | 10 | 51 | 22 | 8 | 9 | 61 | 30 |
| (1) | IT | 23 | 42 | 21 | 5 | 9 | 65 | 26 |
| ( 5 | CY | 35 | 48 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 83 | 10 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 15 | 45 | 25 | 4 | 11 | 60 | 29 |
| ) | LT | 26 | 46 | 13 | 3 | 12 | 72 | 16 |
| ) | LU | 17 | 44 | 24 | 2 | 13 | 61 | 26 |
| $\bigcirc$ | HU | 25 | 47 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 72 | 17 |
| $0$ | MT | 23 | 48 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 71 | 7 |
| $\bigcirc$ | NL | 20 | 46 | 24 | 4 | 6 | 66 | 28 |
| , | AT | 25 | 48 | 20 | 5 | 2 | 73 | 25 |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 14 | 50 | 17 | 3 | 16 | 64 | 20 |
| (3) | PT | 21 | 46 | 11 | 2 | 20 | 67 | 13 |
| 0 | RO | 31 | 39 | 14 | 2 | 14 | 70 | 16 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 22 | 51 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 73 | 21 |
| $\cdots$ | SK | 26 | 49 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 75 | 20 |
| 0 | FI | 19 | 57 | 14 | 4 | 6 | 76 | 18 |
| 0 | SE | 25 | 58 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 83 | 14 |
| 奇号 | UK | 22 | 42 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 64 | 22 |
| $\otimes$ | HR | 15 | 49 | 23 | 6 | 7 | 64 | 29 |

QD8.3 Pour chacune des catégories de personnes et organisations suivantes travaillant en (NOTRE PAYS), pensez-vous qu'elles essaient de se comporter de manière responsable envers la société, en faisant attention aux impacts de leurs activités liées aux sciences et technologies ?
Les associations pour la protection de l'environnement
QD8.3 For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Environmental protection associations
QD8.3 Bitte sagen Sie mir für jede der folgenden Gruppen von Personen bzw. Organisationen, die in (UNSEREM LAND)
arbeiten, ob diese Ihrer Meinung nach versuchen, sich gegenüber der Gesellschaft verantwortungsvoll zu verhalten, indem sie darauf achten, welche Auswirkungen ihre wissenschaftlichen und technologischen Aktivitäten haben.
Umweltschutzorganisationen


QD8.4 Pour chacune des catégories de personnes et organisations suivantes travaillant en (NOTRE PAYS), pensez-vous qu'elles essaient de se comporter de manière responsable envers la société, en faisant attention aux impacts de leurs activités liées aux sciences et technologies ? Les organisations de consommateurs

QD8.4 For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Consumer organisations
QD8.4 Bitte sagen Sie mir für jede der folgenden Gruppen von Personen bzw. Organisationen, die in (UNSEREM LAND)
arbeiten, ob diese Ihrer Meinung nach versuchen, sich gegenüber der Gesellschaft verantwortungsvoll zu verhalten, indem sie darauf achten, welche Auswirkungen ihre wissenschaftlichen und technologischen Aktivitäten haben
Verbraucherschutzorganisationen


QD8.5 Pour chacune des catégories de personnes et organisations suivantes travaillant en (NOTRE PAYS), pensez-vous qu'elles essaient de se comporter de manière responsable envers la société, en faisant attention aux impacts de leurs activités liées aux sciences et technologies ?
Les journalistes
QD8.5 For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Journalists
QD8.5 Bitte sagen Sie mir für jede der folgenden Gruppen von Personen bzw. Organisationen, die in (UNSEREM LAND)
arbeiten, ob diese Ihrer Meinung nach versuchen, sich gegenüber der Gesellschaft verantwortungsvoll zu verhalten, indem sie darauf achten, welche Auswirkungen ihre wissenschaftlichen und technologischen Aktivitäten haben
Journalisten


QD8.6 Pour chacune des catégories de personnes et organisations suivantes travaillant en (NOTRE PAYS), pensez-vous qu'elles essaient de se comporter de manière responsable envers la société, en faisant attention aux impacts de leurs activités liées aux sciences et technologies ?
Les représentants du Gouvernement
QD8.6 For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities?

Government representatives
QD8.6 Bitte sagen Sie mir für jede der folgenden Gruppen von Personen bzw. Organisationen, die in (UNSEREM LAND)
arbeiten, ob diese Ihrer Meinung nach versuchen, sich gegenüber der Gesellschaft verantwortungsvoll zu verhalten, indem sie darauf achten, welche Auswirkungen ihre wissenschaftlichen und technologischen Aktivitäten haben.
Regierungsvertreter


QD8.7 Pour chacune des catégories de personnes et organisations suivantes travaillant en (NOTRE PAYS), pensez-vous qu'elles essaient de se comporter de manière responsable envers la société, en faisant attention aux impacts de leurs activités liées aux sciences et technologies ?
L'industrie
QD8.7 For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities? Industry

QD8.7 Bitte sagen Sie mir für jede der folgenden Gruppen von Personen bzw. Organisationen, die in (UNSEREM LAND) arbeiten, ob diese Ihrer Meinung nach versuchen, sich gegenüber der Gesellschaft verantwortungsvoll zu verhalten, indem sie darauf achten, welche Auswirkungen ihre wissenschaftlichen und technologischen Aktivitäten haben.
Die Industrie

|  |  | Oui, tout à fait <br> Yes, definitely <br> Ja, sicher | Oui, plutôt <br> Yes, somewhat <br> Ja, teilweise | Non, plutôt pas <br> No, not really <br> Nein, nicht wirklich | Non, pas du tout <br> No, not at all <br> Nein, sicher nicht | NSP DK WN | Total 'Oui' <br> Total 'Yes' <br> Gesamt 'Ja' | Total 'Non' <br> Total 'No' <br> Gesamt 'Nein' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | EU 27 | 11 | 39 | 31 | 11 | 8 | 50 | 42 |
| (1) | BE | 10 | 41 | 38 | 9 | 2 | 51 | 47 |
| $\bigcirc$ | BG | 15 | 28 | 28 | 11 | 18 | 43 | 39 |
| ( | CZ | 14 | 34 | 33 | 14 | 5 | 48 | 47 |
| 0 | DK | 8 | 52 | 24 | 6 | 10 | 60 | 30 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 8 | 38 | 38 | 13 | 3 | 46 | 51 |
| $\bigcirc$ | EE | 11 | 48 | 26 | 5 | 10 | 59 | 31 |
| ( | IE | 20 | 46 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 66 | 23 |
| 6 | EL | 7 | 24 | 38 | 28 | 3 | 31 | 66 |
| (6) | ES | 12 | 35 | 25 | 18 | 10 | 47 | 43 |
| (1) | FR | 5 | 30 | 40 | 18 | 7 | 35 | 58 |
| (1) | IT | 12 | 28 | 40 | 12 | 8 | 40 | 52 |
| (5) | CY | 8 | 43 | 26 | 17 | 6 | 51 | 43 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 8 | 43 | 33 | 6 | 10 | 51 | 39 |
|  | LT | 14 | 40 | 26 | 8 | 12 | 54 | 34 |
|  | LU | 7 | 34 | 41 | 11 | 7 | 41 | 52 |
|  | HU | 15 | 50 | 23 | 6 | 6 | 65 | 29 |
| - | MT | 17 | 37 | 16 | 4 | 26 | 54 | 20 |
|  | NL | 13 | 46 | 30 | 7 | 4 | 59 | 37 |
|  | AT | 10 | 40 | 34 | 14 | 2 | 50 | 48 |
|  | PL | 10 | 41 | 28 | 6 | 15 | 51 | 34 |
| \% | PT | 10 | 38 | 29 | 6 | 17 | 48 | 35 |
| (1) | RO | 19 | 32 | 26 | 9 | 14 | 51 | 35 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 6 | 39 | 30 | 21 | 4 | 45 | 51 |
| (3) | SK | 12 | 42 | 30 | 11 | 5 | 54 | 41 |
| 5 | FI | 14 | 56 | 20 | 7 | 3 | 70 | 27 |
| 0 | SE | 8 | 61 | 24 | 4 | 3 | 69 | 28 |
| 合 | UK | 18 | 53 | 17 | 4 | 8 | 71 | 21 |
| (8) | HR | 6 | 32 | 41 | 16 | 5 | 38 | 57 |

QD9.1 Je vais maintenant vous lire quelques opinions que certaines personnes ont émises à propos des sciences, des technologies ou de l'environnement. Pour chacune de ces opinions, dites-moi dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou pas d'accord.

Les sciences et technologies rendent nos vies plus faciles, plus confortables et nous font vivre en meilleure santé
QD9.1 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
Science and technology make our lives easier, more comfortable and healthier
QD9.1 Ich lese Ihnen nun einige Aussagen vor, die andere Personen zu Wissenschaft, Technologie oder Umwelt gemacht haben. Sagen Sie mir bitte für jede dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.

Wissenschaft und Technologie machen unser Leben einfacher, bequemer und gesünder

|  |  | Tout à fait d'accord <br> Totally agree <br> Stimme voll und ganz Zu |  | Plutôt d'accord <br> Tend to agree <br> Stimme eher zu |  | Ni d'accord ni pas d'accord <br> Neither agree nor disagree Stimme weder zu noch nicht zu |  | Plutôt pas d'accord <br> Tend to disagree <br> Stimme eher nicht zu |  | Pas du tout d'accord <br> Totally disagree <br> Stimme überhaupt nicht zu |  | NSP <br> DK <br> WN |  | Total 'D'accord' <br> Total 'Agree' <br> Gesamt 'Stimme zu' |  | Total 'Pas d'accord' <br> Total 'Disagree' <br> Total 'Stimme nicht zu' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | EU 27 | 22 | 3 | 44 | -3 | 22 | 2 | 7 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 66 | 0 | 9 | -3 |
|  | BE | 17 | -2 | 51 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 10 | -1 |
|  | BG | 37 | 15 | 40 | -1 | 15 | -6 | 4 | -4 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 77 | 14 | 6 | -6 |
|  | CZ | 22 | 4 | 40 | -5 | 29 | 3 | 6 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 62 | -1 | 8 | -3 |
|  | DK | 22 | -3 | 38 | -7 | 26 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 60 | -10 | 12 | 3 |
|  | DE | 16 | 0 | 38 | -3 | 31 | 3 | 10 | -1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 54 | -3 | 13 | -1 |
|  | EE | 27 | -3 | 42 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 7 | -1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 69 | -3 | 10 | -1 |
|  | IE | 28 | 7 | 44 | -5 | 16 | 2 | 4 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | -3 | 72 | 2 | 5 | -1 |
|  | EL | 22 | 5 | 47 | 1 | 22 | -3 | 7 | -2 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 69 | 6 | 8 | -4 |
|  | ES | 36 | 11 | 43 | -4 | 11 | -6 | 5 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | -1 | 79 | 7 | 8 | 0 |
| (1) | FR | 16 | -6 | 43 | -1 | 23 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 3 | -2 | 3 | 1 | 59 | -7 | 15 | 0 |
| ( | IT | 19 | 9 | 45 | -4 | 24 | -1 | 6 | -6 | 1 | -2 | 5 | 4 | 64 | 5 | 7 | -8 |
|  | CY | 38 | 10 | 36 | -5 | 20 | -5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 74 | 5 | 6 | 1 |
|  | LV | 21 | 3 | 42 | -2 | 22 | 2 | 11 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 1 | 63 | 1 | 13 | -4 |
|  | LT | 25 | -2 | 45 | 4 | 19 | 1 | 7 | -3 | 1 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 70 | 2 | 8 | -4 |
|  | LU | 18 | -7 | 52 | 2 | 19 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | -1 | 70 | -5 | 11 | 5 |
|  | HU | 21 | 0 | 47 | -1 | 22 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 2 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 68 | -1 | 9 | -1 |
|  | MT | 36 | 10 | 42 | -10 | 13 | 5 | 2 | -1 | 0 | -3 | 7 | -1 | 78 | 0 | 2 | -4 |
|  | NL | 22 | 2 | 43 | -2 | 25 | 4 | 8 | -3 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 9 | -4 |
|  | AT | 18 | 3 | 43 | -6 | 25 | 3 | 11 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 61 | -3 | 13 | 0 |
|  | PL | 24 | 2 | 49 | 2 | 20 | 4 | 5 | -5 | 0 | -1 | 2 | -2 | 73 | 4 | 5 | -6 |
|  | PT | 16 | 5 | 49 | -1 | 22 | -5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 65 | 4 | 7 | 0 |
| ( | RO | 28 | 1 | 40 | 3 | 21 | 2 | 4 | -4 | 2 | -2 | 5 | 0 | 68 | 4 | 6 | -6 |
|  | SI | 21 | 7 | 33 | -15 | 29 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 54 | -8 | 15 | 0 |
|  | SK | 19 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 30 | 2 | 7 | -2 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 2 | 8 | -4 |
|  | FI | 22 | 11 | 47 | -9 | 17 | 5 | 13 | -4 | 1 | -2 | 0 | -1 | 69 | 2 | 14 | -6 |
|  | SE | 15 | -4 | 62 | 12 | 15 | -2 | 6 | -4 | 0 | -3 | 2 | 1 | 77 | 8 | 6 | -7 |
| 家 | UK | 28 | 8 | 43 | -13 | 20 | 5 | 5 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 3 | 2 | 71 | -5 | 6 | -2 |
|  | HR | 23 | -7 | 42 | -2 | 22 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 2 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 65 | -9 | 11 | 0 |

QD9.2 Je vais maintenant vous lire quelques opinions que certaines personnes ont émises à propos des sciences, des technologies ou de l'environnement. Pour chacune de ces opinions, dites-moi dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou pas d'accord.

