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## Beyond the predictable: demographic changes in the EU up to 2050


#### Abstract

The European Union has had a number of demographic surprises over the last 30 years. Fertility levels have dropped dramatically, life expectancy has continued to increase strongly and most Member States of the EU have become immigration countries. Consequently, the number of young people has declined significantly (by around 20\%), whereas both those of working age and the elderly continued to grow in number.

What might happen in the next 50 years? Will the EU soon be confronted with a shrinking working age population? Will the under-20s be outnumbered by the over-60s? What can we say about the future demographic differences between EU countries?

These and other questions are answered in this bulletin. Based upon five different but internationally consistent long-term population scenarios, a dozen principal future demographic trends are presented. A summary table concerning the key-assumptions of the scenarios can be found in the middle of this publication; a brief technical explanation is given at the end.


The EU's share in world population will continue to diminish

With its 373 million inhabitants on 1 January 1996, the European Union is the third largest world demographic power after China (1.204 billion) and India ( 944 million). It is ahead of the United States (264 million).

However, the EU's share in world population is diminishing. In 1950 the EU embraced almost $12 \%$ of mankind. Currently that figure is less than $7 \%$, and if current fertility, mortality and international migration trends persist (baseline scenario), by the year 2050 no more than $4 \%$ will live in the EU (Figure 1). Even if fertility recovers and net migration returns to somewhat higher levels than actually observed (high scenario), this proportion will further decline.

Figure 1
EU population as a \% of total world population
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## Towards a shrinking population?

Figure 2 demonstrates that sooner or later total EU population will stagnate and decline. According to the low scenario, 'depopulation' will already occur within ten years, and by the year 2050 the total number of inhabitants will be very close to that observed in 1950.

The baseline scenario expects a population to peak around 2025, and a total population in 2050 close to the current one. Only the high scenario foresees a continuous increase over the next five decades, resulting in an EU population of 444 million in 2050 . This is $20 \%$ more than the current population.

Within the Union, future population growth will be far from uniform. According to the baseline scenario, Italy will be already confronted with a population decline within 12 years, whilst both Luxembourg and Sweden will escape this experience (Figure 3).

Figure 2
Total population - EUR 15


Figure 3
First calendar year of population decline - baseline scenario


Table 1
Population at 1 January

|  | $\frac{1905}{\text { OBSERVEO }}$ | 2000 |  |  | 2020 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOW | BASELINE | HIGH | LOW | BASELINE | HIGH | LOW | BASELINE | HIGH |
|  | (1000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUR 15 | 571575 | 373 209 | 376951 | 380545 | 363785 | 389.222 | 416382 | 303475 | 367.127 | 444882 |
| Beigium | 101315278 | 10.931 | 10.252 | 103325365 | 0888 | 10658 | 11.270 | 8378 | 10.488 | 12142 |
| Denmark |  | \& 271 | 5421 |  | 6075 | 55.5 | 5280 | 4296 | 5542 | 6675 |
| Giermany | $\begin{array}{r}5278 \\ \hline 1520\end{array}$ | 62.23 | 33: 23 | 84013 | 79374 | 84870 | 81 859 | 03420 | 7750 | 94885 |
| creoce | 15443 | 10535 | 10.643 | 10720 | 10.460 | 112019 | 11900 | 9050 | 19248 | 12978 |
| Spain | 32157 | 令 C 碞 | \$3 5is | 39 945 | 37809 | ©2307 | 43504 | $30<51$ | 35738 | 45120 |
| France | 58000 | 53 615 | 58179 | 59710 | 59307 | 68835 | 66896 | 52349 | 62563 | 73940 |
| Incisnid | 3510 | $15 / 4$ | 3625 | 3661 | 3652 | 3909 | 4248 | 3096 | 3818 | 4756 |
| "aty | 57269 | 56.911 | 57483 | 57597 | 52783 | 6554.3 | 60234 | 40457 | 49287 | 59538 |
| Lurembioun | 497 | 420 | 438 | $4 \pm 5$ | $\operatorname{ces}$ | 505 | 555 | S\%8 | 563 | 714 |
| Netheriands | 15424 | 15 ten | 15. 0 ¢ | 15 582 | 15819 | 17204 | 18319 | -3747 | 17564 | 20719 |
| Ausina | \% 040 | - 0 ¢076 | 8145 | 8234 | 7882 | 84.3 | ¢ 231 | 6630 | E24 | 10.340 |
| Portugal | 7912 | 9911 | 8993 | 10085 | 9808 | 10513 | $11205$ | $8892$ | $10589$ | 22621 |
| Finiand | $5090$ence | 5125 | 5:78 | $5231$ | 5.503 | 5380 | 5.777 | C 178 | 5078 | $6210$ |
| Swosen |  |  | 8932 | 9034 | 8789 | 9470 | 10.248 | 7954 | 16.588 | 72210 |
| Unsed Kangdem | 58504 | So 8 ms | 50260 | 89785 | 58.013 | 65088 | 65325 | 50430 | 593.5 | 7196 |
| Iontand | 267 | 276 | 278 | 279 | 294 | 311 | 320 | 280 | $31:$ | 352 |
| Luctispnsino | 31 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 75 | 38 | 26 | 33 | 42 |
| Norway | 4348 | 4427 | 4462 | 4495 | 4494 | 4831 | 5186 | C $0 \% 5$ | 5161 | 6053 |
| EEA | 376221 | $3785 \% 9$ | 38:733 | 385331 | 368605 | 328423 | 421982 | 307855 | 373234 | 451290 |

## Deaths will outnumber births

The principal reason why the EU population will start to decline is the 'births deficit' of postwar generations. People born after 1945 have or are expected to have too few children to replace themselves. Therefore, sooner or later the number of deaths will start to exceed the number of live births (Figure 4).