Les sciences et technologies nous font vivre en meilleure santé
QD9.2 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
Science and technology make our lives healthier
QD9.2 Ich lese Ihnen nun einige Aussagen vor, die andere Personen zu Wissenschaft, Technologie oder Umwelt gemacht haben. Sagen Sie mir bitte für jede dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.

Wissenschaft und Technologie machen unser Leben gesünder


QD9.3 Je vais maintenant vous lire quelques opinions que certaines personnes ont émises à propos des sciences, des technologies ou de l'environnement. Pour chacune de ces opinions, dites-moi dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou pas d'accord.

On s'en remet trop à la science et pas assez à la foi
QD9.3 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
We depend too much on science and not enough on faith
QD9.3 Ich lese Ihnen nun einige Aussagen vor, die andere Personen zu Wissenschaft, Technologie oder Umwelt gemacht haben. Sagen Sie mir bitte für jede dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.

Wir verlassen uns zu sehr auf die Wissenschaft und zu wenig auf den Glauben


QD9.4 Je vais maintenant vous lire quelques opinions que certaines personnes ont émises à propos des sciences, des technologies ou de l'environnement. Pour chacune de ces opinions, dites-moi dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou pas d'accord.

La science change trop rapidement nos modes de vie
QD9.4 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
Science makes our ways of life change too fast
QD9.4 Ich lese Ihnen nun einige Aussagen vor, die andere Personen zu Wissenschaft, Technologie oder Umwelt gemacht haben. Sagen Sie mir bitte für jede dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.

Durch die Forschung ändern sich unsere Lebensbedingungen zu schnell


QD9.5 Je vais maintenant vous lire quelques opinions que certaines personnes ont émises à propos des sciences, des technologies ou de l'environnement. Pour chacune de ces opinions, dites-moi dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou pas d'accord.
Grâce aux sciences et technologies, il y aura plus de possibilités pour les générations futures
QD9.5 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
Thanks to science and technology, there will be more opportunities for future generations
QD9.5 Ich lese Ihnen nun einige Aussagen vor, die andere Personen zu Wissenschaft, Technologie oder Umwelt gemacht haben. Sagen Sie mir bitte für jede dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.

Dank Wissenschaft und Technologie wird es für die zukünftigen Generationen mehr Möglichkeiten geben

| \% |  | Tout à fait d'accord <br> Totally agree <br> Stimme voll und ganz zu |  | Plutôt d'accord <br> Tend to agree <br> Stimme eher zu |  | Ni d'accord <br> ni pas d'accord <br> Neither agree nor disagree <br> Stimme weder zu noch nicht zu |  | Plutôt pas d'accord <br> Tend to disagree <br> Stimme eher nicht zu |  | Pas du tout d'accord <br> Totally disagree <br> Stimme überhaupt nicht zu |  | NSP <br> DK <br> WN |  | Total 'D'accord' <br> Total 'Agree' 'Stimme zu' |  | Total 'Pas d'accord' <br> Total 'Disagree' <br> Total 'Stimme nicht zu' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | EU 27 | 31 | 4 | 44 | -4 | 14 | 0 | 5 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | , | 75 | 0 | 7 | -1 |
| (1) | BE | 24 | 0 | 52 | 4 | 15 | -3 | 7 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 76 | 4 | 8 | -2 |
|  | BG | 45 | 11 | 38 | -9 | 11 | 2 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | -3 | 83 | 2 | 2 | -1 |
|  | CZ | 27 | -2 | 42 | -6 | 19 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 69 | -8 | 9 | 3 |
|  | DK | 45 | -1 | 40 | -2 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 85 | -3 | 4 | 1 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 31 | 1 | 49 | -1 | 12 | -1 | 4 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 80 | 0 | 5 | -1 |
| O | EE | 46 | -2 | 41 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 2 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 87 | 0 | 3 | -2 |
| D | IE | 38 | 19 | 43 | -8 | 9 | -5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | -6 | 81 | 11 | 4 | 0 |
|  | EL | 25 | -1 | 50 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 5 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 3 | 2 | 75 | -1 | 6 | -2 |
|  | ES | 34 | 7 | 39 | -6 | 10 | -3 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 5 | -1 | 73 | 1 | 12 | 3 |
| ( | FR | 22 | 4 | 48 | -1 | 15 | 1 | 9 | -2 | 3 | -2 | 3 | 0 | 70 | 3 | 12 | -4 |
| (1) | IT | 23 | 6 | 44 | -5 | 20 | 0 | 6 | -3 | 2 | -1 | 5 | 3 | 67 | 1 | 8 | -4 |
| (2) | CY | 38 | 5 | 31 | -10 | 21 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | -4 | 69 | -5 | 10 | 4 |
| - | LV | 37 | 0 | 45 | -3 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 82 | -3 | 5 | 0 |
|  | LT | 39 | 1 | 42 | -6 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | -2 | 5 | 2 | 81 | -5 | 3 | -1 |
|  | LU | 26 | 10 | 54 | 8 | 11 | -12 | 5 | -3 | 2 | -1 | 2 | -2 | 80 | 18 | 7 | -4 |
|  | HU | 30 | -2 | 46 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 3 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 76 | -2 | 5 | -1 |
|  | MT | 39 | 13 | 42 | -5 | 8 | -2 | 3 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 8 | -2 | 81 | 8 | 3 | -4 |
|  | NL | 50 | 5 | 38 | -1 | 8 | -3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 88 | 4 | 3 | -1 |
|  | AT | 26 | 9 | 52 | -6 | 15 | -1 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | -2 | 78 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 33 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 3 | -1 |  | -1 | 4 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 3 | -2 |
| (3) | PT | 19 | 4 | 49 | -1 | 17 | -4 | 5 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 68 | 3 | 6 | -1 |
| 0 | Ro | 34 | 9 | 33 | -6 | 19 | 2 | 5 | -2 | 1 | -2 | 8 | -1 | 67 | 3 | 6 | -4 |
| 0 | SI | 25 | 3 | 39 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 8 | -1 |  | -1 | 2 | -1 | 64 | 3 | 12 | -2 |
| (1) | SK | 22 | -1 | 46 | -7 | 23 | 6 | 5 | 0 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 68 | -8 | 6 | 1 |
|  | FI | 38 | 9 | 45 | -7 | 9 | 0 | 6 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 83 | 2 | 7 | -2 |
|  | SE | 46 | -4 | 39 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 85 | -4 | 4 | 1 |
|  | UK | 38 | 9 | 40 | -10 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 78 | -1 | 7 | 0 |
| (3) | HR | 30 | -2 | 43 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 4 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | -1 | 73 | -2 | 6 | -1 |

QD9.6 Je vais maintenant vous lire quelques opinions que certaines personnes ont émises à propos des sciences, des technologies ou de l'environnement. Pour chacune de ces opinions, dites-moi dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou pas d'accord.

Les applications en matière de sciences et technologies peuvent menacer les droits de l'homme
QD9.6 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
The applications of science and technology can threaten human rights
QD9.6 Ich lese Ihnen nun einige Aussagen vor, die andere Personen zu Wissenschaft, Technologie oder Umwelt gemacht haben. Sagen Sie mir bitte für jede dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.

Die Anwendung von Wissenschaft und Technologie kann eine Bedrohung für die Menschenrechte darstellen

|  |  | Tout à fait d'accord <br> Totally agree <br> Stimme voll und ganz zu |  | Plutôt d'accord <br> Tend to agree <br> Stimme eher zu |  | Ni d'accord ni pas d'accord <br> Neither agree nor disagree <br> Stimme weder zu noch nicht Zu |  | Plutôt pas d'accord <br> Tend to disagree <br> Stimme eher nicht zu |  | Pas du tout d'accord <br> Totally disagree <br> Stimme überhaupt nicht zu |  | NSP <br> DK <br> WN |  | Total 'D'accord' <br> Total 'Agree' <br> Gesamt 'Stimme zu' |  | Total 'Pas d'accord' <br> Total 'Disagree' <br> Total 'Stimme nicht zu' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\cdots$ | EU 27 | 17 | 3 | 37 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 13 | -3 | 4 | -2 | 6 | 0 | 54 | 4 | 17 | -5 |
| ( | BE | 15 | 4 | 39 | 2 | 26 | -1 | 16 | -1 | 2 | -4 | 2 | 0 | 54 | 6 | 18 | -5 |
|  | BG | 22 | 7 | 33 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 9 | -3 | 3 | -2 | 9 | -7 | 55 | 9 | 12 | -5 |
|  | CZ | 13 | 5 | 32 | 4 | 29 | 2 | 18 | -10 | 4 | -2 | 4 | 1 | 45 | 9 | 22 | -12 |
| 1 | DK | 11 | -3 | 34 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 45 | -2 | 29 | 2 |
|  | DE | 17 | 1 | 32 | -4 | 23 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 5 | -2 | 5 | 3 | 49 | -3 | 23 | -2 |
|  | EE | 14 | 1 | 39 | 6 | 22 | 2 | 14 | -7 | 5 | -3 | 6 | 1 | 53 | 7 | 19 | -10 |
|  | IE | 15 | 8 | 39 | 4 | 20 | -5 | 13 | -1 | 4 | 0 | 9 | -6 | 54 | 12 | 17 | -1 |
| $\underline{8}$ | EL | 18 | -5 | 50 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 68 | -4 | 10 | 1 |
|  | ES | 25 | 10 | 38 | -5 | 15 | -2 | 9 | -2 | 6 | 0 | 7 | -1 | 63 | 5 | 15 | -2 |
|  | FR | 16 | -2 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 16 | -1 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 22 | -1 |
| , | IT | 15 | 6 | 38 | -1 | 29 | 1 | 9 | -7 | 3 | -3 | 6 | 4 | 53 | 5 | 12 | -10 |
|  | CY | 45 | 16 | 32 | -10 | 12 | -3 | 7 | 3 | 1 | -1 | 3 | -5 | 77 | 6 | 8 | 2 |
|  | LV | 16 | 3 | 36 | 5 | 21 | -1 | 16 | -5 | 5 | -3 | 6 | 1 | 52 | 8 | 21 | -8 |
|  | LT | 16 | 3 | 35 | -1 | 25 | 3 | 11 | -7 | 4 | -1 | 9 | 3 | 51 | 2 | 15 | -8 |
|  | LU | 20 | 5 | 46 | 2 | 13 | -5 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | -2 | 66 | 7 | 15 | 0 |
|  | HU | 13 | 3 | 30 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 17 | -6 | 5 | -3 | 7 | 1 | 43 | 8 | 22 | -9 |
|  | MT | 26 | 9 | 33 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 8 | -4 | 1 | -2 | 14 | -8 | 59 | 11 | 9 | -6 |
|  | NL | 19 | 3 | 37 | 5 | 22 | -2 | 14 | -5 | 3 | -2 | 5 | 1 | 56 | 8 | 17 | -7 |
|  | AT | 11 | 0 | 40 | 3 | 27 | 5 | 16 | -8 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 19 | -8 |
|  | PL | 13 | 1 | 45 | 8 | 19 | -5 | 14 | -3 | 2 | -1 | 7 | 0 | 58 | 9 | 16 | -4 |
|  | PT | 14 | 5 | 43 | -3 | 19 | -2 | 9 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 13 | 3 | 57 | 2 | 11 | -3 |
| , | RO | 17 | 4 | 29 | 2 | 25 | -1 | 13 | 3 | 3 | -5 | 13 | -3 | 46 | 6 | 16 | -2 |
|  | SI | 32 | 2 | 38 | -4 | 16 | 3 | 7 | -1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 70 | -2 | 11 | -1 |
|  | SK | 13 | 1 | 40 | 7 | 27 | -2 | 14 | -5 | 1 | -2 | 5 | 1 | 53 | 8 | 15 | -7 |
|  | FI | 15 | 3 | 42 | -9 | 27 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 3 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 57 | -6 | 13 | -1 |
| - | SE | 22 | 4 | 42 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 5 | -3 | 2 | -2 | 64 | 4 | 17 | -2 |
| 合) | UK | 17 | 6 | 34 | 2 | 27 | 3 | 12 | -6 | 5 | -3 | 5 | -2 | 51 | 8 | 17 | -9 |
| 8) | HR | 23 | -5 | 43 | 6 | 19 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 3 | -1 | 3 | -3 | 66 | 1 | 12 | 1 |

QD9.7 Je vais maintenant vous lire quelques opinions que certaines personnes ont émises à propos des sciences, des technologies ou de l'environnement. Pour chacune de ces opinions, dites-moi dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou pas d'accord.

Les sciences et technologies pourraient être utilisées par les terroristes dans le futur
QD9.7 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.

Science and technology could be used by terrorists in the future
QD9.7 Ich lese Ihnen nun einige Aussagen vor, die andere Personen zu Wissenschaft, Technologie oder Umwelt gemacht haben. Sagen Sie mir bitte für jede dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.

Wissenschaft und Technologie könnten in der Zukunft von Terroristen genutzt werden


QD9.8 Je vais maintenant vous lire quelques opinions que certaines personnes ont émises à propos des sciences, des technologies ou de l'environnement. Pour chacune de ces opinions, dites-moi dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou pas d'accord.
Les développements scientifiques et technologiques peuvent avoir des effets imprévus et nuisibles sur la santé et l'environnement

QD9.8 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
Scientific and technological developments can have unforeseen side-effects that are harmful to human health and the environment

QD9.8 Ich lese Ihnen nun einige Aussagen vor, die andere Personen zu Wissenschaft, Technologie oder Umwelt gemacht haben. Sagen Sie mir bitte für jede dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen. Wissenschaftliche und technologische Entwicklungen können unvorhergesehene Nebeneffekte mit negativen Folgen für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt haben

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Tout à fait d'accord \\
Totally agree \\
Stimme voll und ganz zu
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Plutôt d'accord \\
Tend to agree \\
Stimme eher zu
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Ni d'accord \\
ni pas d'accord \\
Neither agree nor disagree Stimme weder zu noch nicht zu
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Plutôt pas d'accord \\
Tend to disagree \\
Stimme eher nicht zu
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Pas du tout d'accord \\
Totally disagree \\
Stimme überhaupt nicht zu
\end{tabular} \& NSP
DK

WN \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Total 'D'accord' <br>
Total 'Agree' <br>
Gesamt 'Stimme zu'

 \& 

Total 'Pas d'accord' <br>
Total 'Disagree' <br>
Total 'Stimme nicht zu'
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline \& \% \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$
\] <br>

\hline \& EU 27 \& 31 \& 43 \& 16 \& 5 \& 1 \& 4 \& 74 \& 6 <br>
\hline ( \& BE \& 29 \& 49 \& 16 \& 4 \& 1 \& 1 \& 78 \& 5 <br>
\hline \& BG \& 31 \& 40 \& 17 \& 2 \& 1 \& 9 \& 71 \& 3 <br>
\hline \& CZ \& 24 \& 40 \& 25 \& 7 \& 1 \& 3 \& 64 \& 8 <br>
\hline 1 \& DK \& 34 \& 46 \& 12 \& 4 \& 2 \& 2 \& 80 \& 6 <br>
\hline $\bigcirc$ \& DE \& 37 \& 43 \& 13 \& 3 \& 1 \& 3 \& 80 \& 4 <br>
\hline \& EE \& 33 \& 49 \& 11 \& 4 \& 1 \& 2 \& 82 \& 5 <br>
\hline 0 \& IE \& 26 \& 45 \& 15 \& 7 \& 1 \& 6 \& 71 \& 8 <br>
\hline $\underline{8}$ \& EL \& 29 \& 45 \& 17 \& 6 \& 1 \& 2 \& 74 \& 7 <br>
\hline \& ES \& 37 \& 39 \& 13 \& 5 \& 2 \& 4 \& 76 \& 7 <br>
\hline ( \& FR \& 40 \& 47 \& 7 \& 3 \& 1 \& 2 \& 87 \& 4 <br>
\hline \& IT \& 21 \& 41 \& 25 \& 7 \& 1 \& 5 \& 62 \& 8 <br>
\hline (e) \& CY \& 60 \& 30 \& 6 \& 2 \& 0 \& 2 \& 90 \& 2 <br>
\hline $\bigcirc$ \& LV \& 39 \& 43 \& 11 \& 4 \& 1 \& 2 \& 82 \& 5 <br>
\hline \& LT \& 30 \& 44 \& 15 \& 4 \& 1 \& 6 \& 74 \& 5 <br>
\hline \& LU \& 38 \& 50 \& 6 \& 4 \& 1 \& 1 \& 88 \& 5 <br>
\hline \& HU \& 20 \& 41 \& 25 \& 8 \& 1 \& 5 \& 61 \& 9 <br>
\hline 0 \& MT \& 31 \& 43 \& 9 \& 2 \& 1 \& 14 \& 74 \& 3 <br>
\hline \& NL \& 39 \& 43 \& 11 \& 3 \& 1 \& 3 \& 82 \& 4 <br>
\hline \& AT \& 22 \& 51 \& 18 \& 6 \& 1 \& 2 \& 73 \& 7 <br>
\hline \& PL \& 24 \& 50 \& 17 \& 5 \& 1 \& 3 \& 74 \& 6 <br>
\hline C \& PT \& 17 \& 45 \& 20 \& 5 \& 1 \& 12 \& 62 \& 6 <br>
\hline ( \& RO \& 25 \& 39 \& 19 \& 5 \& 1 \& 11 \& 64 \& 6 <br>
\hline $\bigcirc$ \& SI \& 46 \& 41 \& 9 \& 2 \& 1 \& 1 \& 87 \& 3 <br>
\hline (1) \& SK \& 26 \& 48 \& 19 \& 4 \& 0 \& 3 \& 74 \& 4 <br>
\hline $\theta$ \& FI \& 31 \& 48 \& 13 \& 5 \& 1 \& 2 \& 79 \& 6 <br>
\hline - \& SE \& 47 \& 41 \& 7 \& 3 \& 1 \& 1 \& 88 \& 4 <br>
\hline 大ا \& UK \& 32 \& 42 \& 17 \& 4 \& 1 \& 4 \& 74 \& 5 <br>
\hline 8) \& HR \& 33 \& 46 \& 14 \& 4 \& 1 \& 2 \& 79 \& 5 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

QD9.9 Je vais maintenant vous lire quelques opinions que certaines personnes ont émises à propos des sciences, des technologies ou de l'environnement. Pour chacune de ces opinions, dites-moi dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou pas d'accord.
Si on donne trop d'importance aux risques dont on ne connait pas toute la portée, on pourrait passer à côté d'une avancée technologique

QD9.9 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
If we attach too much importance to risks that are not yet fully understood, we could miss out on technological progress
QD9.9 Ich lese Ihnen nun einige Aussagen vor, die andere Personen zu Wissenschaft, Technologie oder Umwelt gemacht haben. Sagen Sie mir bitte für jede dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.
Wenn wir Risiken, die bisher nicht vollkommen bekannt sind, zu viel Bedeutung beimessen, könnte dies den technischen Fortschritt behindern

|  |  | Tout à fait d'accord <br> Totally agree <br> Stimme voll und ganz zu |  | Plutôt d'accord <br> Tend to agree <br> Stimme eher zu |  | Ni d'accord ni pas d'accord <br> Neither agree nor disagree <br> Stimme weder zu noch nicht zu |  | Plutôt pas d'accord Tend to disagree <br> Stimme eher nicht zu |  | Pas du tout d'accord <br> Totally disagree <br> Stimme überhaupt nicht zu |  | NSP <br> DK <br> WN |  | Total 'D'accord' <br> Total 'Agree' <br> Gesamt 'Stimme zu' |  | Total 'Pas d'accord' <br> Total 'Disagree' <br> Total 'Stimme nicht zu' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | EU 27 | 17 | 6 | 40 | -1 | 22 | -2 | 11 | -3 | 3 | -1 | 7 | 1 | 57 | 5 | 14 | -4 |
| ( | BE | 15 | 3 | 42 | -5 | 26 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 57 | -2 | 16 | 1 |
|  | BG | 20 | 11 | 38 | 8 | 25 | -6 | 4 | -7 | 1 | -3 | 12 | -3 | 58 | 19 | 5 | -10 |
|  | CZ | 10 | -1 | 39 | -3 | 32 | 4 | 10 | -3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 49 | -4 | 13 | -2 |
| - | DK | 21 | -2 | 48 | -1 | 20 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 69 | -3 | 9 | 1 |
|  | DE | 13 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 21 | -7 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 48 | 2 | 23 | 0 |
| - | EE | 14 | -2 | 40 | -1 | 25 | 6 | 11 | -1 | 3 | -1 | 7 | -1 | 54 | -3 | 14 | -2 |
|  | IE | 20 | 13 | 40 | 4 | 18 | -8 | 10 | -1 | 3 | 0 | 9 | -8 | 60 | 17 | 13 | -1 |
| 3 | EL | 18 | 6 | 36 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 13 | -6 | 2 | -2 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 6 | 15 | -8 |
|  | ES | 19 | 7 | 38 | -2 | 16 | -5 | 10 | -2 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 57 | 5 | 15 | -2 |
| ( | FR | 21 | 10 | 48 | 0 | 13 | -7 | 10 | -4 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 69 | 10 | 13 | -4 |
| ( | IT | 16 | 8 | 42 | 4 | 25 | -4 | 9 | -7 | 1 | -4 | 7 | 3 | 58 | 12 | 10 | -11 |
| (5) | CY | 34 | 24 | 31 | -3 | 25 | -8 | 3 | -5 | 1 | -4 | 6 | -4 | 65 | 21 | 4 | -9 |
| ) | LV | 14 | -1 | 44 | 7 | 22 | -4 | 11 | -1 | 2 | -1 | 7 | 0 | 58 | 6 | 13 | -2 |
|  | LT | 15 | 4 | 41 | 4 | 24 | -4 | 7 | -3 | 1 | -1 | 12 | 0 | 56 | 8 | 8 | -4 |
|  | LU | 15 | 6 | 44 | 1 | 19 | -5 | 15 | -1 | 2 | -2 | 5 | 1 | 59 | 7 | 17 | -3 |
|  | HU | 13 | 3 | 39 | -4 | 27 | -3 | 9 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 52 | -1 | 12 | 0 |
|  | MT | 22 | 10 | 36 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 5 | -7 | 1 | -2 | 19 | -9 | 58 | 18 | 6 | -9 |
|  | NL | 15 | 2 | 40 | -4 | 23 | 2 | 13 | -3 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 55 | -2 | 17 | -3 |
|  | AT | 9 | 3 | 36 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 22 | -2 | 4 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 45 | 4 | 26 | -4 |
|  | PL | 14 | 1 | 42 | 1 | 25 | 3 | 9 | -2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | -4 | 56 | 2 | 11 | -1 |
| (3) | PT | 10 | 3 | 38 | -4 | 24 | -2 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 48 | -1 | 13 | 2 |
| ( | RO | 18 | 9 | 32 | -1 | 28 | 0 | 5 | -5 | 2 | -1 | 15 | -2 | 50 | 8 | 7 | -6 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 20 | 3 | 41 | 0 | 22 | -1 | 7 | -3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 61 | 3 | 11 | -3 |
| * | SK | 13 | 2 | 41 | -5 | 28 | -1 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 54 | -3 | 10 | 1 |
|  | FI | 17 | 5 | 48 | -4 | 21 | 3 | 10 | -3 | 2 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 65 | 1 | 12 | -4 |
| $\cdots$ | SE | 17 | -3 | 48 | -2 | 20 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | -1 | 65 | -5 | 13 | 1 |
| 全号 | UK | 21 | 9 | 42 | -8 | 22 | 1 | 8 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 5 | 1 | 63 | 1 | 10 | -3 |
| *) | HR | 17 | 6 | 38 | 0 | 28 | -2 | 10 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 5 | -1 | 55 | 6 | 12 | -3 |

QD10 Pensez-vous que pour faire de nouvelles découvertes en sciences et technologies, il devrait être permis de violer les droits fondamentaux et les principes moraux ?