If fertility levels continue to decrease (low scenario), this demographic break-even point will already have been reached in 1997. If fertility recovers considerably, to levels of about 1.95 children per woman (high scenario), natural population loss will not appear in the next 3-4 decades.

Several EU countries have already experienced natural decrease. Germany has been confronted with such a situation continuously since 1972. Currently Italy, too, is iosing population through natural decrease.
In the short and medium term all the southern Member States will probably follow, as will Austria and Denmark. In the long run all other EU countries will face natural population decline.

Figure 4 Live births minus deaths - EUR 15


## Migration will continue to play a rnajor role

Since the mid-1980s international migration has rapidly gained importance as a component of population growth. During the period 1990-1994, net migration (immigrants less emigrants) to the EU amounted to well over 5 million people. The contribution of migration to population growth rose to around $70 \%$.
In all scenarios it is expected that Europe will remain an attractive region for immigrants. Basically depending on economic developments and migration policies, net migration will in the medium and long run vary between 400 and 800 thousand persons a year (Figure 5),
Due to diminishing economic disparities and the introduction of some kind of burden sharing for asylum seekers, the southern Member States will probably get a larger proportion of the total inflow. However, Germany will continue to be the most popular immigration country (Table 3).

Figure 5
Net migration ${ }^{1}$ - EUR 15


BASELINE LOW HIGH
${ }^{1}$ For the period 1950-1994 calculated as total population growth minus live births plus deaths

## Decline in total fertility will stop

During the last 30 years the EU reproductive patterns changed considerably. A fast and still-growing proportion of women had few children and postponed motherhood. As a result the total fertility rate, which is affected by both the number and timing of births, has declined sharply to historically low levels of around 1.45 children per woman (Figure 6).
This trend will soon come to an end. If the vast majority of women born during the 1960 s and 1970s are able to realise their family ambitions and therefore catch up rapidly with postponed births (high scenario), the total fertility rate could rapidly rise to levels very close to the observed average family size of women born in the early 1950s: 1.95 children per woman.

If these generations never catch up, and consequently around $30 \%$ of the women remain childless (low scenario), the total fertility rate will hardly change at all.

In all scenarios it is assumed that current international fertility differences will persist. Therefore, in the long run the highest total fertility rates are expected in Finland, France, Ireland, Sweden and United Kingdom, and the lowest in Germany, Italy and Spain (Table 3).

## Life expectancy will continue to increase; gender gap might diminish somewhat

Since 1945 life expectancy at birth in the EU has increased almost continuously. The total gain amounts to well over 10 years. Based on the recently observed mortality rates, women and men are expected to live on average 80 and 73.5 years respectively (Figure 7 ).

During the next 50 years a further fairly substantial increase in longevity might occur. If medicines, preventive and curative health services, healthy life-styles, etc. continue to improve (high scenario), life expectancy at birth for women might reach around 87 years in 2050. For men maximum levels of around 83 years seem plausible, so that the gender gap might diminish somewhat.

However, if the further development of positive influences on mortality slows down shortly and is increasingly counteracted by negative influences such as cigarettesmoking and stress, progress in life expectancy could start to stagnate and the gender gap would then not narrow (low scenario).

Current differences between countries in life expectancies are assumed to remain stable (low scenario) or to narrow somewhat (baseline and high scenarios). So, Sweden and France (females only) continue to be the EU countries with the highest life expectancies, whereas the lowest levels are expected in Denmark, Ireland, Portugal and Finland (males only) (Table 3).

Figure 6
Total fertility rate - EUR 15



Figure 7
Life expectancy at birth - EUR 15


## Fewer young people?

During the period 1975-1995 the number of people aged under 20 within the EU dropped from 110 million to 90 million (Figure 8)

If current low fertility levels persist, a further reduction will take place. In this case, in 2050 the Union will comprise no more than 52 million young people. However, if fertility catches up strongly, the number of young people in the EU could steadily rise to a level of almost 100 million in 2020.

The share of the young in the total population, currently $24 \%$. will certainly continue to decline up to the year 2000 (Table 2). Thereafter, this process of 'dejuvenation'

Figure 8
Population aged under 20 - EUR 15

might stop. By 2050 the proportion of young people could lie between 16 and $24 \%$.

According to the baseline scenario almost all EU countries will lose young people during the period 1995-2020 (Figure 9). Ireland in particular will be confronted with a steep decline. Luxembourg. on the other hand, seems to escape any further decline.

The Irish population is currently by far the youngest of the Union ( $34 \%$ ). whereas Germany and Italy are the most dejuvenated countries ( $21 \%$ ). In the coming decades this difference will certainly diminish. By the year 2050. Finland, Ireland and Sweden could be the youngest countries ( $18-26 \%$ ), and Italy and Spain the most dejuvenated (14-22\%).

Figure 9
Population aged under 20: change 1995-2020 (\%)


Table 2
Population aged under 20 as a \% of total population

|  | $\frac{1995}{\text { OBSERVED }}$ | 2000 |  |  | 2020 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 010 | BASELINE | YOUNG | OLD | BASELINE | YOUNG | OLD | BASELINE | roung |
| EUR 15 | 239 | 228 | 23. | 235 | 13 | 21 | 24 | 16 | 19 | 24 |
| Eopurn | 24. | 23.3 | 23.7 | 240 | $\because$ | 22 | 24 | 16 | 21 | 25 |
| Dermerk | 236 | 233 | 238 | 24 : | +1) | 22 | 25 | 17 | 22 | 25 |
| Gertasty | 29.6 | 292 | 21.8 | 220 | 4 | 19 | 2 | 14 | 58 | 23 |
| Oroect | 24.4 | 22.9 | 225 | $22 ?$ | 18 | 22 | 24 | 15 | 20 | 23 |
| Soun | 250 | 29.6 | 219 | 22.4 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 14 | 58 | 22 |
| Finse | 26 ! | 251 | 254 | 88 | 25 | 23 | 20 | 17 | 21 | $28$ |
| liciang | 33.9 | 357 | 310 | 312 | 23 | 25 | 29 | 18 | 21 | 20 |
| ialy | 21.5 | 136 | 200 | 205 | $\cdot 5$ | -9 | 22 | 4 | 97 | 22 |
| Luveniboung | 238 | 24. | 208 | 252 | 17 | 22 | 25 | : 7 | 22 | $20$ |
| Neprormas | 224 | 239 | 244 | 246 | $\because$ | 22 | 24 | 17 | 21 | 25 |
| Aushar | 333 | 22.7 | 73. | 235 | 97 | 30 | 23 | 15 | -9 | 3 |
| Posupa | 26 : | 23.2 | 236 | 239 | 20 | 22 | 25 | 15 | 21 | 24 |
| Fricio | 255 | 246 | 249 | 252 | 20 | 22 | 25 | 18 | 21 | 26 |
| Swtoen | $2: 7$ | 244 | 247 | $25 .$ | $25$ | 23 | $25$ | 18 | $22$ | $16$ |
| Uned kngosm | 253 | 25. | 25.4 | 258 | 29 | 22 | 25 | 17 | 21 | 20 |
| terens | 32.4 | 355 | 30.9 | $3: 0$ | 22 | 26 | 28 | 97 | $\overline{\text { E }}$ | 24 |
| Uectiensmon | 25.4 | 24.1 | 245 | 250 | 17 | 20 | 23 | 14 | 18 | 23 |
| Nernusy | 25 \% | 25.5 | 25.9 | 20.2 | 20 | 23 | 25 | 10 | 22 | 25 |
| EEA | 239 | 228 | 23. | 23.6 | 18 | 2. | 24 | 36 | 19 | 24 |

Table 3: Key-assumptions used for long-term population scenarios

|  | EUR15 | B | DK | D | EL | E | F | IRL | I | L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total fertility rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOW/OLD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 1.41 | 1.51 | 1.72 | 1.24 | 1.34 | 1.22 | 1.64 | 1.86 | 1.18 | 1.67 |
| 2000 | 1.40 | 1.46 | 1.55 | 1.26 | 1.36 | 1.22 | 1.62 | 1.67 | 1.20 | 1.55 |
| 2020 | 1.43 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.30 | 1.40 | 1.28 | 1.55 | 1.60 | 1.27 | 1.50 |
| 2050 | 1.45 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.30 | 1.40 | 1.30 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.30 | 1.50 |
| BASELINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 1.45 | 1.57 | 1.79 | 1.28 | 1.40 | 1.24 | 1.66 | 1.90 | 1.22 | 1.71 |
| 2000 | 1.55 | 1.67 | 1.77 | 1.41 | 1.59 | 1.36 | 1.73 | 1.83 | 1.37 | 1.72 |
| 2020 | 1.65 | 1.80 | 1.79 | 1.50 | 1.70 | 1.50 | 1.80 | 1.79 | 1.50 | 1.79 |
| 2050 | 1.66 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.50 | 1.70 | 1.50 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.50 | 1.80 |
| high/young |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 1.50 | 1.60 | 1.82 | 1.33 | 1.43 | 1.30 | 1.72 | 1.94 | 1.27 | 1.74 |
| 2000 | 1.75 | 1.81 | 1.94 | 1.62 | 1.72 | 1.59 | 1.97 | 2.02 | 1.58 | 1.89 |
| 2020 | 1.94 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.80 | 1.90 | 1.80 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 1.80 | 2.00 |
| 2050 | 1.94 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.80 | 1.90 | 1.80 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 1.80 | 2.00 |