QD10 Do you think that science and technology should be allowed to violate fundamental rights and moral principles in order to make a new discovery?

QD10 Sind Sie der Meinung, dass es Wissenschaft und Technologie erlaubt sein sollte, Grundrechte und moralische Prinzipien zu verletzen, um eine neue Entdeckung zu machen?


QD11.1 Je voudrais vous lire des propositions au sujet de l'éthique et de la science. Veuillez me dire si vous êtes d'accord ou pas s'accord avec chacune d'elles
Le financement européen de la recherche scientifique menée en dehors de I'UE devrait être interdit si cette recherche était interdite dans I'UE
QD11.1 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
European funding of scientific research outside the EU should be forbidden if that research would be illegal in the EU
QD11.1 Ich werde Ihnen jetzt einige Aussagen zum Thema Ethik und Wissenschaft vorlesen. Bitte sagen Sie mir zu jeder dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.
Die europäische Förderung von wissenschaftlichen Forschungsprojekten außerhalb der EU sollte verboten sein, wenn dieses Forschungsprojekt in der EU illegal wäre

|  |  | Tout à fait d'accord <br> Totally agree <br> Stimme voll und ganz zu | Plutôt d'accord <br> Tend to agree <br> Stimme eher zu | Ni d'accord ni pas d'accord <br> Neither agree nor disagree <br> Stimme weder zu noch nicht zu | Plutôt pas d'accord <br> Tend to disagree <br> Stimme eher nicht zu | Pas du tout d'accord <br> Totally disagree <br> Stimme überhaupt nicht zu | NSP DK WN | Total 'D'accord' <br> Total 'Agree' <br> Gesamt 'Stimme zu' | Total 'Pas d'accord' <br> Total 'Disagree' <br> Total 'Stimme nicht zu' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | EU 27 | 47 | 29 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 76 | 6 |
| (1) | BE | 48 | 31 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 79 | 9 |
| $\bigcirc$ | BG | 38 | 34 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 72 | 1 |
| $\theta$ | CZ | 52 | 28 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 80 | 4 |
| - | DK | 68 | 20 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 88 | 4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 56 | 26 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 82 | 6 |
| O | EE | 42 | 31 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 73 | 7 |
| ( | IE | 43 | 27 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 70 | 7 |
| 6 | EL | 50 | 30 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 80 | 4 |
|  | ES | 51 | 22 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 73 | 6 |
| (1) | FR | 41 | 31 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 72 | 12 |
| (1) | IT | 44 | 32 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 76 | 5 |
| ( 5 | CY | 62 | 20 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 82 | 5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 43 | 30 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 73 | 8 |
| - | LT | 36 | 31 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 67 | 6 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LU | 45 | 31 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 76 | 12 |
| ) | HU | 40 | 32 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 72 | 6 |
| ) | MT | 34 | 31 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 22 | 65 | 5 |
|  | NL | 67 | 19 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 86 | 6 |
| O | AT | 48 | 34 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 82 | 4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 27 | 42 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 69 | 7 |
| (3) | PT | 33 | 37 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 70 | 5 |
| ( | RO | 34 | 30 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 14 | 64 | 7 |
| 0 | SI | 68 | 19 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 87 | 4 |
| $\omega$ | SK | 46 | 36 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 82 | 2 |
| $\theta$ | FI | 63 | 19 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 82 | 5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SE | 69 | 14 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 83 | 8 |
| (-1) | UK | 48 | 28 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 76 | 7 |
| (8) | HR | 50 | 29 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 79 | 5 |

QD11.2 Je voudrais vous lire des propositions au sujet de l'éthique et de la science. Veuillez me dire si vous êtes d'accord ou pas s'accord avec chacune d'elles.
L'UE devrait activement soutenir le respect des principes éthiques européens en matière de recherche scientifique dans le monde entier
QD11.2 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
The EU should actively promote that European ethical principles for conducting scientific research are respected all over the world
QD11.2 Ich werde Ihnen jetzt einige Aussagen zum Thema Ethik und Wissenschaft vorlesen. Bitte sagen Sie mir zu jeder dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.
Die EU sollte sich aktiv dafür einsetzen, dass die ethischen Grundsätze Europas zur Durchführung wissenschaftlicher Forschung überall in der Welt respektiert werden

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Tout à fait d'accord Totally agree \\
Stimme voll und ganz zu
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Plutôt d'accord \\
Tend to agree \\
Stimme eher zu
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Ni d'accord ni pas d'accord \\
Neither agree nor disagree \\
Stimme weder zu noch nicht zu
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Plutôt pas d'accord \\
Tend to disagree \\
Stimme eher nicht zu
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Pas du tout d'accord \\
Totally disagree \\
Stimme überhaupt nicht zu
\end{tabular} \& NSP
DK

WN \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Total 'D'accord' <br>
Total 'Agree' <br>
Gesamt 'Stimme zu'

 \& 

Total 'Pas d'accord' <br>
Total 'Disagree' <br>
Total 'Stimme nicht zu'
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline \& \% \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$
\] <br>

\hline $\bigcirc$ \& EU 27 \& 44 \& 36 \& 11 \& 3 \& 1 \& 5 \& 80 \& 4 <br>
\hline (1) \& BE \& 44 \& 41 \& 9 \& 4 \& 1 \& 1 \& 85 \& 5 <br>
\hline $\bigcirc$ \& BG \& 44 \& 37 \& 7 \& 1 \& 0 \& 11 \& 81 \& 1 <br>
\hline ( \& CZ \& 44 \& 36 \& 12 \& 3 \& 2 \& 3 \& 80 \& 5 <br>
\hline 0 \& DK \& 54 \& 29 \& 10 \& 3 \& 2 \& 2 \& 83 \& 5 <br>
\hline ) \& DE \& 51 \& 35 \& 8 \& 2 \& 1 \& 3 \& 86 \& 3 <br>
\hline - \& EE \& 34 \& 42 \& 12 \& 4 \& 2 \& 6 \& 76 \& 6 <br>
\hline \& IE \& 40 \& 38 \& 11 \& 3 \& 1 \& 7 \& 78 \& 4 <br>
\hline E \& EL \& 58 \& 33 \& 6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 3 \& 91 \& 0 <br>
\hline \& ES \& 52 \& 25 \& 9 \& 3 \& 1 \& 10 \& 77 \& 4 <br>
\hline (1) \& FR \& 42 \& 41 \& 8 \& 3 \& 1 \& 5 \& 83 \& 4 <br>
\hline 0 \& IT \& 42 \& 36 \& 13 \& 4 \& 1 \& 4 \& 78 \& 5 <br>
\hline (5) \& CY \& 70 \& 25 \& 4 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1 \& 95 \& 0 <br>
\hline $\bigcirc$ \& LV \& 37 \& 41 \& 13 \& 3 \& 2 \& 4 \& 78 \& 5 <br>
\hline \& LT \& 36 \& 39 \& 14 \& 2 \& 1 \& 8 \& 75 \& 3 <br>
\hline \& LU \& 46 \& 42 \& 5 \& 4 \& 1 \& 2 \& 88 \& 5 <br>
\hline \& HU \& 44 \& 38 \& 11 \& 2 \& 1 \& 4 \& 82 \& 3 <br>
\hline \& MT \& 43 \& 36 \& 3 \& 1 \& 0 \& 17 \& 79 \& 1 <br>
\hline \& NL \& 38 \& 36 \& 11 \& 7 \& 4 \& 4 \& 74 \& 11 <br>
\hline \& AT \& 43 \& 40 \& 11 \& 3 \& 1 \& 2 \& 83 \& 4 <br>
\hline \& PL \& 30 \& 47 \& 13 \& 3 \& 1 \& 6 \& 77 \& 4 <br>
\hline © \& PT \& 33 \& 44 \& 11 \& 3 \& 0 \& 9 \& 77 \& 3 <br>
\hline (1) \& RO \& 39 \& 32 \& 14 \& 4 \& 1 \& 10 \& 71 \& 5 <br>
\hline C \& SI \& 65 \& 25 \& 5 \& 1 \& 1 \& 3 \& 90 \& 2 <br>
\hline $\oplus$ \& SK \& 45 \& 40 \& 10 \& 2 \& 0 \& 3 \& 85 \& 2 <br>
\hline \& FI \& 52 \& 31 \& 10 \& 4 \& 1 \& 2 \& 83 \& 5 <br>
\hline 0 \& SE \& 59 \& 26 \& 9 \& 3 \& 2 \& 1 \& 85 \& 5 <br>
\hline 合碞 \& UK \& 40 \& 35 \& 15 \& 3 \& 2 \& 5 \& 75 \& 5 <br>
\hline (8) \& HR \& 47 \& 33 \& 13 \& 2 \& 1 \& 4 \& 80 \& 3 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

QD11.3 Je voudrais vous lire des propositions au sujet de l'éthique et de la science. Veuillez me dire si vous êtes d'accord ou pas s'accord avec chacune d'elles.
Le respect de l'éthique et des droits fondamentaux est une garantie que la recherche scientifique et les innovations technologiques répondent aux attentes des citoyens
QD11.3 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
Respect for ethics and fundamental rights guarantees that scientific research and technological innovations will meet citizens' expectations
QD11.3 Ich werde Ihnen jetzt einige Aussagen zum Thema Ethik und Wissenschaft vorlesen. Bitte sagen Sie mir zu jeder dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.
Das Achten ethischer Grundsätze und der Grundrechte stellen sicher, dass wissenschaftliche Forschung und technische Innovationen den Erwartungen der Bürger entsprechen

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Tout à fait d'accord Totally agree \\
Stimme voll und ganz zu
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Plutôt d'accord \\
Tend to agree \\
Stimme eher zu
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Ni d'accord ni pas d'accord \\
Neither agree nor disagree \\
Stimme weder zu noch nicht zu
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Plutôt pas d'accord \\
Tend to disagree \\
Stimme eher nicht zu
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Pas du tout d'accord \\
Totally disagree \\
Stimme überhaupt nicht zu
\end{tabular} \& NSP
DK

WN \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Total 'D'accord' <br>
Total 'Agree' <br>
Gesamt 'Stimme zu'

 \& 

Total 'Pas d'accord' <br>
Total 'Disagree' <br>
Total 'Stimme nicht zu'
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline \& \% \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EB } \\
79.2
\end{gathered}
$$
\] <br>