Life expectancy at birth, males
Lownoung

| 1995 | 73.5 | 73.3 | 72.6 | 72.9 | 75.0 | 73.6 | 73.6 | 72.7 | 74.2 | 72.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 73.9 | 74.0 | 72.9 | 73.3 | 75.5 | 73.6 | 74.0 | 73.2 | 74.3 | 73.6 |
| 2020 | 75.3 | 75.5 | 74.0 | 74.7 | 76.8 | 74.5 | 75.6 | 74.5 | 75.6 | 75.2 |
| 2050 | 75.8 | 76.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 77.5 | 75.5 | 76.0 | 75.0 | 76.0 | 75.5 |
| baseline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 73.9 | 73.6 | 72.9 | 73.4 | 75.3 | 74.0 | 74.0 | 73.0 | 74.8 | 72.9 |
| 2000 | 74.7 | 74.8 | 73.7 | 74.1 | 76.3 | 74.4 | 74.8 | 74.0 | 75.1 | 74.4 |
| 2020 | 77.8 | 78.7 | 77.1 | 77.4 | 79.4 | 76.7 | 78.3 | 77.2 | 78.3 | 78.8 |
| 2050 | 79.7 | 80.0 | 79.0 | 79.0 | 81.0 | 79.0 | 80.0 | 79.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 |
| highold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 74.3 | 73.9 | 73.3 | 73.7 | 75.6 | 74.5 | 74.3 | 73.4 | 75.1 | 73.2 |
| 2000 | 75.5 | 75.6 | 74.6 | 75.0 | 77.0 | 75.3 | 75.6 | 74.9 | 75.9 | 75.3 |
| 2020 | 80.2 | 80.8 | 79.5 | 79.8 | 81.8 | 79.8 | 80.3 | 79.6 | 80.4 | 80.7 |
| 2050 | 82.7 | 83.0 | 82.0 | 82.0 | 84.0 | 82.0 | 83.0 | 82.0 | 83.0 | 83.0 |

Life expectancy at birth, females
Lowroung

| 1995 | 80.1 | 80.0 | 77.8 | 79.4 | 80.0 | 81.1 | 81.6 | 78.3 | 80.9 | 79.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 80.5 | 80.7 | 77.9 | 79.8 | 80.5 | 81.2 | 82.2 | 78.8 | 81.1 | 79.7 |
| 2020 | 81.7 | 81.7 | 78.6 | 81.1 | 81.7 | 82.2 | 83.6 | 80.0 | 82.1 | 81.0 |
| 2050 | 82.2 | 82.0 | 79.5 | 81.5 | 82.0 | 82.5 | 84.0 | 80.5 | 82.5 | 81.5 |
| baseline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 80.4 | 80.2 | 78.0 | 79.7 | 80.2 | 81.4 | 81.9 | 78.5 | 81.3 | 79.4 |
| 2000 | 81.1 | 81.3 | 78.5 | 80.4 | 81.1 | 81.8 | 82.8 | 79.4 | 81.7 | 80.3 |
| 2020 | 83.6 | 84.2 | 80.8 | 82.9 | 83.6 | 84.0 | 85.4 | 82.3 | 84.0 | 83.4 |
| 2050 | 85.1 | 85.0 | 83.0 | 84.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 87.0 | 84.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 |
| HIGH/OLD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 80.7 | 80.4 | 78.3 | 80.0 | 80.4 | 81.7 | 82.2 | 78.8 | 81.5 | 79.7 |
| 2000 | 81.7 | 81.9 | 79.3 | 81.1 | 81.7 | 82.3 | 83.2 | 80.2 | 82.2 | 81.0 |
| 2020 | 85.1 | 85.7 | 83.1 | 84.4 | 85.1 | 85.4 | 86.6 | 84.1 | 85.4 | 85.3 |
| 2050 | 86.9 | 87.0 | 85.0 | 86.0 | 87.0 | 87.0 | 88.0 | 86.0 | 87.0 | 87.0 |

Net migration (1000)
LOWIOLD

| 1995 | 647.1 | 15.0 | 27.6 | 390.0 | 25.0 | 18.3 | 40.0 | -10.0 | 20.0 | 4.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 410.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 300.0 | 13.8 | 4.9 | 20.4 | -10.0 | 20.0 | 2.0 |
| 2020 | 396.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 150.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | -5.0 | 60.0 | 1.0 |
| 2050 | 396.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 150.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | -5.0 | 60.0 | 1.0 |
| BASELINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 761.7 | 18.0 | 28.6 | 420.0 | 30.0 | 28.5 | 50.0 | -8.4 | 50.0 | 4.6 |
| 2000 | 679.3 | 10.2 | 11.0 | 390.6 | 21.7 | 31.1 | 50.1 | -7.7 | 50.0 | 3.1 |
| 2020 | 591.8 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 200.0 | 25.0 | 60.0 | 50.0 | -2.7 | 80.0 | 2.0 |
| 2050 | 591.8 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 200.0 | 25.0 | 60.0 | 50.0 | -2.7 | 80.0 | 2.0 |
| HIGH/YOUNG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 869.7 | 21.0 | 29.6 | 450.0 | 35.0 | 38.7 | 60.0 | -6.8 | 80.0 | 5.1 |
| 2000 | 1009.9 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 500.0 | 29.5 | 57.2 | 79.8 | -3.4 | 80.0 | 4.3 |
| 2020 | 787.6 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 250.0 | 30.0 | 80.0 | 70.0 | -0.4 | 100.0 | 3.0 |
| 2050 | 787.6 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 250.0 | 30.0 | 80.0 | 70.0 | -0.4 | 100.0 | 3.0 |



Total fertility rate

| 1.52 | 1.36 | 1.40 | 1.80 | 1.70 | 1.68 | 2.07 | 1.36 | 1.82 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.47 | 1.34 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.63 | 1.59 | 1.87 | 1.34 | 1.65 |
| 1.50 | 1.37 | 1.40 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.80 | 1.37 | 1.60 |
| 1.50 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.80 | 1.40 | 1.60 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1.84 | 1.74 | 1.73 | 2.12 |
| 1.58 | 1.39 | 1.45 | 1.39 | 1.85 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.67 | 1.52 | 1.53 | 1.84 | 1.81 | 1.72 | 2.08 | 1.52 | 1.85 |
| 1.80 | 1.60 | 1.69 | 1.80 | 1.90 | 1.79 | 2.08 | 1.60 | 1.89 |
| 1.80 | 1.60 | 1.70 | 1.80 | 1.90 | 1.80 | 2.10 | 1.60 | 1.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.59 | 1.44 | 1.48 | 1.89 | 1.78 | 1.77 | 2.15 | 1.44 | 1.90 |
| 1.74 | 1.71 | 1.67 | 2.03 | 1.98 | 1.94 | 2.24 | 1.71 | 1.96 |
| 1.99 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 2.30 | 1.90 | 2.09 |
| 2.00 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 2.30 | 1.90 | 2.10 |

1.42
1.40
1.43
1.45
1.46
1.55
1.66
1.67

1.50
1.75
1.94
1.95

LOW/OLD

Life expectancy at birth, males
LOW/YOUNG


| 74.4 | 73.2 | 70.7 | 72.0 | 75.6 | 73.7 | 76.0 | 74.9 | 74.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 74.7 | 73.7 | 71.1 | 72.5 | 76.2 | 74.4 | 76.5 | 75.2 | 75.0 |
| 75.7 | 75.2 | 72.3 | 74.0 | 77.7 | 76.0 | 77.9 | 76.3 | 76.4 |


| 76.5 | 75.5 | 73.0 | 74.5 | 78.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 74.6 | 73.6 | 71.0 | 72.3 | 75.9 | 74.1 | 76.4 | 75.2 | 74.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 75.5 | 74.5 | 71.9 | 73.3 | 77.0 | 75.2 | 77.3 | 76.0 | 75.8 |
| 78.2 | 76.6 | 75.3 | 76.6 | 78.9 | 78.3 | 80.2 | 78.7 | 79.0 |


| 80.0 | 80.0 | 78.0 | 79.0 | 82.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 74.9 | 74.0 | 71.4 | 72.7 | 76.4 | 74.5 | 76.7 | 75.5 | 75.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 76.3 | 75.3 | 72.9 | 74.3 | 77.6 | 76.0 | 77.9 | 76.7 | 76.5 |
| 80.8 | 80.3 | 78.5 | 79.5 | 80.4 | 80.4 | 82.4 | 81.6 | 81.3 |
| 83.0 | 83.0 | 82.0 | 82.0 | 85.0 | 83.0 | 85.0 | 84.0 | 84.0 |

Life expectancy at birth, females
LOW/YOUNG

| 80.3 | 79.6 | 78.0 | 79.6 | 80.8 | 79.2 | 81.6 | 81.5 | 80.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 80.5 | 80.1 | 78.4 | 80.1 | 81.2 | 79.7 | 82.5 | 81.9 | 80.7 |
| 81.3 | 81.2 | 79.6 | 81.2 | 82.5 | 81.0 | 83.8 | 83.1 | 81.7 |
| 82.0 | 81.5 | 80.0 | 81.5 | 83.0 | 81.5 | 84.0 | 83.5 | 82.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80.5 | 79.9 | 78.2 | 79.8 | 81.3 | 79.5 | 81.9 | 81.7 | 80.6 |
| 81.1 | 80.7 | 79.0 | 80.7 | 81.8 | 80.3 | 83.1 | 82.5 | 81.3 |
| 83.3 | 82.4 | 81.9 | 83.3 | 83.4 | 83.2 | 86.0 | 84.8 | 83.6 |
| 85.0 | 85.0 | 84.0 | 85.0 | 86.0 | 85.0 | 87.0 | 86.0 | 85.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80.7 | 80.2 | 78.5 | 80.1 | 81.5 | 79.8 | 82.1 | 81.9 | 80.8 |
| 81.7 | 81.4 | 79.8 | 81.4 | 82.3 | 81.0 | 83.5 | 82.9 | 81.8 |
| 84.9 | 84.9 | 83.5 | 85.1 | 86.3 | 84.6 | 87.1 | 87.0 | 85.9 |
| 87.0 | 87.0 | 86.0 | 87.0 | 88.0 | 87.0 | 88.0 | 88.0 | 87.0 |


| 80.1 |
| :--- |
| 80.5 |
| 81.7 |
| 82.2 |
|  |
| 80.4 |
| 81.1 |
| 83.6 |
| 85.1 |
|  |
| 80.7 |
| 81.7 |
| 85.1 |
| 86.9 |