\hline 2 \& EU 27 \& 30 \& 40 \& 16 \& 5 \& 2 \& 7 \& 70 \& 7 <br>
\hline (1) \& BE \& 26 \& 48 \& 16 \& 6 \& 2 \& 2 \& 74 \& 8 <br>
\hline $\bigcirc$ \& BG \& 43 \& 35 \& 10 \& 1 \& 0 \& 11 \& 78 \& 1 <br>
\hline \& CZ \& 33 \& 40 \& 17 \& 5 \& 2 \& 3 \& 73 \& 7 <br>
\hline 0 \& DK \& 32 \& 39 \& 18 \& 6 \& 1 \& 4 \& 71 \& 7 <br>
\hline - \& DE \& 31 \& 41 \& 14 \& 7 \& 1 \& 6 \& 72 \& 8 <br>
\hline $\bigcirc$ \& EE \& 29 \& 43 \& 14 \& 5 \& 2 \& 7 \& 72 \& 7 <br>
\hline ( \& IE \& 31 \& 40 \& 15 \& 4 \& 1 \& 9 \& 71 \& 5 <br>
\hline 6 \& EL \& 47 \& 38 \& 9 \& 3 \& 0 \& 3 \& 85 \& 3 <br>
\hline \& ES \& 36 \& 30 \& 14 \& 2 \& 3 \& 15 \& 66 \& 5 <br>
\hline (1) \& FR \& 26 \& 43 \& 15 \& 7 \& 2 \& 7 \& 69 \& 9 <br>
\hline 0 \& IT \& 32 \& 43 \& 15 \& 4 \& 1 \& 5 \& 75 \& 5 <br>
\hline (F) \& CY \& 53 \& 25 \& 13 \& 3 \& 0 \& 6 \& 78 \& 3 <br>
\hline 3 \& LV \& 22 \& 43 \& 19 \& 8 \& 1 \& 7 \& 65 \& 9 <br>
\hline ) \& LT \& 37 \& 39 \& 13 \& 2 \& 0 \& 9 \& 76 \& 2 <br>
\hline \& LU \& 25 \& 39 \& 14 \& 14 \& 3 \& 5 \& 64 \& 17 <br>
\hline \& HU \& 30 \& 41 \& 17 \& 5 \& 1 \& 6 \& 71 \& 6 <br>
\hline $\cdots$ \& MT \& 39 \& 32 \& 5 \& 1 \& 0 \& 23 \& 71 \& 1 <br>
\hline \& NL \& 20 \& 36 \& 22 \& 12 \& 5 \& 5 \& 56 \& 17 <br>
\hline \& AT \& 29 \& 45 \& 18 \& 5 \& 0 \& 3 \& 74 \& 5 <br>
\hline $\bigcirc$ \& PL \& 26 \& 49 \& 14 \& 4 \& 1 \& 6 \& 75 \& 5 <br>
\hline © \& PT \& 26 \& 43 \& 14 \& 3 \& 1 \& 13 \& 69 \& 4 <br>
\hline (1) \& RO \& 27 \& 34 \& 21 \& 3 \& 1 \& 14 \& 61 \& 4 <br>
\hline $\bigcirc$ \& SI \& 50 \& 28 \& 11 \& 6 \& 1 \& 4 \& 78 \& 7 <br>
\hline (1) \& SK \& 31 \& 40 \& 20 \& 3 \& 1 \& 5 \& 71 \& 4 <br>
\hline 5 \& FI \& 34 \& 38 \& 17 \& 6 \& 3 \& 2 \& 72 \& 9 <br>
\hline - \& SE \& 30 \& 35 \& 21 \& 7 \& 5 \& 2 \& 65 \& 12 <br>
\hline (1) \& UK \& 24 \& 39 \& 23 \& 6 \& 2 \& 6 \& 63 \& 8 <br>
\hline (8) \& HR \& 40 \& 34 \& 15 \& 5 \& 2 \& 4 \& 74 \& 7 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

QD11.4 Je voudrais vous lire des propositions au sujet de l'éthique et de la science. Veuillez me dire si vous êtes d'accord ou pas s'accord avec chacune d'elles.
Pour faire face aux risques éthiques liés aux nouvelles technologies comme les biotechnologies, des mesures devraient être prises au niveau européen
QD11.4 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
In order to address ethical risks raised in new technologies like biotechnologies, measures should be taken at the European level
QD11.4 Ich werde Ihnen jetzt einige Aussagen zum Thema Ethik und Wissenschaft vorlesen. Bitte sagen Sie mir zu jeder dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.
Damit ethische Risiken, die mit neuen Technologien wie der Biotechnologie einhergehen, berücksichtigt werden, sollten Maßnahmen auf europäischer Ebene ergriffen werden

|  |  | Tout à fait d'accord <br> Totally agree <br> Stimme voll und ganz zu | Plutôt d'accord <br> Tend to agree <br> Stimme eher zu | Ni d'accord ni pas d'accord <br> Neither agree nor disagree <br> Stimme weder zu noch nicht zu | Plutôt pas d'accord <br> Tend to disagree <br> Stimme eher nicht zu | Pas du tout d'accord <br> Totally disagree <br> Stimme überhaupt nicht zu | NSP DK WN | Total 'D'accord' <br> Total 'Agree' 'Stimme zu' | Total 'Pas d'accord' <br> Total 'Disagree' <br> Total 'Stimme nicht zu' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | EU 27 | 38 | 38 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 76 | 5 |
| (1) | BE | 40 | 42 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 82 | 4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | BG | 50 | 31 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 81 | 0 |
| $\bigcirc$ | CZ | 45 | 37 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 82 | 3 |
| $\theta$ | DK | 48 | 34 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 82 | 5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 46 | 37 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 83 | 4 |
| O | EE | 33 | 42 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 75 | 4 |
| ( | IE | 38 | 37 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 75 | 3 |
| 8 | EL | 57 | 34 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 91 | 1 |
|  | ES | 48 | 27 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 75 | 2 |
| (1) | FR | 36 | 45 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 81 | 5 |
| ( | IT | 37 | 39 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 76 | 4 |
| (\%) | CY | 69 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 1 |
| 0 | LV | 37 | 40 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 77 | 5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LT | 32 | 37 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 69 | 4 |
|  | LU | 40 | 46 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 86 | 4 |
|  | HU | 40 | 38 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 78 | 4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | MT | 35 | 31 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 66 | 1 |
|  | NL | 41 | 35 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 76 | 7 |
| 0 | AT | 36 | 42 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 78 | 4 |
| - | PL | 25 | 48 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 73 | 5 |
| (0) | PT | 27 | 46 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 73 | 3 |
| D | RO | 33 | 29 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 17 | 62 | 4 |
| 0 | SI | 59 | 27 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 86 | 2 |
| (3) | SK | 41 | 43 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 84 | 1 |
| 3 | FI | 46 | 35 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 81 | 4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SE | 43 | 34 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 77 | 6 |
| 园 | UK | 27 | 35 | 20 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 62 | 12 |
| *8) | HR | 43 | 35 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 78 | 1 |

QD11.5 Je voudrais vous lire des propositions au sujet de l'éthique et de la science. Veuillez me dire si vous êtes d'accord ou pas s'accord avec chacune d'elles.
Tous les chercheurs devraient obligatoirement recevoir une formation sur l'éthique dans la recherche scientifique (p. ex. sur le respect de la vie privée, le bien-être des animaux, etc.)
QD11.5 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree
All researchers should receive mandatory training on scientific research ethics (e.g. on privacy, animal welfare, etc.)
QD11.5 Ich werde Ihnen jetzt einige Aussagen zum Thema Ethik und Wissenschaft vorlesen. Bitte sagen Sie mir zu jeder dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.
Alle Forscher sollten verpflichtende Schulungen zum Thema Ethik in der wissenschaftlichen Forschung erhalten (z. B. zum Datenschutz, Tierschutz etc.)


QD11.6 Je voudrais vous lire des propositions au sujet de l'éthique et de la science. Veuillez me dire si vous êtes d'accord ou pas s'accord avec chacune d'elles
Comme les docteurs en médecine, tout jeune scientifique devrait prêter serment de respecter les principes moraux et la législation compétente dans son domaine
QD11.6 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
Like medical doctors, all young scientists should take an oath to respect ethical principles and relevant legislation
QD11.6 Ich werde Ihnen jetzt einige Aussagen zum Thema Ethik und Wissenschaft vorlesen. Bitte sagen Sie mir zu jeder dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.
Alle jungen Wissenschaftler sollten genau wie Ärzte einen Eid leisten, dass sie ethische Grundsätze und geltende Gesetze respektieren

| \% |  | Tout à fait d'accord <br> Totally agree <br> Stimme voll und ganz zu | Plutôt d'accord <br> Tend to agree <br> Stimme eher zu | Ni d'accord ni pas d'accord <br> Neither agree nor disagree <br> Stimme weder zu noch nicht zu | Plutôt pas d'accord <br> Tend to disagree <br> Stimme eher nicht zu | Pas du tout d'accord <br> Totally disagree <br> Stimme überhaupt nicht zu | NSP DK WN | Total 'D'accord' <br> Total 'Agree' | Total 'Pas d'accord' <br> Total 'Disagree <br> Total 'Stimme nicht zu' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | EU 27 | 52 | 31 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 83 | 5 |
| (1) | BE | 48 | 36 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 84 | 7 |
| $\bigcirc$ | BG | 53 | 29 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 82 | 1 |
| $\bigcirc$ | CZ | 59 | 29 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 88 | 2 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DK | 63 | 25 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 88 | 5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 55 | 27 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 82 | 7 |
| , | EE | 45 | 35 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 80 | 7 |
| ( | IE | 52 | 31 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 83 | 4 |
| 6 | EL | 64 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 92 | 0 |
| 3 | ES | 60 | 21 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 81 | 6 |
| (1) | FR | 55 | 33 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 88 | 4 |
| ( | IT | 46 | 35 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 81 | 4 |
| (\%) | CY | 87 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 1 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 50 | 30 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 80 | 6 |
| O | LT | 53 | 31 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 84 | 3 |
|  | LU | 55 | 36 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 91 | 4 |
|  | HU | 50 | 32 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 82 | 4 |
|  | MT | 60 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 85 | 1 |
|  | NL | 47 | 33 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 80 | 10 |
| - | AT | 47 | 36 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 83 | 5 |
| - | PL | 40 | 43 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 83 | 4 |
| (3) | PT | 42 | 40 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 82 | 3 |
| (1) | RO | 42 | 29 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 71 | 3 |
| 0 | SI | 75 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 92 | 2 |
| (3) | SK | 50 | 40 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 90 | 2 |
| $\theta$ | FI | 53 | 28 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 81 | 7 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SE | 65 | 19 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 84 | 7 |
| , | UK | 54 | 32 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 86 | 3 |
| (2) | HR | 60 | 26 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 86 | 2 |

QD11.7 Je voudrais vous lire des propositions au sujet de l'éthique et de la science. Veuillez me dire si vous êtes d'accord ou pas s'accord avec chacune d'elles.
Les experts scientifiques devraient être obligés de déclarer ouvertement les possibles conflits d'intérêts, tels que leurs sources de financement, quand ils donnent leur avis aux autorités publiques
QD11.7 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
Scientific experts should be obliged to openly declare possible conflicts of interest, such as their sources of funding, when they are advising public authorities
QD11.7 Ich werde Ihnen jetzt einige Aussagen zum Thema Ethik und Wissenschaft vorlesen. Bitte sagen Sie mir zu jeder dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen
Wissenschaftliche Experten sollten verpflichtet sein, mögliche Interessenkonflikte offenzulegen, wie z. B. ihre
Finanzierungsquellen, wenn sie Behörden beraten


QD12 Selon vous, le Gouvernement (NATIONALITE) agit-il trop, assez ou trop peu en vue de susciter l'intérêt des jeunes dans le domaine de la science ?
QD12 In your opinion, is the (NATIONALITY) Government doing too much, enough or too little to stimulate young people's interest in science?

QD12 Unternimmt die (NATIONALE) Regierung Ihrer Meinung nach zu viel, genügend oder zu wenig, um das wissenschaftliche Interesse junger Menschen zu wecken?

|  |  | Trop <br> Too much <br> Zu viel |  | Assez <br> Enough <br> Genügend |  | Trop peu <br> Too little <br> Zu wenig |  | NSP <br> DK <br> WN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | EU 27 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 65 | -1 | 12 | 0 |
| $D$ | BE | 1 | 0 | 45 | 11 | 49 | -9 | 5 | -2 |
|  | BG | 1 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 65 | 0 | 22 | -6 |
|  | CZ | 1 | -1 | 25 | -4 | 62 | 0 | 12 | 5 |
|  | DK | 2 | 1 | 38 | 9 | 48 | -16 | 12 | 6 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 0 | -1 | 27 | 7 | 57 | -11 | 16 | 5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | EE | 1 | 0 | 29 | 4 | 60 | -6 | 10 | 2 |
| 0 | IE | 4 | 3 | 27 | 2 | 55 | -3 | 14 | -2 |
| $\underline{8}$ | EL | 0 | -2 | 16 | -10 | 78 | 15 | 6 | -3 |
| (6) | ES | 1 | 0 | 7 | -10 | 83 | 18 | 9 | -8 |
| (1) | FR | 2 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 66 | -4 | 12 | 2 |
| (1) | IT | 1 | 0 | 16 | -2 | 75 | 10 | 8 | -8 |
| $E$ | CY | 1 | 0 | 24 | -1 | 63 | 7 | 12 | -6 |
|  | LV | 1 | -1 | 18 | 9 | 76 | -9 | 5 | 1 |
|  | LT | 1 | 0 | 30 | 13 | 61 | -15 | 8 | 2 |
|  | LU | 2 | 0 | 36 | -5 | 46 | 4 | 16 | 1 |
| ) | HU | 3 | 2 | 31 | 9 | 53 | -15 | 13 | 4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | MT | 2 | -1 | 50 | 21 | 20 | -28 | 28 | 8 |
| $\bigcirc$ | NL | 1 | 1 | 38 | 4 | 49 | -7 | 12 | 2 |
|  | AT | 2 | -1 | 36 | 7 | 49 | -5 | 13 | -1 |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 1 | -1 | 24 | 4 | 65 | -2 | 10 | -1 |
| © | PT | 1 | 0 | 18 | -7 | 60 | 7 | 21 | 0 |
|  | RO | 1 | 0 | 9 | -5 | 72 | 0 | 18 | 5 |
|  | SI | 1 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 69 | -5 | 10 | 3 |
| (1) | SK | 1 | -1 | 27 | -4 | 56 | -3 | 16 | 8 |
| 3 | FI | 1 | 1 | 32 | 3 | 57 | -7 | 10 | 3 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SE | 0 | -1 | 22 | -1 | 65 | 0 | 13 | 2 |
| GD | UK | 2 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 64 | -2 | 10 | 0 |
| $\%$ | HR | 0 | -1 | 19 | 9 | 76 | -7 | 5 | -1 |