1995
2000
2020
2050
BASELINE
1995
2000
2020
2050
HIGH/OLD
1995
2000
2020
2050

Net migration (1000)
LOW/OLD

| 13.0 | 12.1 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 11.5 | 73.0 | -1.3 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 652.4 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.0 | 9.9 | 5.8 | -0.5 | 6.3 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 414.8 | 2000 |
| 20.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 400.0 | 2020 |
| 20.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 400.0 | 2050 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BASELINE |
| 13.5 | 13.3 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 12.0 | 93.0 | -1.4 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 767.3 | 1995 |
| 33.4 | 14.8 | 12.1 | 5.6 | 15.2 | 38.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 8.4 | 687.9 | 2000 |
| 35.0 | 22.5 | 25.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 45.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 8.0 | 600.0 | 2020 |
| 35.0 | 22.5 | 25.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 45.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 8.0 | 600.0 | 2050 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HIGH/YOUNG |
| 14.0 | 17.2 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 13.5 | 103.0 | -1.5 | 0.1 | 7.5 | 875.9 | 1995 |
| 56.8 | 26.4 | 28.6 | 11.7 | 32.0 | 73.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 12.9 | 1023.2 | 2000 |
| 50.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 10.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 12.0 | 800.0 | 2020 |
| 50.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 10.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 12.0 | 800.0 | 2050 |

## The working age population will sooner or later decline

For decades the Union possessed a strongly increasing working age population (Figure 10). Since the mid 1970's average growth has amounted almost 1.5 million people a year.

In the near future this growth will slow down. Heavily depending on the net inflow of migrants, the average annual increase will drop to levels between 0.2 and 0.6 million people.

Figure 10
Population aged 20-59 - EUR 15


Immediately after 2005, when the first, large postwar 'baby-boom' generations are leaving the working-age population, a fairly long period of decline will start. A stabilisation may take place around 2035, but only if fertility recovers structurally and net migration continues at rather high levels.
Within the Union probably all countries except Luxembourg will sooner or later be affected by this new demographic trend (Figure 11). Italy in particular might very soon be confronted with a sharply declining potential labour force.

Figure 11
Population aged 20-59: change 1995-2020 (\%)


Table 4
Population aged 20-59 years

|  | 1995 | 2000 |  |  | 2020 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OBSEAVED | LOW | BASELINE | HIGH | Low | BASELINE | HIGH | LOW | BASELINE | HIGH |
|  | (1000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUR 15 | 206170 | 208123 | 206813 | 209504 | 197443 | 253550 | 210383 | 145747 | 172425 | $2: 6357$ |
| Belgum | 5533 | 5577 | 5583 | 5659 | 5269 | 5430 | 5592 | 4035 | 4950 | 5670 |
| Denmisk: | 2949 | 3003 | 3011 | 3020 | 2764 | 2 895 | 3015 | 2206 | 2700 | 3267 |
| Gormatr | 47.113 | 46.249 | 464.37 | 46650 | 4) $5 \pi 7$ | 45210 | 47065 | 30958 | 36734 | 44538 |
| crimen | 5645 | 5735 | 5.813 | 51435 | 3, ens | 5818 | 5973 | 4297 | 524.4 | 3900 |
| Scoun | 21350 | 22518 | 22394 | 2248 ! | 2: 115 | (2) 716 | 22450 | 19857 | 16597 | 20019 |
| France | 31246 | 31942 | $32 \mathrm{cos}$ | $2121$ | $3.163$ | $\text { 3) } 847$ | $32703$ | 2476 | 29 7.7 | $340 \%$ |
| Ireand | 1318 | 1920 | T 808 | $1957$ | 2002 | 2091 | $2174$ | 1402 | 1786 | 2203 |
| litaly | 32253 | 32.193 | 32.290 | 22028 | 23.41 | 29444 | 30.263 | 18.877 | 72485 | 26.951 |
| Lexpmitourg | 232 | 240 | 253 | 245 | 253 | 288 | 288 | 257 | 272 | 352 |
| Netrosencts | (1337 | 9006 | P112 | 9128 | $3 \times 42$ | 8. P 88 | 9362 | 6. 812 | a 2 1e | 2829 |
| Austra | 4378 | 4618 | 4685 | 4651 | 4418 | 457 | 4313 | 3235 | 3789 | 4829 |
| Pont-gal | 5356 | 5301 | 5.670 | 5060 | 5182 | 5646 | $5956$ | 4.207 | $5: 27$ | 5922 |
| Finiand | $2016$ | 28083 | 2875 | $2 \mathrm{BA}$ | $2578$ | 2 701 | $2752$ | 2081 | 24.44 | 2950 |
| Smpden | 6807 | 4750 | 4765 | 4797 | + 579 | 4789 | 5069 | 3949 | 4215 | 5071 |
| Uniond Kingdom | 31690 | 32023 | 32115 | 32197 | 31450 | 12131 | 30040 | 24842 | 24.230 | 30674 |
| loeand | 140 | 148 | 143 | 148 | 153 | 156 | 157 | 18 | 736 | . 52 |
| Lesherdson | 18 | :9 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 11 | 15 | 80 |
| Nocray | 2357 | 2536 | 2445 | 2453 | 2419 | 2,520 | 2814 | 2640 | 2507 | 2.893 |
| EEA | 208686 | 210.786 | 211425 | 212168 | 200603 | 256244 | 213173 | 147916 | 175483 | $20042:$ |

## Ageing will accelerate

Apart from a short period of stagnation at the end of the 1970 s, the EU population aged 60 and over has increased continuously since 1950 (Figure 12). Currently the annual growth of the elderly population fluctuates around a level of 0.8 million persons, or $1 \%$.
Up to 2005 this growth rate will hardly change. However, as soon as the 'baby-boomers' start to enter this age group, the annual increase will shift to levels of around 1.1 million people. This will remain the case until the less numerous 'baby-bust' generations born in the early 1970 s pass the age of 60 .