QD13.1 Je vais vous lire une série de déclarations faites par les gens à propos de l'intérêt des jeunes pour la science.
Pourriez-vous me dire dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou pas d'accord avec chacune d'elles.
Les jeunes qui s'intéressent à la science ont plus de chances de trouver un emploi
QD13.1 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about young people's interest in science. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
Young people interested in science have better chances of getting a job
QD13.1 Ich lese Ihnen jetzt ein paar Aussagen über das wissenschaftliche Interesse junger Menschen vor. Bitte sagen Sie mir für jede dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen bzw. nicht zustimmen
Junge Menschen, die sich für Wissenschaft interessieren, haben bessere Chancen auf dem Arbeitsmarkt

|  | \% | Tout à fait d'accord <br> Totally agree <br> Stimme voll und ganz zu |  | Plutôt d'accord <br> Tend to agree <br> Stimme eher Zu |  | Ni d'accord ni pas d'accord <br> Neither agree nor disagree <br> Stimme weder zu noch nicht zu |  | Plutôt pas d'accord <br> Tend to disagree <br> Stimme eher nicht zu |  | Pas du tout d'accord <br> Totally disagree <br> Stimme überhaupt nicht zu |  | NSP <br> DK <br> wn |  | Total 'D'accord' <br> Total 'Agree' <br> Gesamt 'Stimme zu' |  | Total 'Pas d'accord' <br> Total 'Disagree' <br> Total 'Stimme nicht zu' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | EU 27 | 23 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 13 | -2 | 5 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 59 | 1 | 18 | -3 |
| (1) | BE | 20 | 2 | 43 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 11 | -3 | 3 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 4 | 14 | -4 |
|  | BG | 40 | 10 | 35 | 3 | 12 | -2 | 8 | -5 | 2 | -4 | 3 | -2 | 75 | 13 | 10 | -9 |
| , | CZ | 19 | -2 | 36 | 3 | 27 | 4 | 11 | -4 | 3 | -3 | 4 | 2 | 55 | 1 | 14 | -7 |
|  | DK | 27 | -5 | 36 | -1 | 23 | 7 | 10 | -1 | 2 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 63 | -6 | 12 | -2 |
|  | DE | 38 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 6 | -3 | 2 | -1 | 4 | 3 | 73 | 0 | 8 | -4 |
| - | EE | 26 | 1 | 38 | 5 | 17 | 2 | 13 | -5 | 4 | -3 | 2 | 0 | 64 | 6 | 17 | -8 |
| , | IE | 40 | 15 | 38 | -1 | 12 | -2 | 6 | -8 | 1 | -2 | 3 | -2 | 78 | 14 | 7 | -10 |
| \% | EL | 20 | -5 | 36 | -1 | 24 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | -6 | 20 | 3 |
|  | ES | 25 | 1 | 35 | -1 | 14 | -1 | 15 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 2 | -1 | 60 | 0 | 24 | 2 |
| ( | FR | 13 | 2 | 31 | 1 | 23 | 5 | 22 | -3 | 8 | -5 | 3 | 0 | 44 | 3 | 30 | -8 |
| ( | IT | 18 | 4 | 40 | 6 | 24 | -2 | 10 | -6 | 5 | -2 | 3 | 0 | 58 | 10 | 15 | -8 |
| (2) | CY | 19 | -5 | 22 | -1 | 26 | 5 | 23 | 6 | 9 | -1 | 1 | -4 | 41 | -6 | 32 | 5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 14 | 2 | 34 | 4 | 21 | 3 | 21 | -4 | 8 | -6 | 2 | 1 | 48 | 6 | 29 | -10 |
|  | LT | 31 | 1 | 32 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 15 | -4 | 5 | -4 | 1 | -1 | 63 | 3 | 20 | -8 |
|  | LU | 23 | 4 | 34 | -4 | 17 | -3 | 19 | 3 | 4 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 23 | 2 |
|  | HU | 21 | 3 | 40 | 7 | 20 | -3 | 9 | -6 | 5 | -3 | 5 | 2 | 61 | 10 | 14 | -9 |
|  | MT | 43 | 10 | 35 | 6 | 10 | -3 | 3 | -13 | 1 | -3 | 8 | 3 | 78 | 16 | 4 | -16 |
|  | NL | 17 | -1 | 36 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 13 | -4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 53 | -1 | 18 | -4 |
|  | AT | 22 | -1 | 49 | 5 | 20 | 1 | 6 | -5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 71 | 4 | 7 | -5 |
|  | PL | 20 | -5 | 42 | 5 | 16 | 1 | 15 | -1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | -2 | 62 | 0 | 20 | 1 |
| (1) | PT | 18 | -5 | 45 | 5 | 18 | -1 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| (1) | RO | 27 | 1 | 33 | 6 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 3 | -6 | 4 | -2 | 60 | 7 | 16 | -6 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 15 | -6 | 29 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 19 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 3 | -1 | 44 | -4 | 34 | 2 |
| $(3)$ | SK | 22 | -1 | 40 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 9 | -3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 62 | -1 | 12 | -3 |
|  | FI | 32 | 5 | 43 | -3 | 12 | 1 | 8 | -5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 75 | 2 | 12 | -3 |
|  | SE | 33 | 1 | 39 | -2 | 17 | 2 | 5 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 4 | 2 | 72 | -1 | 7 | -3 |
| 令 | UK | 19 | 0 | 33 | -5 | 25 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 5 | -1 | 3 | 2 | 52 | -5 | 20 | -1 |
| (3) | HR | 27 | -1 | 35 | 11 | 18 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 5 | -12 | 1 | -1 | 62 | 10 | 19 | -11 |

QD13.2 Je vais vous lire une série de déclarations faites par les gens à propos de l'intérêt des jeunes pour la science. Pourriezvous me dire dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou pas d'accord avec chacune d'elles.
L'intérêt des jeunes pour la science leur permet également d'améliorer leur culture
QD13.2 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about young people's interest in science. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
By being interested in science, young people also improve their culture
QD13.2 Ich lese Ihnen jetzt ein paar Aussagen über das wissenschaftliche Interesse junger Menschen vor. Bitte sagen Sie mir für jede dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen bzw. nicht zustimmen
Wissenschaftliches Interesse fördert auch die Kultur junger Menschen

|  | \% | Tout à fait d'accord <br> Totally agree <br> Stimme voll und ganz zu |  | Plutôt d'accord <br> Tend to agree <br> Stimme eher zu |  | Ni d'accord ni pas d'accord <br> Neither agree nor disagree <br> Stimme weder zu noch nicht zu |  | Plutôt pas d'accord <br> Tend to disagree <br> Stimme eher nicht zu |  | Pas du tout d'accord <br> Totally disagree <br> Stimme überhaupt nicht zu |  | NSP <br> DK <br> WN |  | Total 'D'accord' <br> Total 'Agree' <br> Gesamt 'Stimme zu' |  | Total 'Pas d'accord' <br> Total 'Disagree' <br> Total 'Stimme nicht zu' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | EU 27 | 30 | 3 | 42 | -1 | 16 | 0 | 7 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 72 | 2 | 9 | -3 |
| (1) | BE | 19 | 0 | 42 | -5 | 25 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 61 | -5 | 13 | 0 |
|  | BG | 58 | 10 | 34 | -7 | 5 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 2 | -1 | 92 | 3 | 1 | -2 |
| ( | CZ | 23 | -4 | 45 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 3 | 2 | 68 | -4 | 9 | -1 |
| 4 | DK | 17 | -3 | 38 | -6 | 30 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 55 | -9 | 12 | 1 |
|  | DE | 30 | 0 | 40 | -3 | 15 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 70 | -3 | 10 | 0 |
| - | EE | 38 | -7 | 45 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 3 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 83 | -3 | 4 | -2 |
| ( | IE | 31 | 8 | 40 | -1 | 16 | 0 | 7 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 4 | -4 | 71 | 7 | 9 | -3 |
|  | EL | 34 | 3 | 47 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 4 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 3 | 6 | -3 |
|  | ES | 55 | 15 | 37 | -7 | 5 | -2 | 2 | -3 | 0 | -2 | 1 | -1 | 92 | 8 | 2 | -5 |
|  | FR | 29 | 2 | 52 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 7 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 81 | 3 | 9 | -3 |
| ( | IT | 31 | 3 | 46 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 5 | -2 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 77 | 3 | 6 | -3 |
| (\%) | CY | 34 | -3 | 36 | 5 | 19 | -1 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 70 | 2 | 11 | 1 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 33 | -9 | 50 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 83 | -3 | 5 | 0 |
|  | LT | 43 | -2 | 36 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 79 | -2 | 8 | -1 |
|  | LU | 28 | 2 | 50 | -5 | 9 | -3 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 78 | -3 | 10 | 4 |
|  | HU | 29 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 15 | -1 | 5 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 77 | 2 | 6 | -2 |
|  | MT | 45 | 11 | 33 | -8 | 11 | 1 | 3 | -4 | 1 | -1 | 7 | 1 | 78 | 3 | 4 | -5 |
|  | NL | 13 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 16 | -3 | 4 | -1 | 5 | 3 | 48 | 1 | 20 | -4 |
|  | AT | 19 | 3 | 49 | 3 | 22 | -2 | 7 | -4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 68 | 6 | 8 | -4 |
|  | PL | 19 | -3 | 42 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 61 | -1 | 16 | 1 |
| (3) | PT | 32 | -5 | 51 | 8 | 10 | -1 | 3 | -3 | 0 | -1 | 4 | 2 | 83 | 3 | 3 | -4 |
| (1) | Ro | 36 | -2 | 42 | 5 | 15 | 2 | 3 | -2 | 1 | -1 | 3 | -2 | 78 | 3 | 4 | -3 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 27 | -4 | 41 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 7 | -2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 68 | -4 | 10 | -1 |
| $($ | SK | 22 | -4 | 47 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 69 | -4 | 10 | 2 |
|  | FI | 52 | 26 | 41 | -10 | 4 | -11 | 2 | -4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 93 | 16 | 3 | -4 |
|  | SE | 23 | 5 | 41 | -3 | 25 | 0 | 6 | -1 | 2 | -1 | 3 | 0 | 64 | 2 | 8 | -2 |
| 为 | UK | 19 | 3 | 37 | -2 | 28 | 4 | 10 | -3 | 3 | -2 | 3 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 13 | -5 |
| 83) | HR | 39 | -3 | 40 | 6 | 14 | 3 | 4 | -1 | 1 | -4 | 2 | -1 | 79 | 3 | 5 | -5 |