Figure 12
Population aged 60 and over - EUR 15


The ever-increasing share of the elderly in the total EU population will also accelerate during the period 20052030. From $21 \%$ now and $22 \%$ in 2005 , it is expected to rise to a level of around $27 \%$ in the year 2020 (Table 5). By 2050 the proportion might lie between 27-40\%.

In all EU countries the number of old people will increase considerably (Figure 13). Particularly in the currently least aged Member States of the Union, Finland, Ireland, Luxembourg and Netherlands, the elderly population will grow rapidly. However, by 2050 Italy and Spain are expected to be the most aged EU countries ( $30-44 \%$ ).

Figure 13
Population aged 60 and over: increase 1995-2020 (\%)


Table 5
Population aged 60 and over as a \% of total population

|  | $\frac{1995}{\text { OBSERVED }}$ | 2000 |  |  | 2020 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | YOUNG | BASELINE | OLO | YOUNG | BASELINE | OLD | YOUNG | BASELINE | OLO |
| EUR 15 | 20.6 | 212 | 215 | 219 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 27 | 34 | 40 |
| Belgum | 21.3 | 216 | 2,8 | 229 | 25 | 28 | 30 | 25 | 32 | 33 |
| Denmark | 199 | 19.4 | 196 | 19.9 | 23 | 26 | 28 | 23 | 29 | 35 |
| Germany | 207 | 22.2 | 22.6 | 829 | 25 | 28 | 35 | 27 | 34 | 85 |
| Greose | 215 | 227 | 22.9 | 232 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 23 | 33 | 85 |
| Span | 20.6 | 212 | 21,5 | 2:3 | 24 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 37 | 4 |
| Franse | 200 | 202 | 20.5 | 207 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 26 | 33 | 33 |
| freland | 963 | 15.3 | 156 | 158 | 12 | 22 | 24 | 25 | 82 | 39 |
| lialy | 222 | 23.4 | 238 | 240 | 27 | 29 | 32 | 32 | 37 | S4 |
| Luxembourg | 191 | 189 | 192 | 195 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 23 | 29 | 35 |
| Notheriands | 177 | 180 | 182 | 185 | 24 | 26 | 29 | 25 | 32 | 37 |
| Austra | 198 | 195 | 291 | 204 | 23 | 26 | 28 | 25 | 33 | 45 |
| Portugal | 198 | 20.3 | 20.5 | 209 | 22 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 35 | 38 |
| Finising | 189 | 195 | 19 ? | 198 | 26 | 28 | 39 | 25 | 35 | 35 |
| Swedos | 221 | 215 | 21.9 | 22.2 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 24 | 29 | 35 |
| United Kongtom | 205 | 202 | 205 | 20.7 | 23 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 32 | 37 |
| Iceland | 15.0 | 159 | 160 | 160 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 30 | 56 | 41 |
| Liecntonsten | 149 | 152 | 155 | 158 | 24 | 27 | S0 | 28 | S6 | 4.4 |
| Now故 | 20.4 | 49. | 493 | 19.6 | 23 | 25 | 27 | 23 | 49 | 98 |
| EEA | 20.6 | 21.2 | 215 | 218 | 24 | 27 | 29 | 27 | 34 | 45 |

Both the working age and the elderly population will become older

During the next 20 years the age structure of the EU population aged $20-59$ will change dramatically (Figure 14). At present about $45 \%$ of the working age population is more than 40 years old. By 2015 this proportion will reach levels of around $55 \%$. Naturally, this trend is mainly due to the ageing of the large postwar baby-boom generations.

Figure 14
Population aged 40-59 as a \% of population aged 20-59 - EUR 15


After 2015 the ageing of the potential labour force will stagnate and probably even reverse as the less numerous generations born in the 1970s are reaching the age of 40 , while the baby-boomers are leaving the workingage population.
Also the EU population aged 60 and over will become older. After the turn of the century the share of the population aged 80 and over in the elderly population will increase almost continuously (Figure 15). Particularly after 2025, when the baby-boomers are passing the age of 80 , the number of the 'very old' will rise dramatically. By the year 2050 their share of the elderly population might be more than one-third.

Figure 15
Population aged 80 and over as a \% of population aged 60 and over - EUR 15


All Member States will sooner or later experience these more specific ageing trends. Particularly Italy. Portugal and Spain might be confronted with a relatively long period of an ageing potential labour force, whereas Denmark, Finland and Sweden are already in the middle of this process. The long-term ageing of the elderly population will be fairly steep and strong in Italy and the Netherlands (Figure 16).

Figure 16
Population aged 80 and over as a \% of population aged 60 and over: increase 1995-2050


## Age dependency will rise drastically

Figure 17 shows the observed and projected total age dependency ratio, i.e. the sum of the number of people aged 0-19 and $60+$ expressed as a percentage of the population aged 20-59. For the Union as a whole this indicator has decreased since mid 1970s from 100\% to $80 \%$, due to the sharply declining number of young people.
In the next ten years the ratio will be fairly constant but thereafter a steady and perhaps even strong increase might occur. Especially if current low fertility levels persist and life expectancy structurally rises (old scenario),

Figure 17
Age dependency ratio - EUR 15


BASELINE YOUNG OLD
the age dependency will in the long run climb to all-time high levels of well above $120 \%$.
Figure 18 demonstrates that during the next 25 years the increase in total age dependency will be far from uniform within the Union. The ratio will hardly grow in Portugal and Spain, whilst Finland and the Netherlands will probably have to cope with an increase well above the European average.
However, by the year 2050 Italy (107-136\%) and Spain (110-138\%) are expected to be the EU countries with the highest age dependency (Table 6).

Figure 18
Age dependency ratio: increase 1995-2020 (\%)


Table 6
Age dependency ratio

|  | $\frac{1995}{\text { OBSERVED }}$ | 2000 |  |  | 2020 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | OLD | BASELINE | VOUNG | OLD | baseline | YOUNG | OLO | BASELINE | YOUNG |
| EUR 15 | 802 | 80.3 | 80.5 | 309 | 90 | 91 | 93 | 125 | 113 | 10.3 |
| Belgum | 83.1 | 83.0 | 83.5 | 936 | 94 | 96 | 96 | '21 | 111 | 102 |
| Oermax | 769 | 76.1 | 76.7 | 720 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 111 | 104 | 93 |
| Ge--s-y | 71 | 74.8 | 75.0 | 783 | 38 | 87 | 89 | 123 | 110 | 108 |
| Grevos | 6s.0 | H2: | 88. 1 | 431 | 91 | 34 | T | 127 | 114 | 108 |
| Syan | 10.9 | 365 | 76 7 | 72 | 84 | \% 6 | 49 | 158 | 121 | 110 |
| Fra*Cs | 65 | B4t | 8.7 | 35.8 | 94 | 97 | 191 | 128 | 116 | 109 |
| frewas | 20.9 | 日7\% | 日\% 0 | 360 | 97 | 96 | 21 | 127 | 114 | 105 |
| Italy | 77.7 | 776 | 77.3 | 783 | 70 | 82 | 25 | 158 | 119 | 107 |
| Luomebous | 75.1 | 78.5 | 75.7 | 790 | 38 | 36 | 48 | 113 | 192 | 93 |
| Netherands | 720 | 77.5 | 74.1 | 741 | 90 | 91 | 21 | 75 | 106 | 90 |
| A-sitria |  | 75.7 | 761 | 76.3 | 84 | 34 | 87 | 123 | 197 | 90 |
| Poetugal | 65. | 72.0 | 728 | 793 | 88 | 36 | 87 | 519 | 190 | 99 |
| Finlard | T3 8 | 79.8 | 303 | 800 | 109 | 101 | 104 | 117 | 100 | 102 |
| Swnito | 67.7 |  | 074 | 875 | 90 | 78 | 50 | 118 | 108 | 99 |
| Uncad Kingiom | B4t | 84.5 | 346 | 450 | 89 | 70 | 80 | 118 | 110 | 102 |
| loesond | 92.2 | 88.9 | 878 | 88.3 | 95 | 100 | 109 | 196 | 128 | 19 |
| Leerronstivo | 675 | 68.5 | 668 | 67.3 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 139 | $1: 6$ | 106 |
| Noway | 845 | 821 | 825 | 227 | \$ 1 | 92 | 99 | 4 | 106 | 99 |
| EEA | 82.3 | 80.3 | 806 | 809 | 30 | 9 9: | 89 | 125 | 113 | 108 |

National population projections by sex and age are produced by the National Statistical Institutes (regularly and irregularly), United Nations (every 2 years) and Eurostat (every 3-5 years).

The first two agencies basically aim to make population forecasts or the 'best guess' for the next 10-15 years, usually supplemented with uncertainty variants. Eurostat produces various population scenarios for the next 5-6 decades, which attempt to explore realistic boundaries of demographic change in the long run.

The new long-term population scenarios of Eurostat, compiled in 1996 with the assistance of Statistics Netherlands, concern the 18 countries of the European Economic Area (EEA). The scenarios cover the period 1995-2050 and project the population at 1 January by sex and single years of age up to the age group of $90+$. Five scenarios were prepared: baseline, low, high, young and old.
The low and high scenario can be considered as plausible extremes with respect to population growth. The low scenario describes a demographic future in which current fertility levels of around 1.45 children per woman will persist, life expectancies will hardly increase and total net immigration for the EEA will drop from 600,000 to 400,000 persons a year. The high scenario assumes a recovery of fertility to levels of around 1.95 children per woman, life expectancies continuing to increase strongly in all countries and total net inflow of migrants increasing to a level of 800,000 persons a year.
The young and old scenarios can be interpreted as plausible extremes with respect to population ageing. In the young scenario, high fertility and high net immigration assumptions are combined with low life expectancies, whereas in the old scenario high life expectancies are combined with low fertility and low net immigration.
The baseline scenario describes the 'average development' and can therefore be used as a reference. This scenario is generally fairly close to the latest population forecasts made by the national statistical institutes.
The assumptions underlying the five scenarios are summarized in the following scheme:

|  | Scenarios |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | BASELINE | LOW | HIGH | YOUNG | OLD |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertility | medium | low | high | high | low |
| Life expectancy | medium | low | high | low | high |
| Net migration | medium | low | high | high | low |
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