QD13.3 Je vais vous lire une série de déclarations faites par les gens à propos de l'intérêt des jeunes pour la science. Pourriezvous me dire dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou pas d'accord avec chacune d'elles.
La science prépare la jeune génération à agir en tant que citoyens avisés
QD13.3 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about young people's interest in science. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
Science prepares the younger generation to act as well-informed citizens
QD13.3 Ich lese Ihnen jetzt ein paar Aussagen über das wissenschaftliche Interesse junger Menschen vor. Bitte sagen Sie mir für jede dieser Aussagen, inwieweit Sie dieser zustimmen bzw. nicht zustimmen
Die Wissenschaft befähigt junge Menschen, als gut informierte Bürger zu handeln

|  | \% | Tout à fait d'accord <br> Totally agree <br> Stimme voll und ganz zu |  | Plutôt d'accord <br> Tend to agree <br> Stimme eher zu |  | Ni d'accord ni pas d'accord <br> Neither agree nor disagree <br> Stimme weder zu noch nicht zu |  | Plutôt pas d'accord <br> Tend to disagree <br> Stimme eher nicht zu |  | Pas du tout d'accord <br> Totally disagree <br> Stimme überhaupt nicht zu |  | NSP <br> DK <br> WN |  | Total 'D'accord' <br> Total 'Agree' <br> Gesamt 'Stimme zu' |  | Total 'Pas d'accord' <br> Total 'Disagree' <br> Total 'Stimme nicht zu' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \text { EB } \\ 73.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | EU 27 | 25 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 8 | -1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 11 | -1 |
| (1) | BE | 15 | -1 | 43 | -4 | 28 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 58 | -5 | 13 | 0 |
|  | BG | 56 | 8 | 32 | -6 | 8 | 1 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | -1 | 88 | 2 | 2 | -2 |
| ( | CZ | 23 | 2 | 45 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 6 | -5 | 1 | -1 | 4 | 2 | 68 | 2 | 7 | -6 |
| 4 | DK | 29 | -2 | 48 | -2 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 77 | -4 | 5 | 0 |
|  | DE | 28 | -3 | 42 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 7 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 70 | -3 | 9 | -1 |
| - | EE | 31 | -8 | 45 | 3 | 16 | 6 | 4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 76 | -5 | 5 | -2 |
| ( | IE | 33 | 6 | 45 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | -6 | 78 | 6 | 7 | 0 |
| 6 | EL | 32 | 2 | 48 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 4 | -4 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 80 | 6 | 5 | -6 |
|  | ES | 37 | 1 | 42 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 5 | -2 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 79 | 2 | 8 | -2 |
|  | FR | 16 | 0 | 41 | -1 | 20 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 4 | -1 | 4 | 0 | 57 | -1 | 19 | -1 |
| ( | IT | 25 | 2 | 48 | 4 | 19 | 1 | 4 | -6 | 2 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 73 | 6 | 6 | -7 |
| (\%) | CY | 38 | 1 | 37 | 2 | 19 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 1 | -2 | 75 | 3 | 5 | -2 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 33 | -8 | 47 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 80 | -6 | 5 | 1 |
|  | LT | 33 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 6 | -1 | 1 | -2 | 3 | -1 | 73 | 1 | 7 | -3 |
|  | LU | 20 | 1 | 45 | 3 | 17 | -8 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 65 | 4 | 14 | 2 |
|  | HU | 29 | 1 | 44 | -1 | 18 | 2 | 6 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 73 | 0 | 8 | -3 |
|  | MT | 45 | 11 | 38 | -1 | 8 | -3 | 3 | -5 | 0 | -2 | 6 | 0 | 83 | 10 | 3 | -7 |
|  | NL | 14 | 3 | 38 | -3 | 28 | 3 | 13 | -4 | 3 | -1 | 4 | 2 | 52 | 0 | 16 | -5 |
|  | AT | 20 | 1 | 48 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 5 | -6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 68 | 2 | 6 | -6 |
|  | PL | 22 | -4 | 51 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 8 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 73 | -1 | 9 | -2 |
| (3) | PT | 26 | -4 | 51 | 5 | 13 | -2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 77 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| (1) | Ro | 34 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 20 | 4 | 3 | -3 | 2 | -2 | 5 | -1 | 70 | 2 | 5 | -5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 26 | -2 | 41 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 67 | -2 | 11 | 1 |
| (3) | SK | 18 | -4 | 48 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 5 | 3 | 66 | -2 | 7 | -1 |
|  | FI | 38 | 16 | 46 | -9 | 9 | -5 | 4 | -3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 84 | 7 | 6 | -2 |
|  | SE | 29 | 5 | 42 | -3 | 20 | 2 | 6 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 71 | 2 | 8 | -3 |
| 为 | UK | 20 | 1 | 41 | -5 | 23 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 61 | -4 | 14 | 2 |
| 83) | HR | 36 | -3 | 41 | 8 | 16 | 3 | 4 | -2 | 1 | -5 | 2 | -1 | 77 | 5 | 5 | -7 |

QD14 D'après vous, dans quelle mesure l'enseignement scientifique est-il important dans la stimulation de la créativité des jeunes?
QD14 How important do you think scientific education is in stimulating young people's creative thinking?
QD14 Wie wichtig ist Ihrer Meinung nach wissenschaftliche Bildung, um das kreative Denken junger Menschen anzuregen?

|  |  | Très important <br> Very important <br> Sehr wichtig | Plutôt important <br> Fairly important <br> Ziemlich wichtig | Pas très important <br> Not very important <br> Nicht besonders wichtig | Pas du tout important <br> Not at all important <br> Überhaupt nicht wichtig | NSP DK WN | Total 'Important' <br> Total 'Important' <br> Gesamt 'Wichtig' | Total 'Pas important' <br> Total 'Not important' <br> Gesamt 'Nicht wichtig' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | EU 27 | 33 | 51 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 84 | 12 |
| 1 | BE | 27 | 56 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 83 | 16 |
|  | BG | 49 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 91 | 3 |
| ( | CZ | 37 | 50 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 87 | 9 |
| 4 | DK | 18 | 50 | 24 | 4 | 4 | 68 | 28 |
| - | DE | 33 | 51 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 84 | 12 |
| Q | EE | 32 | 51 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 83 | 13 |
| (1) | IE | 51 | 41 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 92 | 5 |
| $\underline{8}$ | EL | 43 | 48 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 91 | 8 |
|  | ES | 42 | 45 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 87 | 6 |
| (1) | FR | 21 | 56 | 16 | 3 | 4 | 77 | 19 |
| (1) | IT | 31 | 51 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 82 | 14 |
| (3) | CY | 43 | 45 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 88 | 9 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 38 | 50 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 88 | 9 |
| S | LT | 47 | 44 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 91 | 7 |
|  | LU | 25 | 54 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 79 | 16 |
|  | HU | 34 | 55 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 89 | 10 |
|  | MT | 42 | 48 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 90 | 4 |
|  | NL | 34 | 43 | 16 | 2 | 5 | 77 | 18 |
|  | AT | 24 | 55 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 79 | 16 |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 30 | 56 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 86 | 9 |
| (3) | PT | 27 | 58 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 85 | 8 |
| (1) | RO | 42 | 45 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 87 | 7 |
| 0 | SI | 25 | 47 | 20 | 3 | 5 | 72 | 23 |
| (1) | SK | 29 | 55 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 84 | 9 |
| $\theta$ | FI | 20 | 62 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 82 | 13 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SE | 32 | 47 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 79 | 20 |
| , | UK | 37 | 50 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 87 | 10 |
| (3) | HR | 36 | 45 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 81 | 17 |

QD15 Dans quelle mesure pensez-vous qu'il est important que la recherche scientifique prenne autant en considération les besoins des femmes que des hommes ?
QD15 How important do you think it is that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs?
QD15 Wie wichtig ist es Ihrer Meinung nach, dass die wissenschaftliche Forschung die Bedürfnisse von Frauen und Männern gleichermaßen berücksichtigt?

|  |  | Très important <br> Very important <br> Sehr wichtig | Plutôt important <br> Fairly important <br> Ziemlich wichtig | Pas très important <br> Not very important <br> Nicht besonders wichtig | Pas du tout important <br> Not all important <br> Überhaupt nicht wichtig | NSP DK WN | Total 'Important' <br> Total 'Important' <br> Gesamt 'Wichtig' | Total 'Pas important' <br> Total 'Not important' <br> Gesamt 'Nicht wichtig' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | EU 27 | 47 | 39 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 86 | 9 |
| (1) | BE | 40 | 46 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 86 | 12 |
| O | BG | 41 | 31 | 9 | 3 | 16 | 72 | 12 |
| $\theta$ | CZ | 44 | 40 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 84 | 11 |
| $\theta$ | DK | 47 | 36 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 83 | 15 |
| - | DE | 49 | 39 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 88 | 9 |
| - | EE | 40 | 42 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 82 | 13 |
| ( | IE | 50 | 37 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 87 | 7 |
| 6 | EL | 50 | 42 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 92 | 6 |
|  | ES | 57 | 35 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 92 | 4 |
| (1) | FR | 52 | 37 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 89 | 6 |
| (1) | IT | 45 | 42 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 87 | 10 |
| (\%) | CY | 62 | 31 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 93 | 4 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 38 | 41 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 79 | 16 |
| - | LT | 40 | 42 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 82 | 10 |
|  | LU | 53 | 37 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 90 | 6 |
|  | HU | 38 | 49 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 87 | 10 |
|  | MT | 53 | 32 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 85 | 4 |
|  | NL | 39 | 37 | 14 | 4 | 6 | 76 | 18 |
| - | AT | 37 | 46 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 83 | 14 |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 35 | 50 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 85 | 9 |
| ( | PT | 34 | 52 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 86 | 8 |
| C | RO | 29 | 37 | 11 | 8 | 15 | 66 | 19 |
| 0 | SI | 51 | 31 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 82 | 14 |
| (3) | SK | 38 | 49 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 87 | 8 |
| D | FI | 47 | 41 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 88 | 10 |
| 0 | SE | 76 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 94 | 5 |
| - | UK | 55 | 34 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 89 | 6 |
| *) | HR | 52 | 35 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 87 | 11 |

QD16 Pourquoi pensez-vous qu'il est important que la recherche scientifique prenne autant en considération les besoins des femmes que des hommes ? (ROTATION - PLUSIEURS REPONSES POSSIBLES)
QD16 Why do you think it is important that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs? (ROTATE - MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

QD16 Weshalb sind Sie der Meinung, dass es wichtig ist, dass die wissenschaftliche Forschung die Bedürfnisse von Frauen und Männern gleichermaßen berücksichtigt? (ROTIEREN - MEHRFACHNENNUNGEN MÖGLICH)

|  |  | Pour améliorer la qualité de la recherche scientifique <br> To improve the quality of scientific research <br> Um die Qualität wissenschaftlicher Forschung zu verbessern | Pour que les innovations technologiques soient adaptées tant aux femmes qu'aux hommes <br> To make technological innovations better suited to both women and men <br> Um zu erreichen, dass technologische Innovationen sowohl für Frauen als auch für Männer besser geeignet sind | Pour générer plus d'innovations en sciences et technologies <br> To foster more innovations in science and technology <br> Um mehr Innovationen in Wissenschaft und Technologie zu fördern |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\cdots$ | EU 27 | 33 | 50 | 25 |
|  | BE | 33 | 51 | 20 |
|  | BG | 24 | 57 | 21 |
|  | CZ | 28 | 48 | 22 |
| 0 | DK | 48 | 55 | 37 |
| $\bigcirc$ | DE | 37 | 55 | 32 |
| ) | EE | 32 | 50 | 27 |
| ( | IE | 33 | 36 | 23 |
| 3 | EL | 42 | 55 | 34 |
|  | ES | 30 | 48 | 23 |
| 1 | FR | 33 | 62 | 26 |
| (1) | IT | 34 | 44 | 25 |
| (5) | CY | 37 | 67 | 32 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 26 | 49 | 19 |
|  | LT | 22 | 44 | 15 |
|  | LU | 43 | 64 | 38 |
| ) | HU | 26 | 49 | 21 |
| ) | MT | 26 | 54 | 19 |
|  | NL | 38 | 46 | 25 |
| 3 | AT | 53 | 66 | 42 |
| $\bigcirc$ | PL | 26 | 40 | 20 |
| © | PT | 32 | 46 | 28 |
| (1) | RO | 31 | 59 | 25 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 47 | 58 | 39 |
| $\oplus$ | SK | 27 | 53 | 22 |
| 3 | FI | 36 | 47 | 35 |
| $\theta$ | SE | 48 | 59 | 43 |
| 而 | UK | 30 | 41 | 16 |
| (8) | HR | 34 | 56 | 27 |

QD16 Pourquoi pensez-vous qu'il est important que la recherche scientifique prenne autant en considération les besoins des femmes que des hommes ? (ROTATION - PLUSIEURS REPONSES POSSIBLES)

QD16 Why do you think it is important that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs? (ROTATE - MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

QD16 Weshalb sind Sie der Meinung, dass es wichtig ist, dass die wissenschaftliche Forschung die Bedürfnisse von Frauen und Männern gleichermaßen berücksichtigt? (ROTIEREN - MEHRFACHNENNUNGEN MÖGLICH)


QD17 Pensez-vous que les résultats de la recherche financée par des fonds publics devraient être disponibles gratuitement en ligne ? (PLUSIEURS REPONSES POSSIBLES)

QD17 Do you think that the results of publicly funded research should be made available online free of charge? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE)

QD17 Sind Sie der Meinung, dass die Ergebnisse öffentlich finanzierter Forschung kostenlos im Internet zur Verfügung gestellt werden sollten? (MEHRFACHNENNUNGEN MÖGLICH)

| \% |  | Oui, pour le grand public <br> Yes, to the general public <br> Ja, für die Allgemeinheit | Oui, pour les autres chercheurs Yes, to other researchers <br> Ja, für andere Forscher | Oui, pour les industries <br> Yes, to industries Ja, für die Industrie | Non No Nein | NSP DK WN | Total 'Oui' Total 'Yes' Gesamt 'Ja' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ 79.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\%$ | EU 27 | 62 | 33 | 17 | 10 | 10 | 79 |
| (1) | BE | 55 | 47 | 21 | 14 | 2 | 84 |
|  | BG | 54 | 18 | 10 | 3 | 30 | 66 |
|  | CZ | 64 | 30 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 85 |
| (1) | DK | 59 | 36 | 24 | 18 | 5 | 77 |
|  | DE | 58 | 27 | 13 | 16 | 12 | 72 |
|  | EE | 64 | 26 | 13 | 11 | 7 | 82 |
| ( | IE | 74 | 39 | 24 | 6 | 9 | 85 |
| $\underline{8}$ | EL | 78 | 38 | 23 | 3 | 9 | 88 |
| \% | ES | 71 | 29 | 15 | 6 | 12 | 82 |
| (1) | FR | 59 | 50 | 27 | 14 | 6 | 80 |
| (1) | IT | 56 | 30 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 81 |
| (F) | CY | 80 | 47 | 32 | 2 | 8 | 90 |
| $\bigcirc$ | LV | 66 | 22 | 20 | 7 | 6 | 87 |
|  | LT | 62 | 26 | 16 | 7 | 15 | 78 |
|  | LU | 64 | 42 | 28 | 16 | 5 | 79 |
|  | HU | 47 | 47 | 24 | 11 | 9 | 81 |
| ( | MT | 71 | 21 | 16 | 4 | 20 | 77 |
|  | NL | 65 | 35 | 15 | 13 | 4 | 83 |
|  | AT | 55 | 36 | 17 | 18 | 7 | 75 |
|  | PL | 64 | 26 | 14 | 11 | 13 | 76 |
| 㖪 | PT | 58 | 32 | 18 | 8 | 17 | 75 |
| (1) | RO | 49 | 24 | 12 | 9 | 24 | 66 |
| $\bigcirc$ | SI | 68 | 32 | 18 | 12 | 7 | 81 |
| $\oplus$ | SK | 58 | 27 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 80 |
| 3 | FI | 67 | 45 | 32 | 6 | 3 | 90 |
| - | SE | 61 | 46 | 27 | 13 | 4 | 83 |
| (1) | UK | 75 | 29 | 20 | 7 | 8 | 85 |
|  | HR | 77 | 21 | 13 | 8 | 7 | 85 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society/index.cfm?fuseaction=public.topic\&id=1223\&lang=1,
    http://ec.europa.eu/public opinion/archives/ebs/ebs 340 en.pdf
    ${ }^{2}$ http://ec.europa.eu/research/innovation-union/index en.cfm

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ http://ec.europa.eu/public opinion/index en.htm
    ${ }^{4}$ The results tables are included in the annex. It should be noted that the total of the percentages in the tables of this report may exceed $100 \%$ when the respondent has the possibility of giving several answers to the question.

[^2]:    5 QD1 How informed do you feel about developments in science and technology? (ONE ANSWER ONLY) Very well informed; Fairly well informed; Not very well informed; Not at all informed; Don't know. New question.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ This measure is given by the summary innovation index published in the Innovation Union Scoreboard 2013 by the Directorate-General for Enterprise and Industry, European Commission. More information about the components of this indicator can be found at this address:
    http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/archives/ius2013/IUS2013.html

[^4]:    7 QD2 How interested are you in developments in science and technology? (ONE ANSWER ONLY) Very interested; Fairly interested; Not very interested; Not at all interested; Don't know. New question

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ QD3B Have you ever studied science or technology: at school, at university or in college or anywhere else? Yes, at school; Yes, at university or in college; Yes, anywhere else; No; Don't know. (Modified trend from EB73.1, question QB31)
    QB31 Have you ever studied natural science, technology or engineering: at school, in college, in the university or anywhere else? Yes, at the university; Yes, in college; yes, at school; Yes, elsewhere; No, you have never studied any of these; Don't know.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ QD3a Does or did any of your family have a job or a university qualification in science or technology? (M)
    (READ OUT - MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE) Yes, your father; Yes, your mother; Yes, another member of your family; No, no one in your family; Don't know (Modified trend from EB73.1, question QB30)
    QB30 Does/Did any of your family have a job or a university qualification in natural science, technology or engineering (for instance, physics, chemistry, biology, medicine)? Yes, your father; Yes, your mother; Yes, another member of your family; No, no one in your family; Don't know.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ QD4. Where do you get information about developments in science and technology? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE) Television; Newspapers; Magazines; Books; Radio; On websites; On social media or blogs; Other (SPONTANEOUS); You do not look for information about developments in science and technology (SPONTANEOUS); Don't know. New question.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ QD6 What is the level of involvement citizens should have when it comes to decisions made about science and technology? Citizens do not need to be involved or informed; Citizens should only be informed; Citizens should be consulted and their opinion should be considered; Citizens should participate and have an active role; Citizens' opinions should be binding; None (SPONTANEOUS); Don't know.
    New question based on EB73.1 QC4 Which of the following public involvement do you think is appropriate when it comes to decisions about science and technology? The public does not need to be involved in decisions about science and technology; Decisions about science and technology should be made by scientists, engineers and politicians, and the public should be informed about these; The public should be consulted and public opinion should only be considered when making decisions about science and technology; Public opinion should be binding when making decisions about science and technology; NGOs should be partners in scientific and technological research decisions; None (SPONTANEOUS); Don't know.

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ The results for the answers 'Citizens should be consulted and their opinion should be considered', 'Citizens should participate and have an active role' and 'Citizens' opinions should be binding' are grouped under the item name 'Public dialogue is required'.

[^10]:    * Total between 'Citizens should be consulted and their opinion should be considered', 'Citizens should participate and have an active role' and 'Citizens' opinions should be binding'

[^11]:    ${ }^{13}$ QD7 Among the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), which are the best qualified to explain the impact of scientific and technological developments on society? (MAX. 3 ANSWERS) Scientists working at a university or government laboratories; Scientists working in private company laboratories; Newspaper journalists; Television journalists; Politicians; Consumer organisations; Environmental protection associations; Industry; The military; Representatives of different religions; Government representatives; Medical doctors; Writers and intellectuals; Other (SPONTANEOUS); None (SPONTANEOUS); Don't' know.

[^12]:    ${ }^{14}$ QD5 Do you think that the overall influence of science and technology on (NATIONALITY) society is positive or negative? Very positive; Fairly positive; Fairly negative; Very negative; Don't know. New question.

[^13]:    ${ }^{15}$ QD8.7 For each of the following categories of people and organisations working in (OUR COUNTRY), do you think that they try to behave responsibly towards society by paying attention to the impact of their science and technology related activities? ASK ONLY TO SPLIT A) Scientists working at a university or government laboratories; (ASK ONLY TO SPLIT B) Scientists working in private company laboratories; (ASK ONLY TO SPLIT A) Environmental protection associations;(ASK ONLY TO SPLIT B) Consumer organisations; Journalists; Government representatives; Industry. Answer possibilities: Yes, definitely; Yes, somewhat; No, not really; No, not at all; Don't know. New question.

[^14]:    Base: asked only to Split $B=13.300$ respondents in EU27

[^15]:    * Total 'Citizens should be consulted and their opinion should be considered', 'Citizens should participate and have an active role' and 'Citizens' opinions should be binding'

[^16]:    ${ }^{16}$ QD9 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree (ASK ONLY TO SPLIT A) Science and technology make our lives easier, more comfortable and healthier (M) (ASK ONLY TO SPLIT B) Science and technology make our lives healthier (M).
    Question items modified compared to last wave based on QC6 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.(ASK ONLY TO SPLIT A) Science and technology make our lives healthier, easier and more comfortable; (ASK ONLY TO SPLIT B) Science and technology are making our lives healthier
    Answer possibilities: Totally agree; Tend to agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Tend to disagree; Totally disagree; Don't know.

[^17]:    ${ }^{17}$ QD9.3 We depend too much on science and not enough on faith.

[^18]:    ${ }^{18}$ QD9.5 Thanks to science and technology, there will be more opportunities for future generations.
    QD9.9 If we attach too much importance to risks that are not yet fully understood, we could miss out on technological progress.

[^19]:    ${ }^{19}$ QD9.4 Science makes our ways of life change too fast.
    QD9.7 Science and technology could be used by terrorists in the future.
    QD9.8 Scientific and technological developments can have unforeseen side-effects that are harmful to human health and the environment (New)

[^20]:    ${ }^{20}$ QD9.6 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about science, technology or the environment. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree. The applications of science and technology can threaten human rights.

[^21]:    ${ }^{21}$ Evolutions between -3 and +3 are considered as stable.

[^22]:    ${ }^{22}$ QD10 Do you think that science and technology should be allowed to violate fundamental rights and moral principles in order to make a new discovery? Yes, in all cases; Yes, in certain cases; No; Don't know. New question.

[^23]:    ${ }^{23}$ QD11.1 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree: European funding of scientific research outside the EU should be forbidden if that research would be illegal in the EU. New question.

[^24]:    ${ }^{24}$ QD11.2 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree: The EU should actively promote that European ethical principles for conducting scientific research are respected all over the world. New question.

[^25]:    ${ }^{25}$ QD11.4 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree. In order to address ethical risks raised in new technologies like biotechnologies, measures should be taken at the European level. New question.

[^26]:    ${ }^{26}$ QD11.3 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree. Respect for ethics and fundamental rights guarantees that scientific research and technological innovations will meet citizens' expectations. New question.

[^27]:    ${ }^{27}$ QD11.5 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree. All researchers should receive mandatory training on scientific research ethics (e.g. on privacy, animal welfare, etc.). New question.

[^28]:    ${ }^{28}$ QD11.6 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree. Like medical doctors, all young scientists should take an oath to respect ethical principles and relevant legislation. New question.

[^29]:    ${ }^{29}$ QD11.7 I would like to read out some statements about ethics and science. For each of them, please tell me how much you agree or disagree. Scientific experts should be obliged to openly declare possible conflicts of interest, such as their sources of funding, when they are advising public authorities. New question.

[^30]:    ${ }^{30}$ QD12. In your opinion, is the (NATIONALITY) Government doing too much, enough or too little to stimulate young people's interest in science? (ONE ANSWER ONLY) Too much; Enough; Too little; Don't know.

[^31]:    Question：CD12 in your opinian，a the（NATIONMUTY）Sovemment doing too much enough or too itile to stimulate young people＇s interest in science？

    Answers：Too liftle

[^32]:    ${ }^{31}$ QD13 I would like to read out some statements that people have made about young people's interest in science. For each statement, please tell me how much you agree or disagree.
    Young people interested in science have better chances of getting a job; By being interested in science, young people also improve their culture; Science prepares the younger generation to act as well-informed citizens.

[^33]:    ${ }^{32}$ QD14 How important do you think scientific education is in stimulating young people's creative thinking (ONE ANSWER ONLY): Very important; Fairly important; Not very important; Not at all important; Don't know. New question.

[^34]:    ${ }^{33}$ QD15 How important do you think it is that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs? (ONE ANSWER ONLY): Very important; Fairly important; Not very important; Not at all important; Don't know. New question.

[^35]:    ${ }^{34}$ QD16 Why do you think it is important that scientific research takes equally into account women's and men's needs? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE): To improve the quality of scientific research; To make technological innovations better suited to both women and men; To foster more innovations in science and technology; To respect gender equality in general; Other (SPONTANEOUS); None (SPONTANEOUS). New question.

[^36]:    ${ }^{35}$ QD17 Do you think that the results of publicly funded research should be made available online free of charge? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE): Yes, to the general public; Yes, to other researchers;
    Yes, to industries; No; Don't know. New question.

